USDA APHIS Honey Bee Pests and Diseases Survey Project Plan for 2018

Comprehensive Objective

A national survey of honey bee pests and diseases has been funded annually since 2009 by the USDA Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) and conducted in collaboration with the University of Maryland (UMD), USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) and State Apiary Specialists. This national survey is being conducted to document which bee diseases, parasites, or pests of honey bees are present and/or likely absent in the U.S. Specifically, this survey has verified the absence of the parasitic mite *Tropilaelaps* spp. and other exotic threats to honey bee populations (e.g., *Apis cerana* and specific viruses). To maximize the information gained from this survey effort, collected samples are analyzed for other honey bee diseases and parasites known to be present in the U.S.

Over the past 9 years, this nation-wide survey has become the most comprehensive honey bee pest and health survey to date, and provides essential disease and pest load base line information. We have developed a streamlined system for sharing this information as quickly as possible by sending out individual reports to beekeepers when we receive data, and also present it at the state level (to protect the confidentiality of the beekeepers) on the APHIS web site and with interactive tools developed by the Bee Informed Partnership (BIP). We have also published much of the past survey results. In 2018, we will expand upon our current objectives with the addition of longitudinal sampling of honey bee apiaries in order to increase the value of the data we collect. Changes in honey bee health over the season may be a better predictor of annual colony mortality. In addition, having participating beekeepers systematically provide management and mortality data from sampled operations at different times of the year will enable us to link practices and colony health measures with operational success (e.g. increased colony survivorship). Further, we will be able to identify factors that contribute to the likelihood of disease presence and absence in operations. This information will help place current and future epidemiological studies in context and thus may indirectly help investigations of emerging conditions. UMD is coordinating this survey in collaboration with the USDA ARS Bee Research Lab (BRL) and APHIS.

Primary Objective – Exotics

*Tropilaelaps* spp., a parasitic mite native to Asia, feeds on honey bee brood. Its parasitic feeding vectors viruses, weakens or kills parasitized brood, and can cause infected colonies to abscond, which spreads the mites to new areas. *Tropilaelaps* can complete its lifecycle in one week, and thus this mite can potentially outcompete *Varroa* when both mites are present in a hive. Currently, there are no known *Tropilaelaps* species in the U.S.

This survey also confirms the absence of the exotic *Apis* species *Apis cerana*, or Asian honey bee from U.S. apiaries. *A. cerana* is smaller but very similar in appearance to *Apis mellifera*, is well adapted to tropical climates, builds smaller colonies, and is known to swarm many times during the year. In tropical areas (e.g., Solomon Islands) *A. cerana* has been shown to outcompete *A. mellifera* in nectar and pollen gathering and exhibits a propensity for robbing European honey
Due to smaller colony size and lower honey production, *A. cerana* is not as well suited to migratory beekeeping for pollination as compared to *Apis mellifera*.

**Secondary Objective – Honey Bee Health Evaluation**

A decline in honey bee health has been documented over the past 60 years. Honey bee health is at risk from factors such as parasites, diseases, poor nutrition, stress and environmental toxins. We have conducted the National Honey Bee Survey over the last 9 years to ascertain the scope of parasites, diseases, and pests that may have a negative impact on honey bee populations in the U.S. This information provides additional benefit through informing and guiding the direction of honey bee parasite, disease, and pest research and informing recommendations to the U.S. apiculture industry. All of the data collected from the National Survey are included in the nationwide BIP database (programmatic details here: [https://beeinformed.org/aphis/](https://beeinformed.org/aphis/), diagnostic data provided here: [https://bip2.beeinformed.org/state_reports/](https://bip2.beeinformed.org/state_reports/) and viral data provided here: [https://bip2.beeinformed.org/state_reports/viruses/](https://bip2.beeinformed.org/state_reports/viruses/)). BIP is a non-profit 501(c)(3) previously funded as a Coordinated Agricultural Products (CAP) grant by USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA). As part of its core mission, BIP endeavors to capture honey bee health and management practices from around the country to better inform all beekeepers with the goal of reducing colony losses. The data gathered in these extensive surveys are critical for capturing baseline information on the status of honey bee health; this in turn will help place beekeeper disease load data in regional and temporal context.

Over the last 8 years, winter colony losses have been unsustainably high, ranging from 22% to 36% nationally. Since BIP began reporting summer losses in 2014, we have realized that total summer loss is generally on par with total winter loss. This highlights the need to monitor honey bee losses and health throughout the entire year. These rates of loss threaten the viability of beekeeping operations and, importantly, the production of crops dependent on bees for pollination as well as honey production. Pollination is responsible for over $15 billion in added crop value, particularly for specialty crops such as nuts, berries, fruits, and vegetables. Of the 2.5 million colonies of bees in the U.S., the almond crop in California alone requires approximately 2 million colonies, and this need is projected to increase substantially over the next few years. Growers depend increasingly on beekeepers from other states to transport honey bee colonies across the country to meet the pollination demand (a practice known as migratory beekeeping).

