

Summary of Studies Supporting USDA Product Licensure

| Establishment Name | Ceva Animal Health, LLC |
|---|---|
| USDA Vet Biologics Establishment Number | 368 |
| Product Code | 1A91.R2 |
| True Name | Bursal Disease-Marek's Disease-Newcastle Disease Vaccine, Serotypes 2 & 3, Live Virus, Live Marek's Disease Vector |
| Tradename(s) / Distributor or Subsidiary (if different from manufacturer) | Ultifend IBD ND + SB1 - Biomune Company |
| Date of Compilation Summary | June 07, 2021 |

Disclaimer: Do not use the following studies to compare one product to another. Slight differences in study design and execution can render the comparisons meaningless.

| Study Type | Efficacy |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Pertaining to | Infectious Bursal Disease Virus (IBDV) USDA Standard |
| Study Purpose | To demonstrate effectiveness against IBDV USDA Standard strain |
| Product Administration | One dose administered by the in ovo route |
| Study Animals | 30 SPF chicken embryos per treatment group vaccinated at 18 |
| | days of incubation |
| Challenge Description | IBDV USDA Standard strain at five weeks of age |
| Interval observed after | Daily observation for 4 days post challenge; necropsy at 4 days |
| challenge | post challenge |
| Results | A chicken was considered affected by the challenge (positive) if grossly observable lesions caused by the IBDV USDA Standard challenge were present. 3/30 vaccinates, 30/30 positive controls and 0/30 negative controls were affected by the challenge, i.e 90% of vaccinates were protected against IBDV USDA Standard. Raw data are shown on the attached page. |
| USDA Approval Date | March 9, 2016 |

| Vaccinate ID | Infectious | Positive | Infectious | Negative | Infectious |
|--------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Bursal | Control ID | Bursal | Control ID | Bursal |
| | Disease | | Disease | | Disease |
| | Lesions ¹ | | Lesions | | Lesions |
| 1 | NA | 31 | P,A,Y | 61 | NA |
| 2 | NA | 32 | P,Y | 62 | NA |
| 3 | NA | 33 | E | 63 | NA |
| 4 | NA | 34 | P,A,Y | 64 | NA |
| 5 | NA | 35 | P,A,Y | 65 | NA |
| 6 | NA | 36 | A,E | 66 | NA |
| 7 | NA | 37 | P,E | 67 | NA |
| 8 | NA | 38 | P,A,Y | 68 | NA |
| 9 | NA | 39 | Y | 69 | NA |
| 10 | NA | 40 | Y | 70 | NA |
| 11 | NA | 41 | A,Y,E | 71 | NA |
| 12 | NA | 42 | P,A | 72 | NA |
| 13 | NA | 43 | P,A | 73 | NA |
| 14 | NA | 44 | P,Y | 74 | NA |
| 15 | NA | 45 | A,Y,E | 75 | NA |
| 16 | NA | 46 | A,E | 76 | NA |
| 17 | NA | 47 | A,Y,E | 77 | NA |
| 18 | NA | 48 | A,Y,E | 78 | NA |
| 19 | NA | 49 | Е | 79 | NA |
| 20 | NA | 50 | P,A,Y | 80 | NA |
| 21 | A | 51 | A,Y,E | 81 | NA |
| 22 | NA | 52 | Y,E | 82 | NA |
| 23 | NA | 53 | Y | 83 | NA |
| 24 | A,M | 54 | A,E | 84 | NA |
| 25 | NA | 55 | P,A,Y | 85 | NA |
| 26 | NA | 56 | A,Y,E | 86 | NA |
| 27 | NA | 57 | P,A | 87 | NA |
| 28 | A | 58 | A | 88 | NA |
| 29 | NA | 59 | A,E | 89 | NA |
| 30 | NA | 60 | A,Y,E | 90 | NA |

