HEALTH MANAGEMENT ON US FEEDLOTS 2021

OMB No. 0579-0079
Approval Expires: 04/30/2023
Project Code: 955
NAHMS
Survey ID: 5102

United States
Department of
Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health
Inspection Service
2150 Centre Ave, Bldg. B
Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117

National Animal Health Monitoring Systems
Veterinary Services

Please make corrections to name, address and ZIP Code, if necessary.

The information you provide will be used for statistical purposes only. Your response will be kept confidential and any person who willfully discloses ANY identifiable information about you or your operation is subject to a jail term, a fine, or both. This survey is conducted in accordance with the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2018, Title III of Pub. L. No. 115-435, codified in 44 U.S.C. Ch. 35 and other applicable Federal laws. For more information on how we protect your information please visit: https://www.nass.usda.gov/confidentiality. Response to this survey is voluntary.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0579-0079. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 51 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collected.

Date: __ __ __ __ __ __
MM DD YY

BEGINNING TIME (MILITARY) 0004 ____ ____ ____ ____

Unless, otherwise noted, questions refer to calendar year 2020, from January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020.

Don't Know = DK
Not Applicable = NA

We would like to know about all cattle and calves placed during that time period on feed for the slaughter market, regardless of ownership, on this particular feedlot.

- **INCLUDE cattle being fed by you for others.**
- **EXCLUDE any of your cattle being custom fed in feedlots operated by others.**
- **EXCLUDE cattle being "backgrounded only" for sale as feeders, for later placement on feed in another feedlot, or to be returned to pasture.**
- **EXCLUDE cows and bulls being fed by you for the slaughter market.**

During 2020, the spread of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) led to market effects that impacted the operation of meatpacking plants and had downstream effects on feedlot operations. These effects were observed through a number of different sources, including the monthly NASS Cattle on Feed Survey. This questionnaire was revised to include questions to help further describe the effects of COVID-19 on the health and management of cattle on feedlots.

Section A - Cattle on Feed

Number of Cattle

1. In calendar year 2020 (January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020), how many steers and heifers were placed on feed for slaughter on this feedlot? INCLUDE cattle born and raised on this operation. 100

[If Question 1 equals zero, answer questions 1a, 1b, and 1c and then SKIP to Section C]

a. Was the number of cattle placed in calendar year 2020 different than the number of cattle placed in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects? 800 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 1a equals No, SKIP to Question 2]

b. Was the number of cattle placed in calendar year 2020 higher or lower compared to the number of cattle placed in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects? 801 1 ☐ More than 2019 3 ☐ Fewer than 2019

Number of Cattle

c. How many more or fewer cattle were placed in calendar year 2020 compared to the number of cattle placed in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects? 802

Number of Cattle

2. What is the one-time capacity of this feedlot? 101

3. For cattle placed on feed in calendar year 2020, on this feedlot, report the number of cattle by breed type and arrival weight.

Number of Cattle

a. Beef breeds with arrival weight less than 400 pounds 102

b. Beef breeds with arrival weight 400 to 699 pounds 104

c. Beef breeds with arrival weight 700 to 899 pounds 106

d. Beef breeds with arrival weight equal to or greater than 900 pounds 108

e. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight less than 400 pounds 103

f. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight 400 to 699 pounds 105

g. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight 700 to 899 pounds 107

h. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight equal to or greater than 900 pounds 109

i. Total cattle placed [Add all lines - should equal number from Question 1] 110

4. Report the average days on feed (from placement to marketing) by breed type and arrival weight for cattle on this feedlot.

a. Beef breeds with arrival weight less than 400 pounds	111 ____ days	421 2 ☐ DK
b. Beef breeds with arrival weight 400 to 699 pounds	113 ____ days	423 2 ☐ DK
c. Beef breeds with arrival weight 700 to 899 pounds	115 ____ days	425 2 ☐ DK
d. Beef breeds with arrival weight equal to or greater than 900 pounds	117 ____ days	427 2 ☐ DK
e. Was the average days on feed for beef breeds placed in calendar year 2020 different than the average days on feed for beef breeds placed in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects?	811 1 ☐ Yes	3 ☐ No

