

Establishment Name	Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health USA Inc.
USDA Vet Biologics Establishment Number	124
Product Code	1431.51
True Name	Coccidiosis Vaccine, Live Oocysts
Tradename(s) / Distributor or Subsidiary (if different from manufacturer)	
Date of Compilation Summary	May 17, 2019

Disclaimer: Do not use the following studies to compare one product to another. Slight differences in study design and execution can render the comparisons meaningless.

Study Type	Efficacy						
Pertaining to	Eimeria acervulina						
Study Purpose	Demonstrate efficacy against Eimeria acervulina						
Product Administration	Coarse spray						
Study Animals	Chickens						
Challenge Description							
Interval observed after							
challenge							
Results	Study data were evaluated by USDA-APHIS prior to product licensure and met regulatory standards for acceptance at the time of submission. No data are published because this study was submitted to USDA-APHIS prior to January 1, 2007, and APHIS only requires publication of data submitted after that date.						
USDA Approval Date	November 27, 2006						

~							
Study Type	Efficacy						
Pertaining to	Eimeria maxima						
Study Purpose	Demonstrate efficacy against Eimeria maxima						
Product Administration	Coarse spray						
Study Animals	Chickens						
Challenge Description							
Interval observed after							
challenge							
Results	Study data were evaluated by USDA-APHIS prior to product licensure and met regulatory standards for acceptance at the time of submission. No data are published because this study was submitted to USDA-APHIS prior to January 1, 2007, and APHIS only requires publication of data submitted after that date.						
USDA Approval Date	November 27, 2006						

Study Type	Efficacy										
Pertaining to	Eimeria tenella										
Study Purpose		Demonstrate efficacy against Eimeria tenella									
Product Administration	One dose via coarse spray										
Study Animals	Chickens at day of age										
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Chickens at day of age										
	Group 1 :V	/accina	ted, d	challe	nged:	44					
	Group 3 : Y				-		45				
	Group 5: N										
	Group 6: N										
						_					
Challenge Description	Challenged	d with I	Eimer	ria ter	nella c	on Da	y 28 of stu	udy			
Interval observed after	Birds were	observ	ved fo	or 6 da	ays an	d on	Study Day	y 34, all birds in			
challenge	all groups	were ei	ıthan	ized a	nd in	testin	al lesions	were scored.			
Results	Birds with	lesion	score	es ¹ les	s than	or ec	qual to 1 w	vere not			
	considered	to be a	affect	ed wi	th the	disea	use and bir	ds with scores			
		n or eq	ual to	o 2 we	ere con	nside	red to be a	iffected with the			
	disease.										
	Summary		ected	birds	5						
	Group 1 : 1										
	Group 3 : 0	0/45									
	Group 5: 3	5/44									
	Group 6: 0	/14									
	Number of Birds by Lesion Score										
	Group Category										
		0	1	2	3	4	Total birds				
							211.40	•••			
	1	38	5	1	0	0	44				
								-			
	3	44	1	0	0	0	45				
	3	44	1			Ŭ	45				
	5 3 6 21 14 0 44   6 14 0 0 0 14										
	¹ Scoring was in accordance with the Johnson and Reid coccidial scoring system, as described in										
	Experimental Pa							,,			
	D 1 .		1 1			J. •	4.1	× 1			
	Raw data o	on attac	ned p	page f	or bir	as wi	th lesions	<u>&gt;</u> 1.			
USDA Approval Date	An area 7	2007									
LINDA Annroval Data	August 7, 2	2007									

## Individual bird intestinal lesion scoring¹ data of birds with lesions

data of birds with lesions								
Group	Unit	Bird	Lesion Score	Affected by E. tenella				
		3	1	No				
	30	4	1	No				
1		6	1	No				
		1	1	No				
	31	4	2	Yes				
	32	8	1	No				
3	28	12	1	No				
		1	2	Yes				
		3	3	Yes				
		4	1	No				
		5	2	Yes				
		6	1	No				
		7	2	Yes				
	13	8	3	Yes				
	15	9	1	No				
		10	3	Yes				
		10	3	Yes				
		12	1	No				
		13	2	Yes				
		14	2	Yes				
		2	3	Yes				
		3	1	No				
		4	2	Yes				
		5	3	Yes				
		6	3	Yes				
		7	2	Yes				
	14	8	3	Yes				
5		9	3	Yes				
		10	2	Yes				
		11	2	Yes				
		13	3	Yes				
		14	2	Yes				
		15	3	Yes				
		1	2	Yes				
		2	2	Yes				
		3	2	Yes				
		4	2	Yes				
		5	3	Yes				
		6	2	Yes				
		7	3	Yes				
	15	8	2	Yes				
		9	2	Yes				
		10	2	Yes				
		11	2	Yes				
		12	2	Yes				
		13	1	No				
		14	3	Yes				
		15	2	Yes				

¹Scoring was in accordance with the Johnson and Reid coccidial scoring system, as described in Experimental Parasitology, Vol. 28, P. 30-36, 1970.