¹ Gross lesion: A=atrophy, Y=yellowish color, E=edema, P=peribursal edema, M=macroscopic hemorrhage, NA=not applicable (no lesions)

| Study Type | Efficad | су. | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Pertaining to | | Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Delaware Variant E Strain | | | | | | | |
| | | (IBDV-Var. E) | | | | | | | |
| Study Purpose | To den | To demonstrate effectiveness against IBDV-Var. E | | | | | | | |
| Product Administration | One do | One dose administered subcutaneously at day of age | | | | | | | |
| Study Animals | 1. | | es: 30 SPF chic | | day of age | were | | | |
| | | | d with the test | | | | | | |
| | 2. | | 30 SPF chicke | ns were | placebo-v | accinated | | | |
| | | (positive control group) Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Delaware Variant E | | | | | | | |
| Challenge Description | | | | | | | | | |
| | challenge strain at 5 weeks of age for both the vaccinate and | | | | | | | | |
| | control groups (35 days post-vaccination). Observed daily for eight days post-challenge and were observed | | | | | | | | |
| Interval observed after | | | | | | | | | |
| challenge | | | accine reaction | | s were exa | imined at | eight | | |
| | | | ge for IBDV le | | • • | CO CER | | | |
| Results | Vaccinates and Controls were evaluated in terms of 9 CFR | | | | | | | | |
| | 113.331 (Bursal Disease Vaccine) efficacy, except for the challenge time observed, the necropsy was done at 8 days post- | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | • • | ost- | | |
| | lesion. | - | rophy of the bu | irsa was | included a | is a gross | | | |
| | lesion. | | | | | | | | |
| | were n positiv lesions | ot observe e if any IB included: | onsidered nega d at the time of BD-related gros peri-bursal edu coloration, and | f necrops s lesions ema, ede | sy and was s were obse ema, macro | considered erved. The oscopic | ed | | |
| | | | | | IBDV- Chall | | | | |
| | | Group | Treatment | Vaccine Route | No. Protected/ Total No. | % Protected | | | |
| | | Vaccinates | rHVT/ND/IBD & SB-1 | SQ | 27/30 | 90% | | | |
| | Positive controlsPlacebo- vaccinatedSQ2/307% | | | | | | | | |
| | | accinates an ge, IBDV- | nd 28/30 Positiv Var. E. | ve Contro | ols were af | fected by t | he | | |
| | Raw da | ata are show | wn on the attach | ned page. | | | | | |
| USDA Approval Date | Noven | nber 25, 20 | 020 | | | | | | |

| Vaccinate | IBD | Bursal Lesion | Control | IBD | Bursal Lesion |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|
| ID | Lesions | 22.42 | ID | Lesions | |
| 141 | Neg ¹ Pos ³ | NA ² | 146 | Pos ¹ | Atrophy |
| 142 | | Atrophy | 147 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 143 | Neg | NA | 148 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 144 | Neg | NA | 150 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 145 | Neg | NA | 151 | Neg ² | NA ³ |
| 149 | Pos | Atrophy | 152 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 156 | Neg | NA | 153 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 160 | Neg | NA | 154 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 163 | Neg | NA | 155 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 165 | Neg | NA | 157 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 166 | Neg | NA | 158 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 167 | Neg | NA | 159 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 169 | Neg | NA | 164 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 171 | Neg | NA | 172 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 174 | Neg | NA | 173 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 175 | Neg | NA | 176 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 179 | Pos | Atrophy | 178 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 181 | Neg | NA | 182 | Neg | NA |
| 184 | Neg | NA | 183 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 185 | Neg | NA | 186 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 187 | Neg | NA | 191 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 188 | Neg | NA | 194 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 190 | Neg | NA | 195 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 193 | Neg | NA | 196 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 197 | Neg | NA | 198 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 200 | Neg | NA | 199 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 201 | Neg | NA | 202 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 203 | Neg | NA | 208 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 204 | Neg | NA | 209 | Pos | Atrophy |
| 207 | Neg | NA | 210 | Pos | Atrophy |