**Tertiary Objective – Longitudinal Pest and Disease Monitoring**

Summarized data from multiple years of the National Honey Bee Survey has demonstrated seasonal variation in honey bee health. *Varroa* populations consistently increase in the fall and *Nosema* spore loads are higher during the spring months. Similarly, many of the honey bee viruses tested for in the survey also display seasonal variation. These seasonal trends are present across survey years. This baseline information is valuable in itself, but its impact would be even greater if variation in seasonal disease levels could be linked to colony losses. Longitudinal monitoring will serve to bridge the gap between the seasonal honey bee health measures and annual colony mortality.
Every state that participates in the 2018 NHBS will sample a group of beekeepers as they have in the past in addition to monitoring a sub set of beekeepers (n=5) twice—once in the spring (before or at the start of the honey flow), and again in the fall after honey flow. The longitudinal monitoring will include a full survey assessment for exotics, pests and disease, viruses, and in-hive pesticides. Additionally, the beekeepers who manage these apiaries will provide management information (such as feeding and mite treatment practices), as well as annual colony mortality rates by committing to taking the BIP Colony Loss and Management Survey conducted annually in April. We will use this information to identify how beekeeping events (e.g. migratory pollination, package production, honey flow), can affect seasonal honey bee health and colony mortality.

Scope of work and methodology

The 2018 National Honey Bee Survey has three goals, 1) early detection of potentially invasive pests such as the exotic mite *Tropilaelaps*, problematic *Apis* species such as *A. cerana* and associated viruses, 2) continue to build the honey bee health surveillance dataset which provides critical long-term historical perspective of colony health, and 3) identify risk and protective factors that predict colony health and operational success by connecting honey bee health measures over time and annual colony losses.

The results of analyses will be forwarded to the participating beekeepers and the respective state apiary contacts as well as the State Plant Regulatory Officials (SPRO), and APHIS State Plant Health Directors (SPHD). Beekeepers participating in this survey should expect a summary report on the average apiary level of *Nosema* spore loads, *Varroa* loads, presence or absence of *Tropilaelaps* and *A. cerana*, viral results from the molecular analysis in the sampled apiary and pesticide residue detections, where applicable, within 4 months of sample collection and/or receipt of complete samples for diagnostics. After all sample analysis, SPHDs, SPROs and state apiary specialists will receive a summary report for their state and a report with the national-level results will be published on the APHIS honey bee website. All data collected will be handled by UMD and then stored and maintained at the BIP data base which adheres to strict security protocols.

The samples taken at the apiary and preserved in alcohol will be inspected using visual and microscopic analysis at UMD for the following:

1. *Tropilaelaps* presence or absence
2. *A. cerana* presence or absence
3. *Varroa* loads
4. *Nosema* spp. spore count

The live bees taken from the apiary should be immediately mailed to the UMD Honey Bee Lab. There, the honey bees will be frozen at -80°C and transported to the USDA ARS BRL where molecular and visual analyses will be conducted. The molecular and visual analyses will include the following:
1. Lake Sinai virus-2 (LSV-2)
2. Acute bee paralysis virus (ABPV)
3. Chronic bee paralysis virus (CBPV)
4. Deformed wing virus (DWV)
5. Kashmir bee virus (KBV)
6. Israeli acute paralysis virus (IAPV)
7. Varroa destructor virus (VDV-1)

Additionally, funding is provided for this survey year for states to collect ~3 grams of wax from brood frames that will be tested for 200 known pesticides. Wax will be collected from the 5 apiaries undergoing the longitudinal survey sampling and sent to the USDA Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) in Gastonia, NC for analysis. Every state is required to take one wax sample from each of the 5 beekeepers participating in the longitudinal portion of the survey in the spring, and once again in the fall, for a total of 10 wax samples per state.

The survey includes a visual inspection of the hives before sampling. The presence of the following are recorded at the apiaries and entered into the BIP database, but not included in analysis. Since visual identification of these diseases and pests are dependent on the training and experience of the sampling personnel, they are not included on the reports:

1. American Foul Brood
2. Black Shiny Bees
3. Chalkbrood
4. Deformed Wing Virus
5. European Foul Brood
6. Idiopathic Brood Disease Syndrome (IBDS)
7. Sac Brood
8. Small Hive Beetle Adults
9. Small Hive Beetle Larvae
10. Wax Moth Adults
11. Wax Moth Larvae

Also, as part of the national survey, training and outreach materials have been developed in the form of videos and written information: [http://www.aphis.usda.gov/plant-health/honey-bees-survey](http://www.aphis.usda.gov/plant-health/honey-bees-survey)
Project Management, Cooperators and Other Participating Institutions

