

## **United States Department of Agriculture**

United States Department of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

June 21, 2016

Version 1

## Weed Risk Assessment for *Bifora radians* M. Bieb (Apiaceae) – Wild bishop



Herbarium image of *Bifora radians* (source: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem; Röpert, 2000+ [continuously updated]).

## **Agency Contact:**

Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory Center for Plant Health Science and Technology

Plant Protection and Quarantine Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service United States Department of Agriculture 1730 Varsity Drive, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606 **Introduction** Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ) regulates noxious weeds under the authority of the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. § 7701-7786, 2000) and the Federal Seed Act (7 U.S.C. § 1581-1610, 1939). A noxious weed is defined as "any plant or plant product that can directly or indirectly injure or cause damage to crops (including nursery stock or plant products), livestock, poultry, or other interests of agriculture, irrigation, navigation, the natural resources of the United States, the public health, or the environment" (7 U.S.C. § 7701-7786, 2000). We use the PPQ weed risk assessment (WRA) process (PPQ, 2015) to evaluate the risk potential of plants, including those newly detected in the United States, those proposed for import, and those emerging as weeds elsewhere in the world.

The PPQ WRA process includes three analytical components that together describe the risk profile of a plant species (risk potential, uncertainty, and geographic potential; PPQ, 2015). At the core of the process is the predictive risk model that evaluates the baseline invasive/weed potential of a plant species using information related to its ability to establish, spread, and cause harm in natural, anthropogenic, and production systems (Koop et al., 2012). Because the predictive model is geographically and climatically neutral, it can be used to evaluate the risk of any plant species for the entire United States or for any area within it. We then use a stochastic simulation to evaluate how much the uncertainty associated with the risk analysis affects the outcomes from the predictive model. The simulation essentially evaluates what other risk scores might result if any answers in the predictive model might change. Finally, we use Geographic Information System (GIS) overlays to evaluate those areas of the United States that may be suitable for the establishment of the species. For a detailed description of the PPQ WRA process, please refer to the PPQ Weed Risk Assessment Guidelines (PPQ, 2015), which is available upon request.

We emphasize that our WRA process is designed to estimate the baseline or unmitigated—risk associated with a plant species. We use evidence from anywhere in the world and in any type of system (production, anthropogenic, or natural) for the assessment, which makes our process a very broad evaluation. This is appropriate for the types of actions considered by our agency (e.g., Federal regulation). Furthermore, risk assessment and risk management are distinctly different phases of pest risk analysis (e.g., IPPC, 2015). Although we may use evidence about existing or proposed control programs in the assessment, the ease or difficulty of control has no bearing on the risk potential for a species. That information could be considered during the risk management (decision making) process, which is not addressed in this document.

	Bifora radians M. Bieb. – Wild bishop						
Species	Family: Apiaceae						
Information	Synonyms: <i>Anidrum radians</i> (M. Bieb.) Kuntze, and <i>Coriandrum radians</i> (M. Bieb.) Prantl (The Plant List, 2016).						
	Common names: Wild bishop (AgroAtlas, 2016).						
	Botanical description: <i>Bifora radians</i> is an erect annual plant that grows 15 to 40 cm tall (Hanf, 1983). Leaves are 2 to 3 pinnately compound and produce an offensive odor when crushed (Hanf, 1983). Inflorescences are a compound umbel, where secondary umbels contain 7-9 flowers that are either bisexual or male (AgroAtlas, 2016). For a full botanical description of this species and genus see AgroAtlas, 2016; Bojňanský and Fargašová, 2007; Britton, 1907.						
	Initiation: PPQ received market access requests for corn and wheat grain for human and animal consumption from the government of Ukraine (Government of Ukraine, 2013a, 2013b). Commodity import risk analyses determined that <i>B. radians</i> may be potentially associated with both of these commodities as a contaminant. In this assessment, we evaluated the risk potential of this species to the United States to help policy makers determine whether it should be regulated as a Federal Noxious Weed.						
	Foreign distribution and status: <i>Bifora radians</i> is native to western Asia (Iran, Turkey), the Caucasus (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Dagestan), and Europe (Albania, Bosnia, Bulgaria, Croatia, France, Greece, Herzegovina, Italy, Macedonia, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, and Ukraine) (GBIF, 2016; NGRP, 2016). It is naturalized elsewhere (NGRP, 2016), including in Britain, the Czech Republic, and Sweden (Clement and Foster, 1994; DAISIE, 2016; Pyšek et al., 2002). It is not clear if it is native (NGRP, 2016) or exotic (DAISIE, 2016) to Germany. It is reported as a casual alien for Belgium (Verloove, 2006). It is common and widespread in southern Europe, where it often occurs abundantly in cereal crops (Hanf, 1983).						
	U.S. distribution and status: This species has been reported for the United States in one to two counties each in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Rhode Island (Anonymous, 2016; Britton, 1907; Kartesz, 2016). Records for Pennsylvania and Rhode Island are probably based on an old report by Britton (1907); however, a New England botany group reports that the species is present in Rhode Island (Anonymous, 2016). In New Jersey, <i>B.</i> <i>radians</i> is reported from ballast from old ports, but it is probably not naturalized there (Weakley, 2015). We did not find any additional or modern accounts of this species from the United States, which raises doubts as to whether it is really naturalized in the United States. We found no evidence that this species is cultivated in the United States (e.g., Bailey and Bailey, 1976; Brenzel, 1995; Cullen et al., 2011; Dave's Garden,						

2016; Page and Olds, 2001; Univ. of Minn., 2016) or is regulated by anyone.

WRA area<sup>1</sup>: Entire United States, including territories.