 $\frac{207}{1 \text{ Neg} = \text{negative for gross lesions of IBD}}$ $\frac{1}{2} \text{ NA} = \text{not applicable}$ $\frac{1}{3} \text{ Pos} = \text{positive for gross lesions of IBD}$

| Study Type | Efficacy |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Pertaining to | Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) Texas GB strain and Infectious |
| | Bursal Disease Virus (IBDV) USDA Standard strain |
| Study Purpose | To demonstrate effectiveness against NDV Texas GB and IBDV |
| | USDA Standard infections |
| Product Administration | One dose administered by the subcutaneous route |
| Study Animals | 30 SPF chickens per treatment group vaccinated at day of age |
| Challenge Description | For one vaccinate group and one control group: NDV Texas GB at |
| | four weeks of age; for a second vaccinate group and a second |
| | control group: IBDV USDA Standard at five weeks of age |
| Interval observed after | For NDV Texas GB challenged chickens: daily observation for 14 |
| challenge | days post challenge; for IBDV USDA Standard: daily observation |
| | for four days post challenge and necropsy at four days post |
| | challenge |
| Results | For NDV Texas GB challenged chickens, a chicken was |
| | considered affected by the challenge (positive) if clinical signs of |
| | Newcastle Disease were present. |
| | 0/30 vaccinates, 30/30 positive controls and 0/32 negative controls |
| | were affected by the challenge, i.e 100% of vaccinates were |
| | protected against NDV Texas GB. |
| | For IBDV USDA Standard challenged chickens, a chicken was |
| | considered affected by the challenge (positive) if gross lesions of |
| | Infectious Bursal Disease were present. |
| | 1/30 vaccinates, 29/30 positive controls and 0/20 negative controls |
| | were affected by the challenge, i.e. 97% of vaccinates were |
| | protected against IBDV USDA Standard. |
| | Raw data are shown on the attached pages. |
| USDA Approval Date | January 28, 2016 |

| Vaccinate ID | Clincial Signs | Positive | Clincial Signs | Negative | Clincial Signs |
|--------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| | of Newcastle | Control ID | of Newcastle | Control ID | of Newcastle |
| | Disease | | Disease | | Disease |
| 1 | Neg | 31 | Pos | 121 | Neg |
| 2 | Neg | 32 | Pos | 122 | Neg |
| 3 | Neg | 33 | Pos | 123 | Neg |
| 4 | Neg | 34 | Pos | 124 | Neg |
| 5 | Neg | 35 | Pos | 125 | Neg |
| 6 | Neg | 36 | Pos | 126 | Neg |
| 7 | Neg | 37 | Pos | 127 | Neg |
| 8 | Neg | 38 | Pos | 128 | Neg |
| 9 | Neg | 39 | Pos | 129 | Neg |
| 10 | Neg | 40 | Pos | 130 | Neg |
| 11 | Neg | 41 | Pos | 131 | Neg |
| 12 | Neg | 42 | Pos | 132 | Neg |
| 13 | Neg | 43 | Pos | 133 | Neg |
| 14 | Neg | 44 | Pos | 134 | Neg |
| 15 | Neg | 45 | Pos | 135 | Neg |
| 16 | Neg | 46 | Pos | 136 | Neg |
| 17 | Neg | 47 | Pos | 137 | Neg |
| 18 | Neg | 48 | Pos | 138 | Neg |
| 19 | Neg | 49 | Pos | 139 | Neg |
| 20 | Neg | 50 | Pos | 140 | Neg |
| 21 | Neg | 51 | Pos | 141 | Neg |
| 22 | Neg | 52 | Pos | 142 | Neg |
| 23 | Neg | 53 | Pos | 143 | Neg |
| 24 | Neg | 54 | Pos | 144 | Neg |
| 25 | Neg | 55 | Pos | 145 | Neg |
| 26 | Neg | 56 | Pos | 146 | Neg |
| 27 | Neg | 57 | Pos | 147 | Neg |
| 28 | Neg | 58 | Pos | 148 | Neg |
| 29 | Neg | 59 | Pos | 149 | Neg |
| 30 | Neg | 60 | Pos | 150 | Neg |
| | | | | 151 | Neg |
| | | | | 152 | Neg |

