

Advancing Animal Disease Traceability

Three Year Strategic Plan

South Carolina

January 22, 2019
Version 2.0

Coordinated by:
Office of the South Carolina State Veterinarian
Clemson University Livestock Poultry Health
PO Box 102406, Columbia, South Carolina 29224-2406
803-788-2260 www.clemson.edu/LPH

Introduction

The Clemson University Livestock Poultry Health (LPH) mission includes protection of animal health in South Carolina through control of endemic, foreign, and emerging diseases in livestock and poultry. LPH serves South Carolina and fulfills its mission as the state's animal health authority, meat and poultry inspection department, and veterinary diagnostic laboratory. Traceability and its three pillars are fundamental components for protecting animal health in the state. Knowing where individually identified, diseased and at-risk animals are, where they've been, and where they're going at a given point in time are critical to the success of South Carolina's animal disease control activities.

Historically, the State Veterinarian's role of protecting animal health was largely through management of specific disease eradication and control programs. The South Carolina General Assembly created the position of State Veterinarian with Clemson University over a century ago to specifically deal with Cattle Fever Tick in the state. Bringing Tuberculosis (TB) and Brucellosis under control took a significant part of the twentieth century. During that time, livestock mostly had permanent identification and traceability was accomplished through participation in individual disease eradication programs such as Brucellosis calfhood vaccination, TB herd certification, etc. Most farms with significant livestock production were enrolled in one or more disease eradication and certification programs. With the success of these eradication efforts, much of this activity stopped. Only rarely does the State Veterinarian's staff have to trace an animal suspected of, or exposed to, any of the program diseases. But some of these same diseases, as well as other diseases, lurk, ready to strike the state's livestock. When these existing or emerging diseases strike in South Carolina or are traced to South Carolina, our livestock populations and markets are at risk. To successfully control an actual, or even perceived disease situation, the State Veterinarian must quickly locate the source of the suspect animal and any potentially exposed susceptible animals. An efficient animal disease traceability system is pivotal to rapid disease control and prompt restoration of markets.

Primary responsibility for the South Carolina Animal Disease Traceability program rests with the State Veterinarian and his staff. However, program success is dependent upon collaboration and effort by all members of the animal agriculture community. The South Carolina Animal Disease Traceability Steering Committee formalizes this relationship and provides input to the State Veterinarian on the direction of the Animal Disease Traceability program and key program-related decisions. The group is instrumental in ensuring that the program remains focused on its key guiding principles.

Animal Disease Traceability Program Mission

The primary mission of the South Carolina Animal Disease Traceability Program is to protect the health and marketability of South Carolina livestock. Many of the identifiers, tags, and other aspects of the Animal Disease Traceability program may add value to other aspects of livestock production. Secondary uses that directly relate to animal disease control and prevention require diligent protection of producer confidentiality. We encourage these other legitimate uses with the explicit approval of the producers. We believe that a successful Animal Disease Traceability program operating at the “speed of commerce” will add significant value to our state’s livestock. Large investments in time and money require proven future “value added” for acceptance. We believe the value of animal disease traceability will be proportional to the extent of willing participation by all industry stakeholders: producers, markets, dealers, etc. This document represents the best strategy for South Carolina to improve traceability capabilities. This is a living document that will have revisions as new requirements, technologies, and implementation methods develop.

Livestock in South Carolina

Agribusiness (including forestry) is the largest sector in South Carolina’s economy with an estimated \$41.7 billion total economic impact¹. Food animal livestock make up almost 60% of the non-forestry segment². Poultry (broilers, turkeys, and egg production) is the highest income commodity group, followed by cow-calf, dairy, and swine³. South Carolina has no large-scale feedlots. Most of our calf production moves to other states for feeding and finishing. Small ruminant production, particularly goats, has shown rapid growth. The equine industry is also a major contributor to South Carolina’s economy, but is not included in the cited animal agriculture production statistics.

In 2016, South Carolina’s poultry industry represented 47.1% of direct sales from the state’s agriculture, with a direct economic impact of \$4 billion for the state³. Poultry companies employ 13,047 people, while many more work in related fields such as feed production, refrigerated trucking, paper box and egg carton manufacturing, rendering, and construction³. Poultry is the state’s number one animal agriculture export.

In the cattle industry, South Carolina is mainly a cow/calf production state. Calves are born and reared here for several months before being sold for final fattening and slaughter. In 2016, the state had a total of 225,000 head of cattle and calves³. A small but growing segment of cattle farmers are developing forage-fed operations, some even finishing and custom marketing their products direct to consumers. Dairy cattle statistics stabilized in recent years with approximately 15,000 cows located on 53 commercial dairy operations³. In 2016, South Carolina dairy cows produced 261 million pounds of milk⁴.