1. Bifora radians analysis

Establishment/Spread Bifora radians is an herbaceous annual species that is primarily associated with cereal crops and other disturbed environments (Hanf, 1983; Skorda et al., 1998). It sometimes forms dense populations (Skorda et al., 1998). Individual plants produce from 110 to 500 seeds (Skorda et al., 1998), which have no specialized dispersal strategies but do form a persistent soil seed bank (Mennan, 2003). Seeds are dispersed unintentionally by people in fields through farm machinery (Skorda et al., 1998) and in trade as grain and seed contaminants (Clement and Foster, 1994; Gladis et al., 2009; Mennan and Işik, 2003; Stace, 2010). Some populations of *B. radians* have developed resistance to acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides in Turkey (Heap, 2016). We had high uncertainty for this risk element because not much is known about its biology. Risk score = 14 Uncertainty index = 0.26
Impact Potential Bifora radians is considered a minor agricultural weed of southern and

**Impact Potential** Bifora radians is considered a minor agricultural weed of southern and central Europe (Williams, 1982), but a serious one in the Caucasus region (AgroAtlas, 2016). It reduces wheat yield by as much as 40 percent, depending on weed and crop densities (Mennan and Zandstra, 2005; Uygur and Mennan, 1995). It causes lodging in wheat under high weed densities (Skorda et al., 1998), and taints cereals and coriander because of its smell (AgroAtlas, 2016; Hanf, 1983). Because herbicides are not controlling this species as effectively as they once did in Turkey, researchers are now trying to identify wheat cultivars that are naturally more competitive with *B. radians* for use in an integrated pest management system (Mennan and Zandstra, 2005). We had very low uncertainty associated with this risk element. Risk score = 2.2 Uncertainty index = 0.05

**Geographic Potential** Based on three climatic variables, we estimate that about 70 percent of the United States is suitable for the establishment of *Bifora radians* (Fig. 1). This predicted distribution is based on the species' known distribution elsewhere in the world and includes georeferenced localities and areas of reported occurrence. The map for *B. radians* represents the joint distribution of Plant Hardiness Zones 5-10, areas with 10-100+ inches of annual precipitation, and the following Köppen-Geiger climate classes: steppe, Mediterranean, humid subtropical, marine west coast, humid continental

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "WRA area" is the area in relation to which the weed risk assessment is conducted (definition modified from that for "PRA area") (IPPC, 2012).

warm summers, humid continental cool summers, and subarctic. We had high uncertainty as to whether this species could survive in Plant Hardiness Zone 5 because it is reported as a thermophilic species (AgroAtlas, 2016; Bojňanský and Fargašová, 2007); however, we believe it could survive there based on a distribution map provided in AgroAtlas (2016).

The area of the United States shown to be climatically suitable (Fig. 1) is likely overestimated since our analysis considered only three climatic variables. Other environmental variables, such as soil and habitat type, may further limit the areas in which this species is likely to establish. This species occurs in fields, embankments, vineyards, roadsides, waste places, meadows, waste places, ballast heaps, and arable land (Anonymous, 2016; Bojňanský and Fargašová, 2007; Britton, 1907; Skorda et al., 1998). In central Europe where the summer climate is less hot, it is restricted to cultivated, calcareous soils (Hanf, 1983). Elsewhere it is reported to prefer nitrogen-containing alkaline soils (AgroAtlas, 2016). **Entry Potential** It is not entirely clear if *B. radians* is currently present in the United States (see discussion under U.S. status). As such, we assumed it is not present, and evaluated its entry potential. Because this species is not cultivated, it did not obtain a very high risk score for this risk element, which ranges from 0 to 1. This species may be introduced to the United States intentionally because it is used in local cooking (AgroAtlas, 2016) or it may be useful in coriander breeding programs (Diederichsen, 1996). It may also enter the United States unintentionally as a grain or seed contaminant (Clement and Foster, 1994; Dunn, 1905; Stace, 2010; Verloove, 2006). There is one report that it may also move in trade as a contaminant in wool (Verloove, 2006). Risk score = 0.30 Uncertainty index = 0.14



**Figure 1**. Predicted distribution of *Bifora radians* in the United States and Canada. Map insets for Hawaii and Puerto Rico are not to scale.

2. Results Model Probabilities: P(Major Invader) = 62.0% P(Minor Invader) = 36.2% P(Non-Invader) = 1.8% Risk Result = High Risk Secondary Screening = Not Applicable







**Figure 3**. Model simulation results (N=5,000) for uncertainty around the risk score for *B. radians*. The blue "+" symbol represents the medians of the simulated outcomes. The smallest box contains 50 percent of the outcomes, the second 95 percent, and the largest 99 percent.

## 3. Discussion

The result of the weed risk assessment for *B. radians* is High Risk (Fig. 2), which is supported by the results of our uncertainty analysis (Fig. 3). Relative to its establishment/spread risk score, *B. radians* has a relatively low impact risk score (Fig. 2). This is consistent with our findings that it is generally a minor agricultural weed, except in some crops, such as wheat, where it reduces yield (Mennan and Zandstra, 2005; Uygur and Mennan, 1995) and taints the cereal (AgroAtlas, 2016; Hanf, 1983). *Bifora radians* has been associated with wheat since the Middle Ages (Borojević, 2005). Although most populations of this species respond to herbicides, control is challenging because of extended emergence times (end of winter to end of spring) (Skorda et al., 1998). Continuous wheat cropping favors the buildup of populations of *B. radians* (Skorda et al., 1998).

Our review found that populations of *B. radians* are behaving differently in different parts of its native range. On one hand, some authors report that it is declining and rare in crops, and that chemical weeding may even threaten the species (Diederichsen, 1996; Lonchamp, 2000). On the other hand, it is reported to be a serious problem in Greece and Turkey, where it appears to be increasing in abundance and distribution (Mennan and Işik, 2003; Mennan and Zandstra, 2005; Skorda et al., 1998). Skorda et al. (1998) speculate that in Greece increased wheat cropping and the use of reduced tillage techniques is favoring populations of *B. radians*, particularly since herbicides are not very effective for a species with an extended emergence time. In Turkey, the species may be becoming more frequent and problematic because populations resistant to herbicides have evolved (Heap, 2016; Mennan et al., 2012).