| IBDV U | SDA S | Standard | challe | nge |
|--------|-------|----------|--------|-----|
|--------|-------|----------|--------|-----|

| Vaccinate ID | Lesions of | Positive | Lesions of | Negative | Lesions of |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Infectious | Control ID | Infectious | Control ID | Infectious |
| | Bursal | | Bursal | | Bursal |
| | Disease | | Disease | | Disease |
| 61 | NA | 91 | А | 121 | NA |
| 62 | A | 92 | A,P | 122 | NA |
| 63 | NA | 93 | A,P | 123 | NA |
| 64 | NA | 94 | A,E | 124 | NA |
| 65 | NA | 95 | Y,P | 125 | NA |
| 66 | NA | 96 | A,E | 126 | NA |
| 67 | NA | 97 | Y,P,E | 127 | NA |
| 68 | NA | 98 | A | 128 | NA |
| 69 | NA | 99 | A,M | 129 | NA |
| 70 | NA | 100 | A | 130 | NA |
| 71 | NA | 101 | A | 131 | NA |
| 72 | NA | 102 | A | 132 | NA |
| 73 | NA | 103 | A,E | 133 | NA |
| 74 | NA | 104 | P,Y,E | 134 | NA |
| 75 | NA | 105 | A,E | 135 | NA |
| 76 | NA | 106 | A,P | 136 | NA |
| 77 | NA | 107 | A,P | 137 | NA |
| 78 | NA | 108 | A,M | 138 | NA |
| 79 | NA | 109 | A | 139 | NA |
| 80 | NA | 110 | A,P | 140 | NA |
| 81 | NA | 111 | A,M,E | | |
| 82 | NA | 112 | P,Y | | |
| 83 | NA | 113 | P,M,E | | |
| 84 | NA | 114 | NA | | |
| 85 | NA | 115 | A,E | | |
| 86 | NA | 116 | A,M | | |
| 87 | NA | 117 | Ē | | |
| 88 | NA | 118 | Е | | |
| 89 | NA | 119 | A,M | | |
| 90 | NA | 120 | A,M,E | | |

¹ Gross lesion: A=atrophy, Y=yellowish color, E=edema, P=peribursal edema, M=macroscopic hemorrhage, NA=not applicable (no lesions)

| Study Type | Efficacy |
|-------------------------|---|
| Pertaining to | Marek's Disease Virus (MDV) RB1/B |
| Study Purpose | To demonstrate effectiveness against MDV RB1/B |
| Product Administration | 1. One dose administered by the subcutaneous route |
| | 2. One dose administered by the in ovo route |
| Study Animals | 1. 45 SPF chickens per treatment group vaccinated at day of age |
| | 2. 45 SPF chicken embryos per treatment group vaccinated at 18 |
| | days of incubation |
| Challenge Description | MDV RB1/B at five days of age |
| Interval observed after | Daily observation for 44 days post challenge; necropsy at 44 days |
| challenge | post challenge |
| Results | A chicken was considered affected by the challenge (positive) if grossly observable lesions caused by the MDV RB1/B challenge were present. |
| | In ovo vaccination: 5/45 vaccinates were affected by the challenge, i.e 89% of vaccinates were protected against MDV RB1/B. |
| | SQ vaccination: 7/45 vaccinates were affected by the challenge, i.e 84% of vaccinates were protected against MDV RB1/B. |
| | Controls: 10/43 HVT serotype 3 controls, 42/45 positive controls and 0/45 negative controls were affected by the challenge. |
| | Raw data are shown on the attached page. |
| USDA Approval Date | October 18, 2016 |