The swine industry in South Carolina is comprised of primarily finishing operations; 85-90% of swine producers are contract growers for large companies³. Some smaller producers are producing specialized pork for direct sales to supermarkets and high-end restaurants. Pork producers are strong supporters of prevention of disease spread and animal disease traceability and have long recognized that opportunities for industry growth are international.

The demand for goat meat is increasing with many breeders now working in associations to meet large commercial sales contracts. South Carolina had 3,400 milk goats in 2016, and 34,000 meat and other goats³. Sheep and lamb production also contribute to the state economy. South Carolina had 549 farms with nearly 10,000 sheep and lambs in 2012 with production totaling about \$2.4 million³.

There are more than 100,000 horses that reside in our state³. The horse population is very diverse, with approximately 60% considered pleasure horses that may be placed in trail riding categories³. The remaining 40% are divided into multiple disciplines: racing, breeding, eventing, fox hunting, training,

rodeo, showing, driving, and polo³. Horses in competitive disciplines are particularly mobile, especially showing and polo groups which frequently move not only interstate, but internationally. The economic impact of S.C. horses from sales, feed, boarding and other services is about \$400 million per year³.

Current Status of Traceability in South Carolina

Current statistics on elements in use to achieve traceability in South Carolina are as follows:

Premises

There were 6,763 registered premises in the state database as of December 31, 2018. The following charts provide a breakdown of South Carolina premises types and number of premises associated with livestock species:

Premises Type	#
Production Unit (Farm)	6,345
Non-Producer Participant	115
Clinic	107
Market/Collection Point	93
Exhibition	55
Slaughter Plant	41
Rendering	4
Laboratory	3

Premises Species	#
Bovine (Bison and Cattle)	3,032
Poultry	2,411
Equine (Horses)	1,570
Caprine (Goats)	1,291
Ovine (Sheep)	500
Porcine (Swine)	496
Camelid (Alpaca & Llama)	63

Interstate Certificates of Veterinary Inspection (ICVI)

Clemson University Animal Health Programs receives and stores Interstate Certificates of Veterinary Inspection (ICVIs) and other certified electronic movement documents in an electronically-accessible state database. Statistics for the twelve-month period ending on December 31, 2018 are in the chart below:

South Carolina Database ICVIs (entered 1/1/18 thru 12/31/18)

Species	ICVIs Received	ICVIs Created	Animals permitted to be moved in	Animals permitted to be moved out	States Shipped From	States Shipped To
Cattle	503	1,261	3,880	77,661	32	30
Sheep	25	22	266	152	14	9
Goats	68	110	372	673	20	20
Horses	3,700	3,990	6,946	8,010	50	48
Swine	968	261	522,868	326,319	14	12
Poultry	8,644	2,858	75,380,472	43,785,927	37	49
Camelids	5	15	15	49	3	9

Tags

Official individual Animal Identification Numbers (AINs) include “840” Electronic Identification (EID) cattle tags, “840” EID equine microchips, scrapie tags for sheep and goats, and “silver brite” NUES tags. AINs are initially recorded in the USDA Animal Identification Management System (AIMS) then updated in USAHERDS when the tags are issued and introduced into production. Poultry identification bands are used for non-commercial poultry when tested for specific disease programs. These bands are also recorded in USAHERDS when issued. Statistics for tags issued, to producers and markets or non-commercial entities for specific disease programs, for the twelve-month period ending with 12/31/2018 are:

Tag Type	Number of Tags Issued
Poultry Bands	8,926
NUES (Brite)	10,834
"840" Devices	8,169
Scrapie	12,561

South Carolina initiated a tag inventory in 2014 with biannual inventories occurring in every subsequent year. Through the inventories, unreconciled tags were identified. These are tags which were recorded as allocated but were unaccounted for in following inventories and lacked an application date. To minimize the number of unreconciled tags, the livestock inspectors emphasized the importance of tag accountability at livestock markets. By successfully communicating the need to maintain accurate tagging records, the total number of unreconciled tags in SC reduced by 98.99% for NUES and 99.53% for Scrapie tags in the last 5 years.

Total Unreconciled Tags in SC Markets by Tag Type:

Year	NUES	Scrapie
2014	3,975	9,540
2015	2,077	6,944
2016	534	2,395
2017	124	2,065
2018	40	45

Permits

State and federal laws and regulations require permits for public livestock markets and equine sales facilities. Public livestock markets include: Livestock Auction Markets, Daily Buying Stations, Dealers, Expositions, and Miscellaneous Markets. Permit holders must maintain records of buyers, sellers, individual animal identification, and exhibitors for at least five (5) years for cattle, horses, sheep and goats and two (2) years for poultry and swine. Sale market records must be made available to State Animal Official representatives upon request.