Wheat is an economically important crop for the United States, and *B. radians* poses a potential risk to U.S. winter wheat production. This species was introduced to the United States over a hundred years ago (Britton, 1907) and does not appear to have spread from where it was originally found in the northeast (Kartesz, 2016; Weakley, 2015), if it is even still present. If introduced to the central and western United States, this species may behave differently since that climate is drier and more similar to that of the southern and western Mediterranean than that of the eastern United States. A large portion of the country's winter wheat production is centered on western Kansas and southwestern Washington (USDA-NASS, 2015), areas which are climatically suitable for this species (Fig. 1).

4. Literature Cited

- 7 U.S.C. § 1581-1610. 1939. The Federal Seed Act, Title 7 United States Code § 1581-1610.
- 7 U.S.C. § 7701-7786. 2000. Plant Protection Act, Title 7 United States Code § 7701-7786.

- AgroAtlas. 2016. Interactive agricultural ecological atlas of Russia and neighboring countries: Economic plants and their diseases, pests and weeds (Online Database). University of St. Petersburg. http://www.agroatlas.ru/. (Archived at PERAL).
- Anonymous. 2016. Go Botany Plant Database. New England Wild Flower Society. Last accessed February 26, 2016, https://gobotany.newenglandwild.org/.
- APHIS. 2016. Phytosanitary Certificate Issuance & Tracking System (PCIT). United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS). https://pcit.aphis.usda.gov/pcit/faces/index.jsp. (Archived at PERAL).
- Bailey, L. H., and E. Z. Bailey. 1976. Hortus Third: A Concise Dictionary of Plants Cultivated in The United States and Canada (revised and expanded by The Staff of the Liberty Hyde Bailey Hortorium). Macmillan, New York, U.S.A. 1290 pp.
- Bakos, J., G. Eifert, F. Bihari, and M. Nagy. 1991. HC-252 a new selective herbicide for the post-emergence control of dicotyledonous weeds [Abstract]. Pages 83-86 *in* Proceedings of the Brighton Crop Protection Conference, Weeds.
- Bojňanský, V., and A. Fargašová. 2007. Atlas of Seeds and Fruits of Central and East-European Flora: The Carpathian Mountains Region. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 1046 pp.
- Borojević, K. 2005. Nutrition and environment in medieval Serbia: Charred cereal, weed and fruit remains from the fortress of Ras. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 14(4):453-464.
- Brenzel, K. N. (ed.). 1995. Sunset Western Garden Book. Sunset Publishing Corporation, Menlo Park, California. 624 pp.
- Britton, N. L. 1907. Manual of the Flora of the Northern States and Canada. Henry Holt and Company, New York.
- Brouillet, L., F. Coursol, S. J. Meades, M. Favreau, M. Anions, P. Bélisle, and P. Desmet. 2015. VASCAN, the Database of Vascular Plants of Canada. http://data.canadensys.net/vascan/search. (Archived at PERAL).
- Burrows, G. E., and R. J. Tyrl. 2013. Toxic Plants of North America, 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell, Ames, IA. 1383 pp.
- Cakovic, D., D. Stesevic, V. Ikovic, M. Knezevic, and N. Latinovic. 2012. Contribution to the knowledge of weed flora in Bjelopavlici plain. Agriculture & Forestry 58(4):25-41.
- Clement, E. J., and M. C. Foster (eds.). 1994. Alien Plants of the British Isles: A Provisional Catalogue of Vascular Plants (excluding grasses). Botanical Society of the British Isles, London, U.K. 590 pp.
- Cullen, J., S. G. Knees, and H. S. Cubey (eds.). 2011. The European Garden Flora, Flowering Plants: A Manual for the Identification of Plants Cultivated in Europe, Both Out-of-Doors and Under Glass, Volumes I-V, vI:665, vII:642, vIII:620, vIV:619, and vVI:639 edition.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 665+642+620+619+639 pp.

- DAISIE. 2016. Delivering Alien Invasive Species Inventories for Europe (DAISIE, Online Database). http://www.europe-aliens.org/index.jsp. (Archived at PERAL).
- Dave's Garden. 2016. Plant files database. Dave's Garden. http://davesgarden.com/guides/pf/go/1764/. (Archived at PERAL).
- Diederichsen, A. 1996. Coriander (*Corandrum sativum* L.): Promoting the Conservation and Use of Underutilized and Neglected Crops. 3. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben / International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome. 83 pp.
- Dunn, S. T. 1905. Alien Flora of Britain. West, Newman, and Co., London, U.K. 208 pp.
- eFloras. 2016. Electronic Floras, online database. Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, MO and Harvard University Herbaria, Cambridge, MA. http://www.efloras.org. (Archived at PERAL).
- GBIF. 2016. GBIF, Online Database. Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF). http://www.gbif.org/. (Archived at PERAL).
- Gladis, T., K. Hammer, P. Perrino, W. Podyma, and L. Xhuveli. 2009.
  Report of the third collecting mission in Albania, Autumn 1994.
  Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics Supp 92:53-56.
- Government of Ukraine. 2013a. Information required by APHIS for commodity import request requiring change in regulations (7 CFR 319.5) for corn from Ukraine. Government of Ukraine. 3 pp.
- Government of Ukraine. 2013b. Information required by APHIS for commodity import request requiring change in regulations (7 CFR 319.5) for wheat from Ukraine. Government of Ukraine. 3 pp.
- GTA. No Date. Seed Impurities of Grain...an Identification Kit. Grain Trade Australia (GTA), Royal Exchange, NSW, Australia. 138 pp.
- Hanf, M. 1983. The Arable Weeds of Europe: With their Seedlings and Seeds. BASF, Ipswich, U.K. 494 pp.
- Heap, I. 2016. The international survey of herbicide resistant weeds. Weed Science Society of America. http://weedscience.org/. (Archived at PERAL).
- Heide-Jorgensen, H. S. 2008. Parasitic Flowering Plants. Brill, Leiden, The Netherlands. 438 pp.
- IPPC. 2012. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures No. 5: Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), Rome, Italy. 38 pp.
- IPPC. 2015. International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures No. 2: Framework for Pest Risk Analysis. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), Rome, Italy. 18 pp.