 1.30 30, 1370.					
Score	Lesion Description				
0	None				
1	Mild lesions				
2	Moderate lesions				
3	Severe lesions				
4	Extremely severe lesions or death				

Study Type	Safety						
Pertaining to	ALL						
Study Purpose	Demonstrate safety under field conditions						
Product Administration	Coarse spray						
Study Animals	Chickens at day of age						
Challenge Description	Not applicable						
Interval observed after	Mortality was recorded three weeks (21-25 days) after vaccination.						
challenge	A subset of birds were selected at random from the test (vaccinate)						
	and control houses for necropsy and examination of the gut and						
	ceca for lesions of coccidiosis.						
Results	Please see attached page for data summary.						
USDA Approval Date	March 20, 2008						

Study s	site	Co	ontrol Hou	se	Test (Vaccinate) House				
	House	Mortality	Total # birds	% Mortality	Mortality	Total # birds	% Mortality		
Farm 1	1	336	27100	1.24%	227	21400	1.06%		
	2	454	27100	1.68%	266	21400	1.24%		
	3	274	27100	1.01%	239	21400	1.12%		
	4	296	27100	1.09%	234	21400	1.09%		
	5	-	-	-	257	21400	1.20%		
	6	-	-	-	165	0.77%			
TOTAL		1360	108400	1.26%	1388	128400	1.08%		
Farm 2	1	417	15303	2.72%	605	15276	3.96%		
	2	464	15392	3.01%	485	15137	3.20%		
	3	353	15076	2.34%	566	14850	3.81%		
	4	507	14986	3.38%	305	13346	2.29%		
	5	300	14980	2.00%	-	-	-		
	6	237	15027	1.58%	-	-	-		
TOTAL		2278	90764	2.51%	1961	58609	3.35%		
Farm 3	1	619	21000	2.95%	407	21000	1.94%		
	2	681	21000	3.24%	534	21000	2.54%		
	3	681	21000	3.24%	549	21100	2.60%		
	4	765	21000	3.64%	585	21100	2.77%		
	5	-	-	-	728	21100	3.45%		
	6	-	-	-	839	21150	3.97%		
TOTAL		2746	84000	3.27%	3642	126450	2.88%		

Table 1: Total and House Mortality for Control and Test Farms at Three Weeks-of-Age

Company Name		E	E. acer	vulina			E. maxima				E. tenella					
	House ¹						Lesion Scores									
	С/Т	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4
Farm 1	1	6/6 ²	-	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-
	2	†/6	-	-	-	-	†/6	-	-	-	-	†/6	-	-	-	-
	3	6/6	-	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-
	4	†/†	-	-	-	-	†/†	-	-	-	-	†/†	-	-	-	-
	5	‡/†	-	-	-	-	‡/†	-	-	-	-	‡/†	-	-	-	-
	6	‡/†	-	-	-	-	‡/†	-	-	-	-	‡/†	-	-	-	-
Total		12/18	-	-	-	-	12/18	-	-	-	-	12/18	-	-	-	-
Farm 2	1	6/6	-	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-
	2	5/5	0/1	1/0	-	-	5/6	-	-	1/0	-	2/6	4/0	-	-	-
	3	6/5	0/1	-	-	-	6/6	-	-	-	-	4/6	2/0	-	-	-
	4	6/6	-	-	-	I	6/6	I	-	-	1	4/6	2/0	-	-	-
	5	6/‡	-	-	-	-	6/‡	-	-	-	-	5/‡	1/‡	-	-	-
	6	0/‡	5/‡	1/‡	-	I	6/‡	I	-	-	I	6/‡	I	-	-	-
Total		29/22	5/2	2/0	-	I	35/24	I	-	1/0	I	27/24	9/0	-	-	-
Farm 3	1	6/6	-	-	-	I	6/6	I	-	-	I	6/6	I	-	-	-
	2	6/6	-	-	-	I	6/6	I	-	-	I	6/6	I	-	-	-
	3	6/6	-	-	I	I	6/6	I	-	-	I	6/6	-	-	I	-
	4	6/6	-	-	I	I	6/6	I	-	-	I	6/6	-	-	I	-
	5	‡/6	-	-	-	1	‡/6	1	-	-	1	‡/6	-		-	-
	6	‡/6	-	-	-	1	‡/6	1	-	-	1	‡/6	-	-	-	-
Total		24/36	-	-	-	-	24/36	-	-	-	-	24/36	-	-	-	-

Table 2: Coccidiosis lesion scores* of the intestinal tract for Eimeria species

*Birds with lesion scores less than or equal to 1 were not considered to be affected with the disease and birds with scores greater than or equal to 2 were considered to be affected with the disease. Note at Farm 1 there were a few birds noted by the investigator to have *E. acervulina* scores of 1, however since these are considered normal for this age they were not recorded.

Scoring was in accordance with the Johnson and Reid coccidial scoring system, as described in Experimental Parasitology, Vol. 28, P. 30-36, 1970.

Score	Lesion Description
0	None
1	Mild lesions
2	Moderate lesions
3	Severe lesions
4	Extremely severe lesions or death

¹House C/T=Control House/Test House.

²Number of birds necropsied per Control or Test House.

†Birds were not necropsied in one or more of the Control or Test Houses. Birds were not necropsied in these houses because the licensee investigator considered it was not necessary due to the health status and uniformity of the flocks.

**‡**There were four controls houses at Farms 1 and 3, and four test houses at Farm 2.