| ID 1 N 2 L 3 N 4 L 5 N 6 N 7 N 8 N | Lesions ¹ NA L,K,G NA L,Sp,K,G NA NA | Vaccinate ID 46 47 48 49 50 | Lesions NA NA NA | Serotype 3 Control ID 91 92 | Lesions NA | Control ID 134 | Lesions | Negative Control ID | Lesions |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 1 N 2 L 3 N 4 L 5 N 6 N 7 N 8 N | L,K,G VA L,Sp,K,G VA VA | 46 47 48 49 | NA NA | Control ID 91 | | | H.G. | | |
| 2 L 3 N 4 L 5 N 6 N 7 N 8 N | L,K,G VA L,Sp,K,G VA VA | 47 48 49 | NA NA | | | 134 | II C | 1 - 0 | |
| 3 N 4 L 5 N 6 N 7 N 8 N | NA L,Sp,K,G NA NA | 48 49 | NA | 92 | | 101 | H,Sp | 179 | NA |
| 4 L 5 N 6 N 7 N 8 N | L,Sp,K,G NA NA | 49 | | | NA | 135 | NA | 180 | NA |
| 5 N 6 N 7 N 8 N | NA NA | | | 93 | H,L | 136 | Н | 181 | NA |
| 6 N 7 N 8 N | NA | 50 | NA | 94 | K | 137 | Н | 182 | NA |
| 7 N 8 N | | | NA | 95 | NA | 138 | Н | 183 | NA |
| 8 N | JΔ | 51 | NA | 96 | NA | 139 | H,G | 184 | NA |
| | | 52 | NA | 97 | NA | 140 | L,G,K,H, M | 185 | NA |
| lo h | NA | 53 | H,G,K | 98 | NA | | H,K | 186 | NA |
| | NA | 54 | NA | 99 | NA | | Н | 187 | NA |
| | NA | 55 | NA | 100 | NA | 143 | Sp,K | 188 | NA |
| | G,K | 56 | NA | 101 | NA | | H, Sp | 189 | NA |
| | NA | 57 | NA | 102 | NA | 145 | L,H,K | 190 | NA |
| | NA | 58 | H,L,Sp | 103 | NA | - | Н | 191 | NA |
| | NA | 59 | NA | 104 | NA | | H,K,G | 192 | NA |
| 15 N | NA | 60 | NA | 105 | NA | 148 | H,Sp | 193 | NA |
| 16 N | NA | | NA | 106 | NA | | H,L,Sp, K,G | 194 | NA |
| 17 N | NA | 62 | NA | 107 | NA | 150 | H,L | 195 | NA |
| 18 L | L,Sp,K,G | 63 | NA | 108 | Sp,G,I | 151 | H,Sp | 196 | NA |
| 19 N | NA | 64 | NA | 109 | NA | 152 | H,K | 197 | NA |
| 20 N | NA | 65 | NA | 110 | NA | 153 | L,G,K,H | 198 | NA |
| 21 N | NA | 66 | NA | 111 | NA | 154 | H,K | 199 | NA |
| 22 N | NA | 67 | NA | 112 | NA | 155 | NA | 200 | NA |
| 23 K | | 68 | NA | 113 | NA | | Н | 201 | NA |
| 24 N | NA | 69 | NA | 114 | L | | H,L,Sp, K,G | 202 | NA |
| 25 N | NA | 70 | NA | 115 | NA | | H,L,Sp, K,G | 203 | NA |
| 26 N | NA | 71 | H,L,G | 116 | NA | | H,L,Sp, K,G | 204 | NA |
| 27 K | ζ | 72 | NA | 117 | L,Sp,G,K | 160 | K,H | 205 | NA |
| 28 N | NA | 73 | NA | 118 | H,K,Sp,M | 161 | H,M | 206 | NA |
| 29 N | NA | 74 | NA | 119 | NA | 162 | H,K | 207 | NA |
| 30 N | NA | 75 | NA | 120 | NA | 163 | H,Sp | 208 | NA |
| 31 N | NA | 76 | NA | 121 | NA | 164 | H,G,K | 209 | NA |
| 32 N | NA | 77 | NA | 122 | NA | 165 | Н | 210 | NA |
| 33 N | NA | 78 | NA | 123 | NA | 166 | H,Sp,K,G | 211 | NA |
| | NA | 79 | NA | 124 | NA | 167 | H,L,Sp,K | 212 | NA |
| 35 N | NA | 80 | NA | 125 | NA | 168 | H,Sp,K | 213 | NA |
| 36 N | NA | 81 | L,K,G | 126 | NA | 169 | NA | 214 | NA |
| 37 N | NA | 82 | NA | 127 | L,G,K,Sp | 170 | H,Sp | 215 | NA |
| 38 N | NA | 83 | NA | 128 | Н | 171 | H,K,Sp | 216 | NA |
| 39 | G,K,Sp | 84 | NA | 129 | NA | 172 | H,Sp | 217 | NA |
| 40 N | NA | 85 | H,L,K | 130 | NA | 173 | Н | 218 | NA |
| 41 N | NA | 86 | NA | 131 | L,K,Sp,G | 174 | H,K | 219 | NA |
| 42 N | NA | 87 | NA | 132 | NA | 175 | H,K | 220 | NA |
| 43 N | NA | 88 | NA | 133 | K,M,I | | H,K,G | 221 | NA |
| | NA | 89 | NA | | | | H,L,Sp,K | 222 | NA |
| | NA | | NA | | | | H | 223 | NA |