- Islamoğlu, M., and E. Koçak. 2014. Behavioral responses of egg parasitoid *Trissolcus semistriatus* (Nees) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) to odors of five plant species [Abstract]. Acta Zoologica Bulgarica 66(1):59-64.
- Kartesz, J. 2016. The Biota of North America Program (BONAP). North American Plant Atlas. http://bonap.net/tdc. (Archived at PERAL).
- Koop, A., L. Fowler, L. Newton, and B. Caton. 2012. Development and validation of a weed screening tool for the United States. Biological Invasions 14(2):273-294.
- Köroglu, A., M. M. Hürkul, and Ö. Özbay. 2012. Antioxidant capacity and total phenol contents of *Bifora radians* Bieb [Abstract]. Fabad Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 37(3):123-127.
- Lonchamp, J. P. 2000. *Bifora radians* M. Bieberstein. L'Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique. Last accessed May 9, 2016, https://www2.dijon.inra.fr/hyppa/hyppa-a/bifra ah.htm#Seed.
- Mabberley, D. J. 2008. Mabberley's Plant-Book: A Portable Dictionary of Plants, Their Classification and Uses (3rd edition). Cambridge University Press, New York. 1021 pp.
- Markovic, M., N. Protic, R. Protic, and S. Jankovic. 2005. New possibilities of weed control in wheat. Romanian Agricultural Research 22:41-46.
- Martin, P. G., and J. M. Dowd. 1990. A protein sequence study of the dicotyledons and its relevance to the evolution of the legumes and nitrogen fixation. Australian Systematic Botany 3:91-100.
- Mennan, H. 2003. The effects of depth and duration of burial on seasonal germination, dormancy and viability of *Galium aparine* and *Bifora radians* seeds. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 189(5):304-309.
- Mennan, H., and D. Işik. 2003. Invasive weed species in onion production systems during the last 25 years in Amasya, Turkey. Pakistan Journal of Botany 35(2):155-160.
- Mennan, H., J. C. Streibig, M. Ngouajio, and E. Kaya. 2012. Tolerance of two *Bifora radians* Bieb populations to ALS inhibitors in winter wheat. Pest Management Science 68(1):116-122.
- Mennan, H., and B. H. Zandstra. 2005. Influence of wheat seeding rate and cultivars on competitive ability of Bifra (*Bifora radians*). Weed Technology 19(1):128-136.
- Nelson, L. S., R. D. Shih, and M. J. Balick. 2007. Handbook of Poisonous and Injurious Plants. Springer, NY. 340 pp.
- Nestorovic, M. L. J., and B. Konstantinovic. 2011. Overview of the weed flora in the Serbia. Contemporary agriculture 60(1-2):215-230.
- NGRP. 2016. Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN). United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, National Genetic Resources Program (NGRP). https://npgsweb.arsgrin.gov/gringlobal/taxon/taxonomysearch.aspx?language=en. (Archived at PERAL).

- Nickrent, D. 2009. Parasitic plant classification. Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, IL. Last accessed June 12, 2009, http://www.parasiticplants.siu.edu/ListParasites.html.
- Özkirim, A., N. Keskin, M. Kürkçüoğlu, and K. H. C. Başer. 2012. Evaluation of some essential oils as alternative antibiotics against American foulbrood agent *Paenibacillus* larvae on honey bees *Apis mellifera* L [Abstract]. Journal of Essential Oil Research 24(5):465-470.
- Page, S., and M. Olds (eds.). 2001. The Plant Book: The World of Plants in a Single Volume. Mynah, Hong Kong. 1020 pp.
- Parsons, W. T., and E. G. Cuthbertson. 2001. Noxious weeds of Australia (2nd edition). CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia. 698 pp.
- PPQ. 2015. Guidelines for the USDA-APHIS-PPQ Weed Risk Assessment Process. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ). 125 pp.
- Pyšek, P., J. Sadlo, and B. Mandak. 2002. Catalogue of alien plants of the Czech Republic. Preslia (Prague) 74(2):97-186.
- Randall, R. P. 2012. A Global Compendium of Weeds, 2nd edition. Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia, Perth, Australia. 1107 pp.
- Rauschert, S. 1961. *Bifora radians*, a recently naturalized weed, and first trials on its control [Abstract]. Deutsche landwirtschaft 12(2):86-88.
- Röpert, D., (ed). 2000+ [continuously updated]. Digital specimen images at the Herbarium Berolinense: *Bifora radians* (Barcode: B 10 0184371 / ImageId: 244900). Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem. Last accessed May 9, 2016, http://ww2.bgbm.org/herbarium/.
- Santi, C., D. Bogusz, and C. Franche. 2013. Biological nitrogen fixation in non-legume plants. Annals of Botany 111(5):743-767.
- Skorda, E. A., P. Efthimiadis, T. Adamidis, and J. Maillet. 1998. The persistence and rise of *Bifora radians* in cereal crops. Pages 234-235 *in* Comptes-rendus 6ème Symposium Méditerranéen EWRS, 13-15 mai, 1998, Montpellier, France.
- Stace, C. 2010. New Flora of the British Isles (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 1130 pp.
- The Plant List. 2016. The Plant List, Version 1 [Online Database]. Kew Botanic Gardens and the Missouri Botanical Garden. http://www.theplantlist.org/. (Archived at PERAL).
- Univ. of Minn. 2016. Plant Information Online Database. University of Minnesota. https://plantinfo.umn.edu/default.asp. (Archived at PERAL).
- USDA-NASS. 2015. Winter wheat 2015 production by county for selected states. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS). 1 pp.