[If Question 4e equals No, SKIP to Question 4g]

f. Was the average days on feed for beef breeds placed in calendar year 2020 longer or shorter compared to calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects?	817 1 ☐ Longer than 2019	3 ☐ Shorter than 2019
g. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight less than 400 pounds	112 ____ days	422 2 ☐ DK
h. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight 400 to 699 pounds	114 ____ days	424 2 ☐ DK
i. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight 700 to 899 pounds	116 ____ days	426 2 ☐ DK
j. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight equal to or greater than 900 pounds	118 ____ days	428 2 ☐ DK

k. Was the average days on feed for dairy or dairy cross breeds placed in calendar year 2020 different than the average days on feed for dairy or dairy cross breeds placed in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects?	812 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 4k equals No, SKIP to Question 5]

l. Was the average days on feed for dairy or dairy cross breeds in calendar year 2020 longer or shorter compared to calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects?	818 1 ☐ Longer than 2019 3 ☐ Shorter than 2019

5. What percentage or number of cattle on feed on this feedlot died in calendar year 2020, by breed type and arrival weight?

	Percent of cattle		Number of cattle	
a. Beef breeds with arrival weight less than 400 pounds	119		125	435 2 ☐ DK
b. Beef breeds with arrival weight 400 to 699 pounds	121		127	437 2 ☐ DK
c. Beef breeds with arrival weight equal to or greater than 700 pounds	123	OR	129	439 2 ☐ DK
d. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight less than 400 pounds	120		126	436 2 ☐ DK
e. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight 400 to 699 pounds	122		128	438 2 ☐ DK
f. Dairy or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight equal to or greater than 700 pounds	124		130	440 2 ☐ DK

6. What percentage or number of cattle placed on feed were born and raised on this operation?

Percent of cattle		Number of cattle
131	OR	132

[If Question 6 percentage equals 100 or the number of cattle is equal to the inventory of cattle reported in Question 1, SKIP to Question 13]

7. In terms of the source of the cattle placed on feed (the last place they were before they came to this feedlot), what percentage or number of cattle were:

	Percent of cattle		Number of cattle
a. Obtained directly from a cow-calf operation, including cow-calf operations owned by or associated with this feedlot?	133		139
b. Obtained directly from a backgrounding or stocker operation or grow yard (i.e., includes cattle purchased by video auction)?	134		140
c. Obtained through a sale barn?	135	OR	141
d. Obtained directly from a dairy operation, including dairy breed calf raiser?	136		142
e. Obtained from other sources? (Specify: 195_____)	137		143
f. Source unknown?	138		144
g. Total [Should equal 100 percent or the total inventory from Question 1 less cattle born and raised on this operation.]	100%		

8. Did the source (last location they were before they came to this feedlot) of cattle placed on feed in calendar year 2020 change compared with calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects?

838 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 8 equals NO, SKIP to Question 10]

9. What was the primary source of cattle in calendar year 2019?

839

1 ☐ Cow-calf operation

2 ☐ Backgrounding or stocker operation or grow yard

3 ☐ Sale barn

4 ☐ Dairy operation, including dairy breed calf raiser

5 ☐ Other (Specify:840_____)

10. On average, what percentage or number of cattle traveled the following distances to the feedlot from their most recent location?