South Carolina Active Market Permits as of 12/31/18:

Permit Type	Permits
Livestock Dealer	62
Daily Buying Station	2
Equine Sale Facility	17
Exposition or Fair	17
Livestock Auction Market (weekday)	8
Livestock Auction Market (nights & weekends)	6
Miscellaneous Sale	11
Miscellaneous Vendor	81

Livestock Tracing Today

Success of the national animal disease eradication programs has brought a significant decrease in the need and frequency of routine animal disease traces. Changes in routine tagging, tagging sites and other program activities make each trace somewhat more difficult with much higher potential consequences. State and federal animal health officials work to identify the origin of every identified suspect or contact animal. We are usually successful in preserving animal health status in the state, but this is often at

greater expense than if the traces themselves had been successful at truly identifying and tracing the animal from its birth farm to the point that it was identified as a concern.

The most common “official” cattle identification obtained at the point of animal identification for needed tracing is the federal back-tag during slaughter surveillance. For cattle moving directly from a livestock market to a slaughter facility, back tags provide valuable information for search of market records for seller’s information. Market records are generally a good source of information, since markets are regulated and the required market records are subject to state/federal inspection on a regular basis. However, in some cases application of a back tag occurs at the slaughter facility, so even the best records may only identify the animal to a load of delivered cattle. In some of these cases, additional unofficial identification is available to help further narrow down the possible source of the animal from the load. Hauler/dealer records for the animal load may provide information on the dealer/seller or place of origin of the animal(s). Dealers must maintain records of all animals bought and sold, however, the quality of dealer records is often lower than permanent livestock market records. Often the dealer or hauler can only provide information on the farm name(s) where animals were loaded on a given day. The trace investigation must then expand to those locations. Using available trace movement information and available animal identification, contact is made with the potential sellers to rule-in or rule-out the seller as the source of the animal being traced. Seller records may be able to confirm the original birth farm of the animal or may direct investigators to the place of animal origin. Traces thus may or may not end with a conclusive source.

In cases of slower moving contagious diseases, such as TB or Brucellosis, herd tests of all *possible* source premises *may* satisfy the disease control requirements to retain good official health status for the state. Incompleteness of a trace may result in much higher testing costs, but not necessarily permanent damage to animal health or marketability. In cases of chronic diseases, such as scrapie or Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE), an incomplete trace leaves critical unknowns that may affect marketability for years. In the worst case of a highly contagious emerging or foreign disease, incomplete tracing may lead to delays in quarantine and testing that may have truly catastrophic consequences.

Current Available Resources

The State Veterinarian’s office at Livestock Poultry Health includes three departments: Animal Health Programs (AHP), Meat and Poultry Inspection, and the Clemson Veterinary Diagnostic Center (CVDC). These departments share a facility in Columbia, South Carolina.

The State Veterinarian is ultimately responsible for all traceability activities. An LPH Veterinary Epidemiologist/Informaticist actively involved in traceability activities reports directly to the State Veterinarian. The Animal Health Programs (AHP) Director of Field Services is a veterinarian who also reports directly to the State Veterinarian. In addition to the Field Services Director, AHP currently (January 2019) has a staff of three (3) veterinarians and one (1) administrative staff person at headquarters and three (3) livestock law enforcement officers, one (1) livestock inspector in the field, one (1) poultry inspector in the field, and one (1) livestock inspector for traceability. A significant portion of the AHP administrative staff person's duties relate directly to animal disease traceability, to include managing ICVIs (by scanning ICVIs and data entry to in-house database), movement permits, and market permits and reports. One livestock inspector specializing in traceability was added in 2018 to focus on increasing usage of eCVIs and EIDs. This inspector also works with the State Veterinarian's Administrative Coordinator to complete traceability-related tasks to include processing premises registrations and exceptions as well as processing AIN (Tag) distributions. All AHP veterinarians work to monitor animal health, enforce the laws and regulations of South Carolina, and educate stakeholders and the general public. Each veterinarian has a specialty or area of interest (beef, dairy, swine, poultry, equine, small ruminants, and emergency

preparedness) and is heavily invested in traceability activities.

The six (6) AHP field staff (law enforcement officers and inspectors) actively monitor livestock movement activities, including all activities at livestock markets. Each fulfills duties as educator, animal health technician, and law enforcement officer. They facilitate compliance by performing animal health technical duties, such as disease testing, market inspection, and animal identification. The Director of Animal Health Programs Field Services supervises and leads their field activities.