¹ Tissue with lesion: K=kidney, Sp=spleen, L=liver, H=heart, G=gonad, N=nerves, Sk=skin, E=eye, M=muscle, I=intestines, NA=not applicable (no lesions)

| Study Type | Efficacy | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Pertaining to | Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) Texas GB | | | | | |
| Study Purpose | To demonstrate effectiveness against NDV Texas GB strain | | | | | |
| Product Administration | One dose administered by the in ovo route | | | | | |
| Study Animals | 30 SPF chicken embryos per treatment group vaccinated at 18 | | | | | |
| | days of incubation | | | | | |
| Challenge Description | NDV Texas GB strain at 28 days of age | | | | | |
| Interval observed after | Daily observation for 14 days post challenge | | | | | |
| challenge | | | | | | |
| Results | A chicken was considered affected by the challenge (positive) if clinical signs of Newcastle disease caused by the NDV Texas GB challenge were present. 3/30 vaccinates, 30/30 positive controls and 0/30 negative controls were affected by the challenge, i.e. 90% of vaccinates were protected against NDV Texas GB. Raw data are shown on the attached page. | | | | | |
| USDA Approval Date | February 26, 2016 | | | | | |

| Vaccinate | nate Clinical Signs Positive | | Clinical Signs | Negative | Clinical Signs | |
|-----------|------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|--|
| ID | of Newcastle | Control ID | of Newcastle | Control ID | of Newcastle | |
| | Disease ¹ | | Disease | | Disease | |
| 1 | Neg | 31 | Pos | 61 | Neg | |
| 2 | Neg | 32 | Pos | 62 | Neg | |
| 3 | Neg | 33 | Pos | 63 | Neg | |
| 4 | Neg | 34 | Pos | 64 | Neg | |
| 5 | Neg | 35 | Pos | 65 | Neg | |
| 6 | Neg | 36 | Pos | 66 | Neg | |
| 7 | Pos | 37 | Pos | 67 | Neg | |
| 8 | Pos | 38 | Pos | 68 | Neg | |
| 9 | Neg | 39 | Pos | 69 | Neg | |
| 10 | Neg | 40 | Pos | 70 | Neg | |
| 11 | Neg | 41 | Pos | 71 | Neg | |
| 12 | Neg | 42 | Pos | 72 | Neg | |
| 13 | Neg | 43 | Pos | 73 | Neg | |
| 14 | Neg | 44 | Pos | 74 | Neg | |
| 15 | Neg | 45 | Pos | 75 | Neg | |
| 16 | Neg | 46 | Pos | 76 | Neg | |
| 17 | Neg | 47 | Pos | 77 | Neg | |
| 18 | Neg | 48 | Pos | 78 | Neg | |
| 19 | Pos | 49 | Pos | 79 | Neg | |
| 20 | Neg | 50 | Pos | 80 | Neg | |
| 21 | Neg | 51 | Pos | 81 | Neg | |
| 22 | Neg | 52 | Pos | 82 | Neg | |
| 23 | Neg | 53 | Pos | 83 | Neg | |
| 24 | Neg | 54 | Pos | 84 | Neg | |
| 25 | Neg | 55 | Pos | 85 | Neg | |
| 26 | Neg | 56 | Pos | 86 | Neg | |
| 27 | Neg | 57 | Pos | 87 | Neg | |
| 28 | Neg | 58 | Pos | 88 | Neg | |
| 29 | Neg | 59 | Pos | 89 | Neg | |