	Percent of cattle		Number of cattle
a. Equal to or less than 50 miles	145		151
b. 51 - 250 miles	146		152
c. 251 - 500 miles	147	OR	153
d. 501 - 1000 miles	148		154
e. Greater than 1000 miles	149		155
f. Distance traveled not known	150		156
g. Total [Should equal 100 percent or the total inventory from Question 1 less cattle born and raised on this operation]	100%		

11. What percentage or number of cattle were sourced from each region? [Reference the map in Appendix A in the "Appendices" document that come in the survey packet in which you received your unique web code for examples]

	Percent of cattle		Number of cattle
a. Region 1 (CA, OR, WA, ID, NV, AK, HI)	157		166
b. Region 2 (MT, ND, SD, WY, NE, UT, CO, KS)	158		167
c. Region 3 (AZ, NM, TX, OK)	159	OR	168
d. Region 4 (MN, IA, MO, WI, IL, MI, IN, OH)	160		169
e. Region 5 (AR, LA, MS, AL, GA, FL, NC, SC, TN, KY, WV, VA)	161		170
f. Region 6 (MD, DE, PA, NJ, NY, VT, NH, MA, CT, RI, ME)	162		171
g. Region 7 (Mexico)	163		172
h. Region 8 (Canada)	164		173
i. Region of origin unknown	165		174
j. Total [Should equal 100 percent or total inventory from Question 1 less cattle born and raised on this operation]	100%		

12. After cattle arrived at this feedlot, what percentage or number of cattle were commingled with cattle from different sources during the first 45 days of feeding?

	Percent of cattle		Number of cattle	
a. Cattle with arrival weights less than 400 pounds	175		179	479 2 ☐ DK
b. Cattle with arrival weights 400 to 699 pounds	176	OR	180	480 2 ☐ DK
c. Cattle with arrival weights 700 to 899 pounds	177		181	481 2 ☐ DK
d. Cattle with arrival weights equal to or greater than 900 pounds	178		182	482 2 ☐ DK

13. What percentage of the cattle on feed were identified with an individual identification ear tag placed either at this feedlot or prior to arrival at this feedlot? Exclude stickers or slap on tags.

Percent of cattle	
183	483 2 ☐ DK

[If Question 13 equals zero or DK, Skip to Question 16]

14. Which of the following best describes the type of individual identification used on most of the cattle? [Check one only]

184

1 ☐ Electronic (RFID) ear tag (ultra high frequency)

2 ☐ Electronic (RFID) ear tag (high frequency)

3 ☐ Electronic (RFID) ear tag (low frequency)

4 ☐ Visual (non-electronic) eartag

5 ☐ Other (Specify: 185 _____)

15. Official USDA eartags can be either visual or electronic and are characterized by the official U.S. shield. What percentage of the cattle on feed on this feedlot were identified with an official identification eartag? [See Appendix B in the "Appendices" document that come in the survey packet in which you received your unique web code for examples]

Percent of cattle	
186	486 2 ☐ DK

16. What was the primary housing type used for cattle on this feedlot? [Check one only.] [See Appendix C in the "Appendices" document that come in the survey packet in which you received your unique web code for examples]

187

1 ☐ Open lot without barn or shed (with or without shade structures)

2 ☐ Open lot with open shed/loafing shed

3 ☐ Shed/barn with slatted floors (i.e., confinement barn) with no open lot

4 ☐ Shed/barn with solid floor (i.e., confinement barn) with no open lot

5 ☐ Other (Specify:188 _____)

[If Question 16 = 3 or 4, answer Question 17. Otherwise SKIP to Section B]

17. How was the shed/barn ventilated? [Check one only.]

189

1 ☐ Natural ventilation from ridge vents

2 ☐ Natural ventilation from large side openings

3 ☐ Natural ventilation from both ridge vents and large side openings

4 ☐ Mechanical ventilation system

5 ☐ Other (Specify: 190_____)

Section B - Antibiotic Use and Stewardship

1. What percentage of cattle are typically placed on this feedlot with the intention to feed to meet the following specific marketing label claims?

	Percent of Cattle
a. Marketing label claim of Certified USDA Organic	200
b. Marketing label claim of no or limited antibiotic use (excluding Certified USDA organic)	201
c. Marketing label claim of no hormone use (non-hormone treated cattle program)	202
d. No specific marketing label claims regarding antibiotics or hormones	203

[If the percentage of cattle in 1d equals 100, SKIP to Question 4]

2. What percentage of cattle that start the feeding period in a management program to meet following specific label claims typically finish in that program?