Livestock Poultry Health has used USAHERDS since 2012. Staff enter Animal ID tag distribution information into the federal AIMS database and enter tag information into USAHERDS when an animal ID is distributed to a veterinarian or producer, or physically placed on livestock. Staff use the AIMS Excel download file to import AIMS tag information into USAHERDS for more convenient access.

Animal Health Programs has an inventory of three (3) Nomad TDS units with MIMS programs for data capture in the field. For creating records correlating backtags to RFID tags, we have one (1) Allflex LDC-V100 Livestock Logger, five (5) Allflex RS420-60 RFID wand readers, one (1) PD7100 barcode reader, and one (1) Destron DTR3e Wand RFID reader. The RS420 wand readers can be used alone, with the Nomad units, or Samsung tablets. We also have one (1) AVID handheld scanner and one (1) universal IMAX handheld scanner. At the present time, we have a small, adequate inventory of “silver brite” tags and EIDs for disease program work. The USDA APHIS VS Cooperative Agreement funding continues to support a significant portion of the current traceability activities.

Goals

South Carolina seeks to advance Animal Disease Traceability to ensure that state animal health officials are able to promptly determine the origin of any reportable-disease affected or exposed animal in South Carolina, or to promptly trace animals moved into South Carolina from another state. Should a determination be made that the animal did not originate in South Carolina, state animal health officials should be able to determine the state of animal origin - the animal’s state of birth or the state of initial tagging of the animal, if the two are not the same. South Carolina traceability should also be able to determine the identity and location of other South Carolina animals potentially-exposed to an affected animal. Traceability activities are time-sensitive and should be accomplished as quickly as practical and appropriate for the disease of concern.

Guiding Principles

Key issues identified by South Carolina animal health officials, producers, and allied industries as essential to development and implementation of animal disease traceability plans for South Carolina include:

- confidentiality and appropriate use of information,
- options for voluntary use of program elements in marketing and management to avoid duplication and extra expense,
- minimization of any negative impacts on speed of commerce,
- value consistency between states, especially Southeast states, and
- prioritization of activities to those with actual traceability impact.

Confidentiality is a cornerstone concept in traceability. Participants in the Animal Disease Traceability program, at both the state and federal level, have been assured that their proprietary information held in federal traceability databases, especially information held in state-specific applications hosted in the federal systems, is confidential and will only be used for animal disease traceability purposes. To ensure continuation of the trusted relationship with livestock and poultry producers, we must be able to ensure that use of the information we receive is only for animal disease traceability and other appropriate

purposes, such as disasters, emergencies, tracing lost/displaced animals and measuring ADT system performance, and otherwise will be kept confidential. 2012 South Carolina legislation provides for protection of ADT-related information (South Carolina Code of Laws 47-4-170). Protection of information at the federal level is less clear.

Many producers use traceability as a marketing tool. Progressive markets may offer incentives for animal age and source verification information. Configuration of elements of animal disease traceability should allow producers the option to choose to use some or all elements (e.g., AINs, PINs) for marketing and management purposes without having to duplicate the elements for each purpose. When data essential for traceability is already present in existing industry programs, those data should be permissible for traceability when technology and permissions allow.

Traceability efforts must be efficient and simple. When developing and implementing effective methods of animal disease traceability, we must consider and minimize any negative effects on the speed of commerce. In some cases, improvements in animal ID will enhance and improve the speed of commerce and efficiencies at stockyards and sales.

Industry stakeholders repeatedly ask for greater uniformity between states to enhance their ability to meet the regulatory requirements. When possible, South Carolina continues to collaborate to develop consistent regulations within and between states, especially Southeastern states, and to ensure that our methods are consistent, well thought out, and more likely to promote acceptance from industry, in part by not putting producers in any one state at a regulatory disadvantage. In a regulatory environment, it is important to keep an open mind to new ideas and accepted practices to achieve improved traceability. The ability to successfully trace cattle and other livestock is the ultimate goal in South Carolina.

Participants

Livestock producers are the most important members of the animal agriculture industry. Numerous industry groups, government organizations and academia support the producers. The South Carolina Department of Agriculture, South Carolina Farm Bureau, South Carolina Cattlemen's Association, South Carolina Horsemen's Council, South Carolina Pork Producers, South Carolina Poultry Federation and many other organizations seek to defend the interests of our producers and to preserve and extend markets for South Carolina agricultural products. Clemson University and South Carolina State University Extension Services provide education and support for producers to apply the latest scientific advancements in production. Often scientific advancements come from the Clemson University Experiment Station through projects targeting problems communicated to researchers by the Extension agents in the field. Staff of Clemson University Livestock Poultry Health (Office of the State Veterinarian) work to protect the health of our animal population and to ensure confidence in the health status of South Carolina animals to maintain access to markets in other states and around the world. AHP staff further educate producers on the importance of animal disease traceability whenever invited to present animal disease updates within the state. Conscientious Accredited Veterinarian partners are also critical for the success of the program. All of us must work together to ensure the health of South Carolina's livestock and livestock industries.