| 30 | Neg | 60 | Pos | 90 | Neg | |

¹ Clinical Signs: Pos=death, Neg=negative for clinical signs, including death

| Study Type | Safety | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Pertaining to | ALL | | | | | | | |
| Study Purpose | Field Safety | | | | | | | |
| Product | One dose administered via the <i>in ovo</i> route. | | | | | | | |
| Administration | | | | | | | | |
| Study Animals | Broiler chickens at 18 or 19 days of embryonation. Two independent study sites. | | | | | | | |
| Challenge Description | Not appl | Not applicable | | | | | | |
| Interval observed after challenge | | Animals were observed daily for mortality through 21 days after vaccination. | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | | |
| | Location | Treatment | % Hatchability | Total Chicks Placed | 21 Day Mortality | % Mortality | % Condemnation | |
| | 1 | Product Code 1A91.R0 | 88.09 | 33,300 | 578 | 1.74 | 0.034 | |
| | 1 | Control | 84.05 | 34,500 | 406 | 1.18 | 0.033 | |
| | 2 | Product Code 1A91.R0 | 89.69 | 28,700 | 544 | 1.90 | 0.13 | |
| | 2 | Control | 88.00 | 28,700 | 462 | 1.61 | 0.18 | |
| | No adverse reactions attributable to the vaccine were recorded. | | | | | | | |
| USDA Approval Date | August 1, 2017 | | | | | | | |

| Study Type | Safety | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|--|
| Pertaining to | ALL | | | | | | | |
| Study Purpose | Demonstrate safety of product under typical use conditions. | | | | | | | |
| Product | One dose | administered vi | a the subcut | aneous r | oute. | | | |
| Administration | | | | | | | | |
| Study Animals | Commercial chickens at day of age. Chickens were observed daily for 22 days after vaccination. | | | | | | | |
| Challenge Description | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| Interval | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| observed after | The applie | | | | | | | |
| challenge | | | | | | | | |
| Results | | | | | | | | |
| | | Vaccine Serial No./Treatment Group | No. of Chickens Vaccinated | No. of Birds Placed | Mortality | | | |
| | Location | | | | Total No. of Deaths | Percent | Observations | |
| | PA | 377-001 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 208 | 1.04% | No adverse reactions | |
| | MD | control | 19,998 | 19,998 | 155 | 0.77% | No adverse reactions | |
| | | 377-002 | 86,500 | 86,500 | 534 | 0.62% | No adverse reactions | |
| | | control | 86,600 | 86,600 | 512 | 0.59% | No adverse reactions | |
| | No advers | e reactions attri | ibutable to th | ne vaccin | ne were i | recorded. | | |
| USDA Approval Date | October 4 | , 2017 | | | | | | |