	Percent of Cattle	Not Applicable
a. Marketing label claim of Certified USDA Organic [Check NA if B.1.a is zero percent]	204	504 4 ☐ NA
b. Marketing label claim of no or limited antibiotic use (excluding Certified USDA organic) [Check NA if B.1.b is zero percent]	205	505 4 ☐ NA
c. Marketing label claim of no hormone use (non-hormone treated cattle program) [Check NA if B.1.c is zero percent]	206	506 4 ☐ NA

[If the percentage of cattle in 2b equals 0, SKIP to Question 4]

3. Which of the following are part of the marketing label claim regarding antibiotic use under which your cattle are marketed as described in Question 2b? [Check all that apply.]

251 1 ☐ No antibiotics ever (includes "raised without antibiotics")

252 1 ☐ No medically important antibiotics ever (e.g., only ionophores were used)

253 1 ☐ No antibiotics in the last 25 - 100 days prior to slaughter

254 1 ☐ Other claim regarding antibiotic use (Specify: 208 _____)

4. Were any antibiotics used in cattle on this feedlot (e.g., injectable, in feed, and/or in water) in calendar year 2020?

209 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 4 equals NO, SKIP to Question 13.]

5. Were injectable antibiotics administered to cattle as a GROUP (i.e., the majority of the cattle in the pen were given injectable antibiotics at the same time, e.g., for treatment, prevention, or control of bovine respiratory disease)?

210 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 5 equals NO, SKIP to Question 7]

6. For cattle that were administered injectable antibiotics as a GROUP, how frequently was the following information available OR captured/calculated in a record-keeping system? Available information must also include the pen number, lot number, and/or individual identification number of the animal(s) to which antibiotics were administered.

[Place one X per row in the appropriate column below]

		Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
a. Date(s) treated	211	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
b. Antibiotic given	212	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
c. Antibiotic dose, regimen, or protocol	213	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
d. Date animal has completed antibiotic withdrawal period and may be shipped to slaughter	214	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐

7. Were any individual cattle that became sick on this feedlot treated with injectable antibiotics?

215 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 7 equals NO, SKIP to Question 9.]

8. For cattle treated as individuals with injectable antibiotics, how frequently was the following information available OR captured/calculated in a record-keeping system? Available information also must include the individual identification number of the animal(s) treated.

[Place one X per row in the appropriate column below]

		Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
a. Date(s) treated	216	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
b. Antibiotic given	217	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
c. Antibiotic dose, regimen, or protocol	218	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
d. Date animal has completed antibiotic withdrawal period and may be shipped to slaughter	219	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐

9. Were any cattle on this feedlot given any type of antibiotics in feed? Include medically important antibiotics that do require a veterinary feed directive (VFD) such as chlortetracycline or tylosin and non-medically important antibiotics that do not require a VFD, such as ionophores (e.g., Rumensin®), bambermycin, and bacitracin. [Check one only.]

220

1 ☐ Cattle were given both medically and non-medically important antibiotics in feed

2 ☐ Cattle were given only medically important antibiotics in feed

3 ☐ Cattle were given only non-medically important antibiotics in feed

4 ☐ Cattle were not given any antibiotics in feed

[If Question 9 equals "Cattle were not given any antibiotics in feed", SKIP to Question 11.]

10. For cattle given any antibiotics in feed (medically important or non-medically important) how frequently was the following information available or captured/calculated in a record-keeping system? Available information also must include the pen number, lot number, and/or individual identification number of the animal(s) to which antibiotics were administered.

[Place one X per row in the appropriate column below]

		Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always	Not Applicable
a. Date antibiotic use began	221	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	
b. Date antibiotic use ended	222	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	
c. Antibiotic given	223	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	
d. Antibiotic dose, regimen, or protocol	224	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	
e. Date animal has completed antibiotic withdrawal period and may be shipped to slaughter. If no withdrawal period for all antibiotics used, check Not applicable.	225	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐

11. Were any cattle on this feedlot given antibiotics in water during this time period? 226 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 11 equals NO, SKIP to Question 13.]