South Carolina's Animal Disease Traceability (ADT) Steering Committee has representatives from Clemson University Livestock Poultry Health, USDA APHIS VS District 1, priority species producers and industry groups, Clemson University Cooperative Extension Service (CUCES), South Carolina State University 1890 Extension Service, and other interested state and federal governmental agencies. The South Carolina Traceability Steering Committee meets in person as necessary and receives email updates as needed (Appendix A: South Carolina Traceability Steering Committee Members as of 1/22/19).

Opportunities

South Carolina has several special opportunities identified as we look forward on traceability. Industry groups have shown interest in assisting with traceability in South Carolina by exploring possibilities for making producer information they hold available in an animal disease event. The South Carolina Cattlemen's Association is a member of the Southeast Livestock Network (SELN). SELN has had discussions in the past on how data from their age and source verification marketing programs might be made available in an animal disease event. This opportunity still holds promise and would be consistent with South Carolina's guiding principle of avoiding duplication. The SELN also raised the idea of initiating consistent intrastate identification regulations and laws in their member states and hope to work with state veterinarians to produce draft documents for this purpose. SELN has not been active recently but may become more active going forward. South Carolina is open to this opportunity should South Carolina stakeholders show interest in this concept.

South Carolina will continue to invest in infrastructure to maintain a traceability program, as evidenced by the creation of a new inspector position focused on traceability, consistent with USDA's four overarching goals resulting from the State and Federal Animal Disease Traceability Working Group 14 key points. To better protect animal health, South Carolina can advance data sharing using electronic ID to enhance the ability to track animals from birth to slaughter. There is also an opportunity to elevate the discussion with accredited veterinarians and livestock owners on increasing the usage of eCVIs where animal health certificates are electronically transmitted from private veterinarians to state animal health officials.

South Carolina has an opportunity to explore innovations in identification, data capture, and transmission and storage of data due to the lower volume of livestock routinely handled in our markets compared to those in the Midwest, which can accommodate a trial. South Carolina has strength in our current ability to trace swine and poultry, including a higher than average percentage of backyard poultry, thanks to the collaborative commitment of these industries to work with us on traceability. South Carolina continues to focus on cattle, especially beef cattle of breeding age, under this strategic plan.

The federal Animal Health Events Repository (AHER) provides an opportunity to advance the electronic sharing of data among federal and state animal health officials, veterinarians and industry. As a USAHERDS using state, we look forward to seeing the report for extracting key data elements that will develop from USDA's current partnership with Michigan and Colorado.

eCVIs have the potential to minimize errors and maximize efficiency in understanding how animals are moving into and out of the state. A livestock inspector specializing in traceability has been hired to elevate the discussion of eCVIs with private veterinarians. This vital increase in personnel provides an opportunity to further education within the state on the benefits of eCVIs. To enhance our communication and outreach efforts, an emphasis can be placed on veterinary practices with the highest error to CVI ratios. Once established by the USDA, the traceability specialist can also communicate standards for ICVI data and tag performance to industry stakeholders in South Carolina.

Obstacles

Confidentiality remains a primary concern of South Carolina stakeholders. Along with USDA APHIS VS, South Carolina has always maintained and assured confidentiality of stakeholder information. Working with our constituents, LPH proposed and successfully achieved legislation in 2012 to clearly establish confidentiality of this information in South Carolina (SC Code of Laws 47-4-170).

We believe it is a fundamental part of the plan to develop consequences for those who do not abide by

the regulations implemented to ensure our poultry and livestock continue to thrive. South Carolina will collaborate with USDA APHIS VS on monitoring and enforcement of proper use of official animal identification, proper use of and accurate documentation on ICVIs, and appropriate collection of animal ID at slaughter.

Compatibility between tag technology is an essential component of the new strategy. Providing industries with the choice of which tag type works best for their operations could limit the interoperability of tagging systems and negatively impact the speed of commerce if consistency between tag types is not established.