- Uygur, F. N., and H. Mennan. 1995. A study on economic thresholds of *Galium aparine* L. and *Bifora radians* Bieb. in wheat fields in Samsun Turkey [Abstract]. Pages 347-354 *in* ANPP Seizième conférence du COLUMA. Journées Internationales sur la Lutte Contre les Mauvaises Herbes. ANPP, Reims, France.
- Verloove, F. 2006. Catalogue of neophytes in Belgium (1800-2005). National Botanic Garden of Belgium, Meise, Belgium. 89 pp.
- Villaseñor Ríos, J. L., and F. J. Espinosa García. 1998. Catalogo de Malezas de Mexico. Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico. 449 pp.
- Weakley, A. S. 2015. Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States:
   Working Draft of 21 May 2015. University of North Carolina
   Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North
   Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC. 1320 pp.
- Williams, G. 1982. Elsevier's Dictionary of Weeds of Western Europe. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam. 320 pp.

**Appendix A**. Weed risk assessment for *Bifora radians* M. Bieb (Apiaceae). Below is all of the evidence and associated references used to evaluate the risk potential of this taxon. We also include the answer, uncertainty rating, and score for each question. The Excel file, where this assessment was conducted, is available upon request.

Question ID	Answer -	Score	Notes (and references)
	Uncertainty		
ESTABLISHMENT/SPREAD POTENTIAL			
ES-1 [What is the taxon's establishment and spread status outside its native range? (a) Introduced elsewhere =>75 years ago but not escaped; (b) Introduced <75 years ago but not escaped; (c) Never moved beyond its native range; (d) Escaped/Casual; (e) Naturalized; (f) Invasive; (?) Unknown]	e - high	2	<i>Bifora radians</i> is native to western Asia (Iran, Turkey), the Caucasus (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Dagestan), and Europe (Albania, Bosnia, Bulgaria, Croatia, France, Greece, Herzegovina, Italy, Macedonia, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, and Ukraine) (GBIF, 2016; NGRP, 2016). It is naturalized elsewhere in Europe (NGRP, 2016), including in Britain, the Czech Republic, and Sweden (Clement and Foster, 1994; DAISIE, 2016; Pyšek et al., 2002; Rauschert, 1961). It is not clear if it is native (NGRP, 2016) or exotic (DAISIE, 2016) to Germany. It is reported as a casual alien for Belgium (Verloove, 2006). "Although previously of little agronomic importance, since the late 1970's it has become a prominent weed in winter cereals in northern Greece and to lesser extent in some central parts of the country with a high percentage of winter cereals and it is increasing in intensity and geographic spread" (Skorda et al., 1998). This species has also been becoming more prevalent in Turkey (Mennan and Işik, 2003; Mennan and Zandstra, 2005). We answered "e" because this species is naturalized outside of its native range. Reports of it becoming more frequent and spreading in its native range do not support an "f" because this question focuses on a species status in its introduced range. Furthermore, reports of it "spreading" in its native range may simply reflect its increasing abundance. Alternate answers for the uncertainty simulation were "f" and "d."
ES-2 (Is the species highly domesticated)	n - low	0	<i>Bifora radians</i> is used as a spicy herb in dishes, and its leaves are used in salads (AgroAtlas, 2016). However, we found no evidence that it is cultivated or even domesticated. Plant breeders have tried crossing this species with coriander, but have not had much luck (Diederichsen, 1996).
ES-3 (Weedy congeners)	y - low	1	There are three species in the genus <i>Bifora</i> (Mabberley, 2008). Of these, <i>B. radians</i> and <i>B. testiculata</i> have been reported as weeds (Randall, 2012). <i>Bifora testiculata</i> is classified as a noxious weed in Australia (Parsons and Cuthbertson, 2001).
ES-4 (Shade tolerant at some stage of its life cycle)	n - mod	0	We found no evidence that <i>B. radians</i> is shade tolerant.
ES-5 (Plant a vine or scrambling plant, or forms tightly appressed basal rosettes)	n - negl	0	This plant is an erect, annual herb (Hanf, 1983).
ES-6 (Forms dense thickets, patches, or populations)	y - low	2	In agricultural fields in northern Greece it reaches densities ranging from 10 to 48 plants per square meter (Skorda et al., 1998).
ES-7 (Aquatic)	n - negl	0	This species is a terrestrial herb (AgroAtlas, 2016).

Question ID	Answer - Uncertainty	Score	Notes (and references)
ES-8 (Grass)	n - negl	0	This species is not a grass; rather, it is an herb in the family Apiaceae (NGRP, 2016).
ES-9 (Nitrogen-fixing woody plant)	n - negl	0	This species is not a woody plant (AgroAtlas, 2016). Furthermore, it is not in a plant family known to contain nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Martin and Dowd, 1990; Santi et al., 2013).
ES-10 (Does it produce viable seeds or spores)	y - negl	1	<i>Bifora radians</i> produces viable seeds (Mennan, 2003; Skorda et al., 1998).
ES-11 (Self-compatible or apomictic)	? <b>-</b> max	0	Unknown.
ES-12 (Requires specialist pollinators)	? - max		Unknown.
ES-13 [What is the taxon's minimum generation time? (a) less than a year with multiple generations per year; (b) 1 year, usually annuals; (c) 2 or 3 years; (d) more than 3 years; or (?) unknown]	b - negl	1	This species is an annual (Bojňanský and Fargašová, 2007; Hanf, 1983; Stace, 2010) that germinates in the spring, flowers June to August, and bears fruit by August (AgroAtlas, 2016). We found no evidence that it produces two or more generations per year; consequently, we answered "b" with negligible uncertainty. Alternate answers for the uncertainty simulation were both "c."
ES-14 (Prolific seed producer)	y - high	1	Seed production varies from 110 to 500 seeds per plant in wheat, and plants reach densities of 10 to 48 plants per square meter (Skorda et al., 1998). Thus plants may be producing from 1,110 to 24,000 seeds per square meter. A seed burial experiment showed that this species exhibits cyclical dormancy and that the maximum percentage of germinating seeds at any one point was about 40 percent (Mennan, 2003). In that same study, a tetrazolium test of seed viability showed that freshly collected seeds start out with about 90 percent viability. Although this data indicates that the species is biologically capable of prolific reproduction (more than 5,000 viable seeds per square meter), it is not clear whether this threshold is met in the field. Because the larger portion of this range (999 to 19,440 viable seeds per square meter) exceeds 5,000, we answered yes, but with high uncertainty.
ES-15 (Propagules likely to be dispersed unintentionally by people)	y - low	1	Agricultural machinery re-introduces this species to fields where it has been eliminated (Skorda et al., 1998).
ES-16 (Propagules likely to disperse in trade as contaminants or hitchhikers)	y - negl	2	Introduced to Britain as a grain alien (Dunn, 1905). Sometimes found as a grain alien (Clement and Foster, 1994; Stace, 2010). Listed as a grain/wool alien in Belgium (Verloove, 2006). Contaminant of wheat seed in Turkey (Mennan and Işik, 2003). Samples of <i>Secale cereale</i> (rye) seed from traditional agricultural areas in Albania contain contaminants of <i>B. radians</i> (Gladis et al., 2009). The congener <i>B. testiculata</i> is a contaminant of grain in Australia (GTA, No Date).
ES-17 (Number of natural dispersal vectors)	1	-2	Propagule traits for questions ES-17a through ES-17e: Fruit is globose, didymous (occurring in pairs), and about 3 mm x 6 mm in size (Hanf, 1983). Seeds are pale yellow and about 2 by 2.5 mm in size (AgroAtlas, 2016).
ES-17a (Wind dispersal)	n - negl		We found no evidence that this species is wind dispersed. Furthermore, neither the fruit nor the seed have any classic adaptations for wind dispersal (e.g., wings, plumes, etc.).