12. For cattle given any antibiotics in water, how frequently was the following information available or captured/calculated in a record-keeping system? Available information also must include the pen number, lot number, and/or individual identification number of the animal(s) to which antibiotics were administered.
[Place one X per row in the appropriate column below.]

		Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
a. Date antibiotic use began	227	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
b. Date antibiotic use ended	228	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
c. Antibiotic given	229	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
d. Antibiotic dose, regimen, or protocol	230	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐
e. Date animal has completed antibiotic withdrawal period and may be shipped to slaughter	231	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐

13. Do you use electronic record-keeping systems to store production and/or animal health related information?

232 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 13 equals NO, SKIP to Question 16]

14. Which of the following was the primary electronic record-keeping system used? [Check one only]

233

1 ☐ Commercially available software designed for use in feedlots (e.g., Micro-Technologies, Turnkey, Hi-Plains)

2 ☐ Custom software, specifically designed for use by consulting practice or by this feedlot

3 ☐ Other spreadsheet or general database software (e.g., Microsoft Excel or Access)

4 ☐ Other (Specify:234_____)

15. How important to this feedlot are these electronic record-keeping systems for:

		Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
a. Comparing your feedlot to other feedlots?	235	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐
b. Comparing current information to historical information for this feedlot?	236	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐
c. Determining and recording when animals have completed antibiotic withdrawal periods?	237	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐
d. Tracking production?	238	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐
e. Tracking economic records?	239	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐

16. During the previous 5 years, have you or someone representing this feedlot attended or completed a Beef Quality Assurance (BQA) meeting or training session (online, national, State, or local)?

240 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No 2 ☐ Don't Know

17. During the previous 5 years, has this feedlot participated in a Beef Quality Assurance (BQA) Feedyard Assessment?

241 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No 2 ☐ Don't Know

18. Did your feedlot use the services of a veterinarian in calendar year 2020?

243 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 18 equals NO, answer Question 19 and then SKIP to Question 23]

[If Question 18 equals YES, SKIP to Question 20]

19. For feedlots that did not use the services of a veterinarian during this time period, which of the following was the primary reason for not using a veterinarian? [Check one only]

244

1 ☐ Veterinarian was available in the local area but not knowledgeable about beef cattle

2 ☐ Veterinarian was not available in the local area

3 ☐ Too expensive

4 ☐ Not needed

5 ☐ Other (Specify:245_____)

20. For feedlots that did use a veterinarian during this time period, was the primary veterinarian or veterinary clinic you used a:
[Check one only]

246

1 ☐ Full-time veterinarian(s) on staff (includes if the owner of the feedlot is a veterinarian)

2 ☐ Private veterinary clinic or consulting practice whose veterinarian(s) made routine visits for preventive care and could also be called as needed

3 ☐ Private veterinary clinic or consulting practice whose veterinarian(s) did not make routine visits for preventive care but could be called as needed

4 ☐ Other (Specify:247_____)

Number

21. (For feedlots that did use a veterinarian during this time period) In calendar year 2020, how many times was a veterinarian physically present on the feedlot? 248 ____

a. Was the number of times a veterinarian was physically present on the feedlot in calendar year 2020 different than the number of times a veterinarian was physically present on the feedlot in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects? 848 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 21a equals No, SKIP to Question 22]

b. Was this more or fewer than the number of times a veterinarian was physically present on the feedlot in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects? 849

1 ☐ More than 2019

3 ☐ Fewer than 2019

Number

22. (For feedlots that did use a veterinarian during this time period) In calendar year 2020, how many times was your feedlot in contact with a veterinarian other than in person, e.g. by telephone, video conference, or data transfer?

249

a. Was the number of times your feedlot was in contact with a veterinarian other than in person in calendar year 2020 different than the number of times your feedlot was in contact with a veterinarian due to COVID-19 or its effects?