Adequate funding for animal disease traceability remains an issue for South Carolina. Transitioning from NUES tags to electronic identification may require financial assistance to the producer through either cost-sharing for electronic tags and/or infrastructure to read EIDs at markets and elsewhere. The proposed elimination of USDA providing free tags for cattle (NUES) and the resulting new cost to producers is not likely to be well received. Additional discussions at the state and national level are necessary to determine the best approach for minimizing the economic impact of the new system on the industry.

South Carolina producers and allied industry concerns about the impact of ADT requirements on their profit/loss bottom line persist. In addition, there are those who have a basic distrust of government at any level, especially the federal level. We must recognize that these individuals remain potential obstacles to a fully successful system.

Plan/ Road Map

Performance Measures

Basic tools essential for successful animal disease traces include animal identification, premises identification, animal movement and dealer records, as well as permits for livestock markets, events, and sales. South Carolina plans to continue to support and monitor these essential processes as important indicators of the overall success of traceability in South Carolina.

Traceability performance elements are easy to identify but difficult to consistently and accurately measure. The ability to critically and fairly assess the effectiveness of the traceability plan should be the same across the country. We believe that state participation in federally designed and led test exercises are an effective means of evaluating traceability performance in the state. Reliable and comparable assessments should be accomplished through conduct of uniform, federally-initiated, multi-state or national test exercises on a regular basis. Successful tracing depends on a number of conditions. To date, trace exercises focus on movements of cattle with permanent official identification. South Carolina has been actively participating in these exercises. While these exercises provide valuable training in retrieval of animal identification and movement records from existing systems, they fail to measure the impact of less than complete compliance with official animal identification requirements.

South Carolina’s Trace Performance Measure (TPM) Rating for % Successfully Completed and Elapsed Time for the 2017 Cooperative Agreement Period:

TPM	Quota	Total Traces	Successfully Completed	% Successfully Completed	Median Elapsed Time (Hrs.)	% Quota Achieved	% Successfully Completed Rating	Elapsed Time Rating
TPM 2	5	6	6	100%	1.0	100%	Excellent	Excellent
TPM 3	5	5	5	100%	0.7	100%	Excellent	Excellent
TPM 4	5	6	6	100%	0.8	100%	Excellent	Excellent

Data Requirements & Information Technology Plan

Data requirements for traceability involve identification of places (premises), animals by official animal identification numbers, and, to a much less extent, people as contacts for obtaining information about premises and animals. Data collection on animals is critical at each significant animal-life event: tagging, movement, and harvest. This data collection depends upon the cooperation of producers, markets, dealers, laboratories, slaughter facilities, etc.

Essential attributes of these data include the three key elements of information security: confidentiality, integrity, and availability. Producers must have faith that the use of data collected about them and their livestock is only for appropriate animal disease control activities. Data maintenance must be current and correct at all times. Data integrity and effectiveness of animal disease traceability are lost with transcription errors, lost records, or out-of-date information. Data must also be available to all appropriate users in a timely manner to support animal disease traceability within a time frame that supports effective disease control.

The **information technology plan** for LPH continues to consist of four (4) main components: premises registration, individual animal identification tracking, field electronic data collection, and electronic management of Interstate Certificates of Veterinary Inspection. Premises registration data is the foundation of traceability. Effective tracking of individual animal identification devices, from manufacture, to distribution, to application on individual animals, and finally through animal movements, is an essential element for traceability. Capabilities for field data collection remain an important element for timely transfer of critical animal movement identification and movement information. The use of electronic applications for interstate communications of livestock movement information (Electronic Certificates of Veterinary Inspection) is an asset for rapid and accurate transfer of critical information.

The LPH technology plan continues to involve both federal and state information processing resources and data sharing, as appropriate. LPH remains dependent on the federal system for animal identification number management.

South Carolina uses USAHERDS, a compliant premises registration system. This system has improved staff efficiency and reduced exposure of producer data to unreliable federal confidentiality policies. LPH provides basic premises number registration information to the federal system through the premises identification number allocator. This allows us to maintain sensitive producer data at the state level.

LPH scans and indexes Interstate Certificates of Veterinary Inspection electronically in the USAHERDS platform. Paper and electronic versions of the VS Form 9-3 (National Poultry Improvement Plan's movement document for poultry) are also entered into USAHERDS. We continue to pursue effective alternatives to ICVIs and, in cooperation with other state animal health officials, to promote the use of swine bulk movement and extended equine CVIs. These advancements greatly increase efficiency and effectiveness of animal traceability. We are active participants in the AAVLD/USAHA Subcommittee on Animal Health Surveillance and Information Systems and support standardization of ICVI information for data exchange. LPH funding supported the development of a USAHERDS add-on that facilitates creation of this indexing information in the form of minimally complete USAHERDS CVI records with the scanned images automatically attached for rapid retrieval.