Question ID	Answer - Uncertainty	Score	Notes (and references)
ES-17b (Water dispersal)	n - mod		We found no evidence that this species is water dispersed or
			that its seeds are buoyant.
ES-17c (Bird dispersal)	n - mod		We found no evidence that it is bird dispersed.
ES-17d (Animal external	y - high		This species is reported as a wool alien for Belgium
dispersal)			(Verloove, 2006), suggesting that seeds may get caught in
			animal fur. However, because we found only this one piece
			of evidence, and because the fruit are round and lack any
			obvious adaptations for attaching to animals, we used high
			uncertainty.
ES-17e (Animal internal dispersal)	? - max		Unknown.
ES-18 (Evidence that a persistent	y - negl	1	In one thorough field study, Mennan (2003) buried seeds of
(>1yr) propagule bank (seed			B. radians at four different depths and later exhumed them
bank) is formed)			every month for several years to examine viability. He found
			that seeds can remain dormant under field conditions for
			several years and that they exhibit peaks in germinability
			after an initial period of primary dormancy. Also, seeds
			close to the soil surface (Mennan, 2003). In another study
			buried seeds germinated for 19 months (Skorda et al. 1998)
ES-19 (Tolerates/benefits from	? - max	0	Unknown
mutilation, cultivation or fire)		0	
ES-20 (Is resistant to some	y - negl	1	Populations of <i>B. radians</i> have developed resistance to
herbicides or has the potential to			acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides in Turkey
become resistant)			(Heap, 2016). A field experiment comparing the effect of
			various doses of herbicides on susceptible and resistant
			populations demonstrated that the recommended application
			rates of herbicides were not sufficient to kill populations of
ES-21 (Number of cold hardiness	6	0	the weeds (Mehnan et al., 2012).
zones suitable for its survival)	0	0	
ES-22 (Number of climate types	7	2	
suitable for its survival)	,	-	
ES-23 (Number of precipitation	10	1	
bands suitable for its survival)			
IMPACT POTENTIAL			
General Impacts			
Imp-G1 (Allelopathic)	n - mod	0	Other than one author <i>speculating</i> that it may have
			allelopathic effects on other plant species (Mennan et al.,
	1	0	2012), we found no evidence that this species is allelopathic.
Imp-G2 (Parasitic)	n - negl	0	We found no evidence. This species is not a member of a
			Jarganson 2008: Niekrant 2000)
Impacts to Natural Systems			Jorgensen, 2008, Mickrent, 2009).
Imp N1 (Changes ecosystem	n low	0	We found no evidence that this species is a wead of natural
nrocesses and narameters that	11 - 10W	U	areas or that it invades natural areas outside of its native
affect other species)			range Consequently we used low uncertainty for all of the
			questions in this sub-element.
Imp-N2 (Changes habitat	n - low	0	We found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> having this impact.
structure)			
Imp-N3 (Changes species	n - low	0	We found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> having this impact.
diversity)			