850 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

[If Question 22a equals No, SKIP to Question 23]

b. Was this more or fewer than the number of times your feedlot was in contact with a veterinarian in calendar year 2019 due to COVID-19 or its effects?

851 1 ☐ More than 2019
3 ☐ Fewer than 2019

23. On January 1, 2017, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration implemented Guidance for Industry (GFI) #213 revising the Veterinary Feed Directive (VFD) rule. Regarding this rule change, indicate how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statement:
On January 1, 2017, I felt I had all the resources (e.g., access to veterinarians knowledgeable about the VFD, training, finances) necessary to manage the VFD rule change on this feedlot.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable (not in business on January 1, 2017)
305	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐	6 ☐

24. Do you agree to allow USDA/NASS staff to provide the following information to the State NAHMS Coordinator, who is employed by USDA-APHIS-NAHMS, a non-regulatory program; your name, address, phone number, email address, and any special notes regarding the operation or location of animals. A Federal or State veterinary medical officer (VMO) will contact you to administer a Phase II questionnaire, and you are free to accept or decline participation at that time. Data collected from the Phase II questionnaire will be confidential and no name or contact information will be associated with the data. Data are only presented in aggregated summaries.

9961 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

Please see Appendix D in the "Appendices" document that came in the survey packet in which you received your unique web code for more information.

Section C - Office Use Only

1. Interview response code. [Check one only.]

403

- [ ] 1 Complete, Question B.24 equals yes - Go to Item 3
- [ ] 2 Complete, Question B.24 equals no - Go to Item 2
- [ ] 3 Refused - Go to Item 2 and then go to ending time
- [ ] 4 Zero cattle on feed - Go to ending time
- [ ] 5 Out of business - Go to ending time
- [ ] 6 Backgrounder/stocker operation only - Go to ending time
- [ ] 7 Otherwise out of scope - Go to ending time
- [ ] 8 Office hold - Go to ending time
- [ ] 9 Inaccessible - Go to ending time

2. Refusal response code. [Check one only.]

404

- [ ] 1 Does not want to commit time to the project
- [ ] 2 Does not want involvement with government veterinarian or has had previous bad experience with veterinarian
- [ ] 3 Does not have necessary records available
- [ ] 4 Has participated in too many surveys
- [ ] 5 Does not want outside people on the feedlot
- [ ] 6 A bad time of year (planting, harvesting, second job, etc.)
- [ ] 7 Currently has or recently had a disease problem with herd
- [ ] 8 Believes that surveys and reports hurt the farmer more than help
- [ ] 9 Could not get owner's permission
- [ ] 10 No reason given or other (Specify:405_____)

Section C - Office Use Only

3. Which of the following best describes the respondent's position with this operation? [Check one only.]

406

1 ☐ Owner

2 ☐ Manager

3 ☐ Family member (other than owner or manager)

4 ☐ Other hired employee (non-veterinarian)

5 ☐ Veterinarian on staff (e.g., company veterinarian)

6 ☐ Herd veterinarian or other veterinarian

7 ☐ Other (Specify:411_____)

4. Did the respondent use records to assist in answering the survey?

412 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No

0005

ENDING TIME (MILITARY) ____ ____ ____ ____

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Please feel free to use this space to communicate comments about the survey or any other information about health management on your feedlot that you think is relevant, including any information about the impact of COVID-19 and its effects on the operations of the feedlot.

5. Comments: 906

This completes the survey. Thank you for your response.

OFFICE USE ONLY

Response		Respondent		Mode		Enum.	Eval.	Change	Office Use for POID			
1-Comp 2-R 3-Inac 4-Office Hold 5-R – Est 6-Inac – Est 7-Off Hold – Est	9901	1-Op/Mgr 2-Spouse 3-Acct/Bkpr 4-Partner 9-Other	9902	1-PASI (Mail) 2-PATI (Tel) 3-PAPI (Face-to-Face) 6-Email 7-Fax 19-Other	9903	9998	9900	9985	9989 — — — - — — — - — — —			
							R. Unit		Optional Use			
							9921		9907	9908	9906	9916