The VS Mobile Information Management System (MIMS) continues to be a significant part of the overall technology plan. MIMS provides the ability to collect animal sighting and test information in the field and then efficiently transfer those data to state and federal databases, as appropriate. A most critical element in the technology plan is the tracking of individual animal identification devices through the AIMS. We

developed and use a simple computer script to facilitate loading of the AIMS records into our local USAHERDS, thus maintaining AIMS as the master “source of truth” record while making a local, rapidly-accessible copy. Many steps in this process remain out of the control of state animal health authorities and tracing must be done by, or under the control of, USDA Veterinary Services or other federal agencies, such as the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS). The USAHERDS platform modification handles the function of an AIN management system after the initial allocation. We manage all further distribution and in-state animal sightings exclusively in state databases.

Next Steps

USDA APHIS VS has been conducting a dialogue with state animal health officials, producers and other industry representatives about what the next steps should be nationally for ADT, especially in cattle. SC participates in these discussions and keeps our SC stakeholders informed. In SC, we have seen significant progress in our ability to trace cattle more effectively and efficiently as described earlier in this plan. The traceability program is essential to safeguarding the health and economic well-being of the livestock industry. Moving forward, development and implementation of a cost-sharing system that minimizes the financial impact of the cost of infrastructure to read EIDs and the cost of switching to only EIDS as official identification for cattle is important. We have concerns about the impact that expansion of individual ID requirement to feeder cattle may have on speed of commerce in our markets and elsewhere, one of our core principles. This strategic plan will be revisited and adjusted as appropriate should USDA APHIS VS reach a final decision or publish a new rule as a result of the current dialogues.

April 2019 - March 2020

#	Objective	Federal Funding Required?
1	Maintain current traceability infrastructure: premises registrations (including managing exceptions), traceability web page, coordinating steering committee, data entry from paper ICVIs to make information electronically accessible, education and outreach activities.	Yes (Including personnel – 1.0 FTE)
2	Outreach to Accredited Veterinarians: advancing use of ECVIs, promoting EID usage, traceability program education, proper CVI completion refresher trainings and official tag inventory and reporting procedures.	Yes (Including personnel – 1.0 FTE)
3	LPH compliance with current South Carolina laws and regulations regarding the use of Animal Disease Traceability Data.	
4	Encourage use of alternative methods to document actual interstate livestock movements and increase the use of electronic ICVIs.	Yes (Including personnel – 0.5 FTE)
5	Educate producers on advancing use of animal identification for management and marketability.	Yes
6	Continue recording individual AIN for cattle from paper ICVIs.	Yes (Personnel – 0.5 FTE)
7	Management and distribution of NUES (“silver brite”) tags until inventory is depleted or the January 1, 2021 tentative deadline is reached - includes tag distribution data management, and semi-annual tag inventories at markets.	Yes
8	Continued participation in USDA-directed national and state trace performance measure (TPM) exercise(s) throughout region.	Yes (Including personnel – 0.5 FTE)
9	Educate producers and stakeholders on consequences to the state for failure to comply with animal disease traceability regulations.	
10	Seek harmonization of interstate movement regulations for movement of cattle with other states (especially Southern States).	
11	Participate in a multi-state workshop for next steps to advance animal disease traceability.	Yes (Including travel)

April 2020 - March 2021

#	Objective	Federal Funding Required?
1	Maintain current traceability infrastructure: premises registrations (including managing exceptions), traceability web page, coordinating steering committee, data entry from paper ICVIs to make information electronically accessible, education and outreach activities.	Yes (Including personnel – 1.0 FTE)
2	Continue outreach to Accredited Veterinarians: advancing use of ECVIs, promoting EID usage, traceability program education, proper CVI completion refresher trainings and official tag inventory and reporting procedures.	Yes (Including personnel – 1.0 FTE)
3	LPH compliance with current South Carolina laws and regulations regarding the use of Animal Disease Traceability Data.	
4	Continue to encourage use of alternative methods to document actual interstate livestock movements and increase the use of electronic ICVIs.	Yes (Including personnel – 0.5 FTE)
5	Continue to educate producers on advancing use of animal identification for management and marketability.	Yes
6	Continue recording individual AIN for cattle from paper ICVIs.	Yes (Personnel – 0.5 FTE)
7	Management and distribution of NUES (“silver brite”) tags until inventory is depleted or the January 1, 2021 tentative deadline is reached - includes tag distribution data management, and semi-annual tag inventories at markets.	Yes
8	Continued participation in USDA-directed national and state trace performance measure (TPM) exercise(s) throughout region.	Yes (Including personnel – 0.5 FTE)
9	Continue to educate producers and stakeholders on consequences to the state for failure to comply with animal disease traceability regulations.	
10	Seek harmonization of interstate movement regulations for movement of cattle with other states (especially Southern States).	
11	Participate in a multi-state workshop for next steps to advance animal disease traceability.	Yes (Including travel)