Question ID	Answer - Uncertainty	Score	Notes (and references)
Imp-N4 (Is it likely to affect federal Threatened and	n - low	0	We found no evidence indicating that this is a likely impact.
Endangered species?)			
Imp-N5 (Is it likely to affect any	n - low	0	We found no evidence indicating that this is a likely impact
globally outstanding ecoregions?)	11 - 10w	0	we found no evidence indicating that this is a fixely impact.
Imp-N6 [What is the taxon's	a - low	0	We found no evidence that it is a weed of or present in
weed status in natural systems?			natural systems. Alternate answers for the uncertainty
(a) Taxon not a weed; (b) taxon a			simulation were both "b."
weed but no evidence of control;			
(c) taxon a weed and evidence of			
control efforts]			
Impact to Anthropogenic Systems	s (e.g., cities, su	burbs, r	roadways)
Imp-A1 (Negatively impacts	n - low	0	We found no evidence. Because this impact seems unlikely
personal property, human safety,			for a short-statured annual herb, we used low uncertainty.
or public infrastructure)			
Imp-A2 (Changes or limits	n - low	0	We found no evidence. Because this impact seems unlikely
recreational use of an area)			for a short-statured annual herb, we used low uncertainty.
Imp-A3 (Affects desirable and	n - mod	0	We found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> having this impact.
ornamental plants, and			
vegetation)			
Imp-A4 [What is the taxon's	a - mod	0	This species occurs in human-mediated habitats (Pyšek et al.,
weed status in anthropogenic			2002) in roadsides, waste places, and ballast heaps (Britton,
systems? (a) Taxon not a weed;			1907; Skorda et al., 1998); however, we found no evidence
(b) Taxon a weed but no evidence			that it is considered a weed in these types of areas. Alternate
of control; (c) Taxon a weed and			answers for the uncertainty simulation were both "b."
evidence of control efforts]			,
Impact to Production Systems (ag	griculture, nurs	series,	
forest plantations, orchards, etc.)		,	
Imp-P1 (Reduces crop/product	y - negl	0.4	Bifora radians is a competitive winter annual weed of crops
yield)	, C		in Turkey, the Mediterranean, and the Caucasus Sea region
			(Mennan et al., 2012). Its aggressive growth habit and
			allegedly allelopathic effects allow the species to compete
			strongly with wheat and other crops (Mennan et al., 2012).
			This species is problematic in winter cereals, particularly in
			wheat because it reduces yield (Uygur and Mennan, 1995). In
			one experimental study in Turkey, <i>B. radians</i> reduced wheat
			vield by 12–40 percent, depending on weed and crop
			densities (Mennan and Zandstra, 2005). Considering the cost
			of herbicides, plant densities of 1.8–4.7 were determined to
			represent an economic threshold for economically significant
			impacts (Uvgur and Mennan 1995)
Imp-P2 (Lowers commodity	v - negl	0.2	It causes lodging in wheat under high weed densities (Skorda
value)	y nogi	0.2	et al 1998) "Known as a 'cereal tainter' because the crop
(dide)			absorbs the smell of the weed" (Hanf 1983) Seeds are
			difficult to separate from coriander and spoil the crop because
			<i>B</i> radians seeds taint the flavor of the essential oil obtained
			from coriander (AgroAtlas 2016)
Imn-P3 (Is it likely to impact	n - mod	0	This species has been reported as a contaminant of grain and
trade?)	11 - 1110 <b>u</b>	U	seed by several sources (see evidence under FS-16).
trade : j			however we found no evidence that it is regulated by a
			foreign country (e.g. APHIS 2016)
Imp-P4 (Reduces the quality or	n - mod	0	We found no evidence
availability of irrigation, or	11 - 1110 <b>U</b>	U	we round no evidence.

Question ID	Answer -	Score	Notes (and references)
strongly competes with plants for	Uncertainty		
water)			
Imp-P5 (Toxic to animals.	n - low	0	We found no evidence that this species or the genus is toxic
including livestock/range animals			to animals (e.g., Burrows and Tyrl, 2013; Nelson et al.,
and poultry)			2007).
Imp-P6 [What is the taxon's weed status in production systems? (a) Taxon not a weed; (b) Taxon a weed but no evidence of control; (c) Taxon a weed and evidence of control efforts]	c - negi	0.6	Bifora radians is an agricultural weed, particularly of winter (cereal) crops (Hanf, 1983), coriander (AgroAtlas, 2016), and onions (Mennan and Işik, 2003). It is reported as a weed across a broad region from Europe through the Caucasus region of Asia (AgroAtlas, 2016; Cakovic et al., 2012; Nestorovic and Konstantinovic, 2011; Williams, 1982). It is considered a minor agricultural weed of southern and central Europe (Williams, 1982), but a serious one in the Caucasus region (AgroAtlas, 2016). The availability of studies investigating control options and the availability of recommended control strategies (AgroAtlas, 2016; Bakos et al., 1991; Markovic et al., 2005; Mennan et al., 2012; Mennan and Zandstra, 2005; Rauschert, 1961) supports the idea that that it is a significant weed in at least some regions.
			"Acetolactate synthase (ALS) - inhibiting herbicides and mixtures are registered in wheat to control <i>B. radians</i> " (Mennan et al., 2012). Because herbicides are not controlling this species as effectively as they once did, researchers are now trying to identify wheat cultivars that are naturally more competitive with <i>B. radians</i> for use in an integrated pest management system (Mennan and Zandstra, 2005). Alternate answers for the uncertainty simulation were both "b."
GEOGRAPHIC POTENTIAL			Unless otherwise indicated, the following evidence represents geographically referenced points obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2016).
Plant hardiness zones			× × · · /
Geo-Z1 (Zone 1)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this hardiness zone.
Geo-Z2 (Zone 2)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this hardiness zone.
Geo-Z3 (Zone 3)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this hardiness zone.
Geo-Z4 (Zone 4)	n - mod	N/A	One point in Austria. Because this is only one point and because this species has been reported to be thermophilic (AgroAtlas, 2016; Bojňanský and Fargašová, 2007), we answered no.
Geo-Z5 (Zone 5)	y - high	N/A	One point each in Austria (GBIF, 2016), Turkey (GBIF, 2016), and Belarus (AgroAtlas, 2016). A regional map for the Caucasus indicates it is in this zone (AgroAtlas, 2016). However, because this species is thermophilic (AgroAtlas, 2016; Bojňanský and Fargašová, 2007), we used high uncertainty
Geo-Z6 (Zone 6)	y - negl	N/A	Germany and Austria. Regional distribution in the Caucasus (AgroAtlas, 2016).
Geo-Z7 (Zone 7)	y - negl	N/A	France, Germany, and Spain.
Geo-Z8 (Zone 8)	y - negl	N/A	Belgium, France, and Germany.
Geo-Z9 (Zone 9)	y - negl	N/A	France and Spain. One point each in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.
Geo-Z10 (Zone 10)	y - low	N/A	A few points along the coast in France and Spain. One point in Turkey. Regional distribution in the Caucasus (AgroAtlas, 2016).

Question ID	Answer - Uncertainty	Score	Notes (and references)
Geo-Z11 (Zone 11)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this hardiness zone.
Geo-Z12 (Zone 12)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this hardiness zone.
Geo-Z13 (Zone 13)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this hardiness zone.
Köppen -Geiger climate classes	-		
Geo-C1 (Tropical rainforest)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this climate class.
Geo-C2 (Tropical savanna)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this climate class.
Geo-C3 (Steppe)	y - negl	N/A	Spain. Regional distribution in the Caucasus (AgroAtlas, 2016).
Geo-C4 (Desert)	n - low	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this climate class;
			however, it occurs near this class in Armenia (AgroAtlas, 2016).
Geo-C5 (Mediterranean)	y - low	N/A	A few points in Greece. Two points in Turkey (Mennan et al., 2012; Mennan and Zandstra, 2005).
Geo-C6 (Humid subtropical)	y - negl	N/A	One to two points each in Bulgaria, Greece, and Ukraine. Regional occurrence in Samsun Turkey (Mennan et al., 2012; Mennan and Zandstra, 2005), and the Caucasus region (AgroAtlas, 2016).
Geo-C7 (Marine west coast)	y - negl	N/A	Belgium, France, and Germany.
Geo-C8 (Humid cont. warm	y - low	N/A	One point in Georgia. Regional distribution in the Caucasus
sum.)			(AgroAtlas, 2016).
Geo-C9 (Humid cont. cool sum.)	y - negl	N/A	Austria, Germany, and Sweden.
Geo-C10 (Subarctic)	y - high	N/A	Some points in France, Germany, and Spain.
Geo-C11 (Tundra)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this climate class.
Geo-C12 (Icecap)	n - negl	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this climate class.
10-inch precipitation bands			
Geo-R1 (0-10 inches; 0-25 cm)	n - high	N/A	We found no evidence that it occurs in this precipitation band.
Geo-R2 (10-20 inches; 25-51 cm)	y - negl	N/A	Spain. One point each in Turkey and Ukraine.
Geo-R3 (20-30 inches; 51-76 cm)	y - negl	N/A	France and Spain. A few points in Greece and Turkey.
Geo-R4 (30-40 inches; 76-102 cm)	y - negl	N/A	France. A few points in Greece.
Geo-R5 (40-50 inches; 102-127 cm)	y - negl	N/A	France, Germany, and Greece.
Geo-R6 (50-60 inches; 127-152 cm)	y - negl	N/A	France and Germany.
Geo-R7 (60-70 inches; 152-178 cm)	y - low	N/A	A few points in Austria and Germany.
Geo-R8 (70-80 inches; 178-203 cm)	y - low	N/A	A few points in Austria and Germany.
Geo-R9 (80-90 inches; 203-229 cm)	y - low	N/A	A few points in Austria and Germany.
Geo-R10 (90-100 inches; 229-254 cm)	y - high	N/A	Regional distribution in the Caucasus (AgroAtlas, 2016).
Geo-R11 (100+ inches; 254+ cm)	y - high	N/A	Regional distribution in the Caucasus (AgroAtlas, 2016).
ENTRY POTENTIAL			
Ent-1 (Plant already here)	n - high	0	This species has been reported for the United States in one to two counties each in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Rhode Island (Anonymous, 2016; Britton, 1907; Kartesz, 2016), but it is not entirely clear if it currently present (see discussion under U.S. status). As such, we assumed it is not present, and evaluated its entry potential.

Question ID	Answer -	Score	Notes (and references)
Ent 2 (Plant man and fan antm	Uncertainty	0	We found up and done that its anton is imminant
or entry is imminent )	n - mod	0	we found no evidence that its entry is imminent.
Ent-3 (Human value & cultivation/trade status)	b - high	0.05	The plant is used as a spicy herb in many dishes, and its leaves are used to prepare a savory salad (AgroAtlas, 2016). A variety of research has been conducted evaluating the composition and potential impact of extracts of the plant on other species (Islamoğlu and Koçak, 2014; Köroglu et al., 2012; Özkirim et al., 2012). Plant breeders have tried crossing this species with coriander, but have not had much luck (Diederichsen, 1996). Because this species is used locally (if not cultivated) and because it is of interest to some breeder of coriander, we answered "b" with high uncertainty.
Ent-4 (Entry as a contaminant)			
Ent-4a (Plant present in Canada, Mexico, Central America, the Caribbean or China )	n - low	0	We found no evidence this species is present in these countries (e.g., Brouillet et al., 2015; eFloras, 2016; Villaseñor Ríos and Espinosa García, 1998).
Ent-4b (Contaminant of plant propagative material (except seeds))	n - mod	0	we found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> contaminating these products.
Ent-4c (Contaminant of seeds for planting)	y - low	0.04	Contaminant of wheat seed in Turkey (Mennan and Işik, 2003). Samples of <i>Secale cereale</i> seed from traditional agricultural areas in Albania contain <i>B. radians</i> as a contaminant (Gladis et al., 2009).
Ent-4d (Contaminant of ballast water)	y - low	0.03	Reported from waste places and ballast sites in Rhode Island, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey (Britton, 1907; Weakley, 2015).
Ent-4e (Contaminant of aquarium plants or other aquarium products)	n - mod	0	We found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> contaminating these products.
Ent-4f (Contaminant of landscape products)	n - mod	0	We found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> contaminating these products.
Ent-4g (Contaminant of containers, packing materials, trade goods, equipment or conveyances)	n - mod	0	We found no evidence of <i>B. radians</i> contaminating these products.
Ent-4h (Contaminants of fruit, vegetables, or other products for consumption or processing)	y - negl	0.01	Is sometimes found as a grain alien in the United Kingdom (Clement and Foster, 1994; Dunn, 1905; Stace, 2010). Listed as a grain alien in Belgium (Verloove, 2006). Contaminant of wheat seed in Turkey (Mennan and Işik, 2003). The congener <i>B. testiculata</i> is a contaminant of grain in Australia (GTA, No Date).
Ent-4i (Contaminant of some other pathway)	b - high	0.01	This species is reported as a wool alien for Belgium (Verloove, 2006), suggesting that seeds may get caught in animal fur. However, because we found only this one piece of evidence, and because the fruit are round and lack any obvious adaptations for attaching to animals, we used high uncertainty.
Ent-5 (Likely to enter through natural dispersal)	n - low	0	Because this species is not present in a region near the United States and because it does not have any specialized long- distance dispersal methods (see evidence provided under ES- 17), we answered no with low uncertainty.