April 2021 - March 2022

#	Objective	Federal Funding Required?
1	Maintain current traceability infrastructure: premises registrations (including managing exceptions), traceability web page, coordinating steering committee, data entry from paper ICVIs to make information electronically accessible, education and outreach activities.	Yes (Including personnel – 1.0 FTE)
2	Continue outreach to Accredited Veterinarians: advancing use of ECVIs, promoting EID usage, traceability program education, proper CVI completion refresher trainings and official tag inventory and reporting procedures.	Yes (Including personnel – 1.0 FTE)
3	LPH compliance with current South Carolina laws and regulations regarding the use of Animal Disease Traceability Data.	
4	Continue to encourage use of alternative methods to document actual interstate livestock movements and increase the use of electronic ICVIs.	Yes (Including personnel – 0.5 FTE)
5	Continue to educate producers on advancing use of animal identification for management and marketability.	Yes
6	Continue recording individual AIN for cattle from paper ICVIs.	Yes (Personnel – 0.5 FTE)
7	Adapt tag inventory system in response to tentatively planned phase out of visual official ID and plan to provide financial assistance for infrastructure required to read EIDs in commerce and for purchase of EIDs as those resources become available.	Yes
8	Continued participation in USDA-directed national and state trace performance measure (TPM) exercise(s) throughout region.	Yes (Including personnel – 0.5 FTE)
9	Continue to educate producers and stakeholders on consequences to the state for failure to comply with animal disease traceability regulations.	
10	Seek harmonization of interstate movement regulations for movement of cattle with other states (especially Southern States).	
11	Participate in a multi-state workshop for next steps to advance animal disease traceability.	Yes (Including travel)

Appendix A:

South Carolina Animal Disease Traceability Steering Committee as of 1/22/19.

Mac Beaty	Beef Producer, South Carolina Cattlemen's Association
Brian Beer	Clemson University Extension, Livestock Team
Matt Burns	Livestock Program Team Leader, Clemson University Extension
Roy Copelan	South Carolina Cattlemen's Association, Executive Director
Glenn Easter	Dairyman, United Dairy Herd Improvement Association, DFA
Sean Eastman	Clemson Animal Health Programs, Director of Field Services
Towana Guinyard	USDA APHIS VS, South Carolina Field Office
Richard Harper	Beef & Poultry Producer, South Carolina Farm Bureau
John Harris	Pork Producer, South Carolina Farm Bureau, Pork Producers Association
Julie Helm	SC NPIP Official State Agency Coordinator (Poultry)
Curtis Hill	South Carolina State University Extension
Tina Horn	Clemson University Extension, Livestock Team (Dairy)
Brandon Hurley	Beef Producer, South Carolina Cattlemen's Association
Charlie Kelly	Traceability Inspector, Clemson Animal Health Programs
Mike Martin	Clemson Livestock Poultry Health
Barry Meade	USDA APHIS VS AVIC for South Carolina and North Carolina
Boyd Parr	Clemson Livestock Poultry Health, Chair
Thompson Smith	South Carolina Farm Bureau
Chad Truesdale	Pork Producers Association, SC Department of Agriculture
Debbie Webster	Sheep, Dairy Sheep, and Dairy Goat Producer
Blake Wisher	Market Operator, South Carolina Livestock Markets Association
Aaron Wood	Assistant Commissioner, South Carolina Department of Agriculture
Karen Woolsey	Clemson Livestock Poultry Health
Kevin Yon	Beef Producer, South Carolina Farm Bureau, South Carolina Cattlemen's Association

Endnotes

1. James B. London, The Impact of the Agribusiness Sector on the South Carolina Economy, January 2015, London & Associates, <https://www.clemson.edu/public/reports/files/ag-impact2015.pdf>
2. 2012 Census of Agriculture, United States Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service South Carolina, <https://www.nass.usda.gov>
3. The South Carolina Ag-Watch Manual, 2017 Update, Created by Multi-Agency Planning Partners and Stakeholders for SC Agriculture with Esmond Choueke, ISBN 978-0-9898395-6-3, published by Boca Publications Group Inc.
4. 2016 South Carolina Dairy Facts, American Dairy Association of South Carolina, <http://southeastdairy.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/2016-South-Carolina-Facts-Sheet.pdf>