Sampling is conducted under cooperative agreements with states. Samples are collected by state apiary specialists and university scientists who identify beekeepers whose colonies will be used for sampling. Some of these beekeepers may also participate in conducting the survey. The 42 states and territories being sampled in the 2018 National Survey are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>Ohio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UMD personnel are responsible for the sample kit fabrication and distribution. Mailing labels for returning samples are included with the kits, however, states/territories are responsible for purchasing postage. UMD is the contact for receiving all live bee samples, alcohol bee samples, *Tropilaelaps* samples and apiary data information forms from the field. These items should be addressed to:

Rachel Fahey  
University of Maryland  
4291 Fieldhouse Drive  
Plant Sciences Bldg. Rm. 4112  
College Park, MD 20742

All live bees are immediately frozen at UMD and transported to the USDA ARS BRL for molecular analysis of honey bee viruses. Pesticides samples are sent to USDA AMS for processing. All the other sampling materials are processed at UMD. UMD is responsible for all pest, diseases (including viruses) and exotic species and subspecies, as well as pesticide reporting to the beekeeper and the apiary contact for the selected states. UMD is responsible for entering and maintaining the data in the BIP database.
Guidance for Choosing Apiaries and Hives to Sample for the USDA National Honey Bee Survey

The 2018 National Honey Bee Survey sampling in each participating state will be divided into two sections, 1) longitudinal sampling of 5 beekeepers, and 2) 14 general survey surveillance samples split into 3 or more sampling trips throughout the year. Because the longitudinal sampling will be conducted twice for each of the 5 beekeepers, each state should have a total of 24 samples at the end of sampling season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal Sampling</th>
<th>General Sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-Select 5 (preferably commercial) beekeepers and their respective apiaries to be sampled. The colonies selected should be easy to locate on the next sampling event.</td>
<td>-Select 14 beekeepers and their respective apiaries to be sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First samples (May or June)</strong></td>
<td>-Preferentially select beekeepers who have large operations, are queen or package producers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Regular sampling and pesticide sample</td>
<td>-Plan three (for northern states) or four (for southern states) sampling periods 1)pre-honey flow (May or June), 2)mid-season (July or Aug.), 3)fall (Sep. or Oct.), and for southern states only 4)winter (Dec.-Feb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Mark hives with APHIS survey stickers (provided)</td>
<td>-Randomly assign beekeepers to one of these sampling groups so that you are approximately sampling the same number of beekeepers per period (~4-5 beekeepers per period in northern states and ~3-4 beekeepers per period in southern states)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Have beekeepers 1)fill out pre-sampling survey and 2)sign a commitment to complete next year’s loss and management survey (in April).</td>
<td>-Have beekeepers fill out pre-sampling survey at time of sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second sampling (September or October)</strong></td>
<td>-Encourage them to take the BIP Loss and Management survey April of next year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Locate previously marked colonies (complete sample size in case of dead outs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Regular sampling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Have beekeepers fill out new pre-sampling survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Remind beekeeper that they must take the BIP Loss and Management survey April of next year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Requirements for National Honey Bee Survey Sampling**

- Apiaries should have at least 10 colonies (8 of which will be sampled, with 2 extra in case inspector encounters dead outs or queen-less colonies during inspection. Dead outs and queen-less colonies should not be included in the survey sampling)
- Prioritize queen producers, package/nuc producers, honey producers, and apiaries used for crop pollination
- Prioritize apiaries in areas at high risk for exotics invasion (near deep water shipping ports, international airports, high traffic areas for migratory beekeeping)
- Apiaries should be chosen in order to give as close to an equal representation of the entire state as possible. Ideally, a state will be sectioned into 4 quadrants with apiaries randomly chosen from each quadrant.
- When sampling an apiary, it is critical to select colonies at random, which is different than haphazard or regularly spaced. Colonies should under no circumstances be preferentially selected because they seem “healthy” or “sickly”. To help select colonies as random, we will
provide sheets of randomly generated numbers. Instructions on the use of this will be provided with the sampling kit sent to each state.

Example National Honey Bee Survey Sampling Calendar:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug.</th>
<th>Sep.</th>
<th>Oct.</th>
<th>Total # Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st longitudinal sampling trip (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd longitudinal sampling trip (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st general sampling trip (n=5)</td>
<td>2nd general sampling trip (n=4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3rd general sampling trip (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Steering Committee*

Dr. Dennis vanEngelsdorp, University of Maryland, Assistant Research Scientist  
Ms. Karen Rennich, University of Maryland, Project Manager  
Dr. Robyn Rose, USDA APHIS, National Policy Manager  
Dr. Jay Evans, USDA ARS BRL, Research Leader

*Project Staffing at Collaborating Institutions:*

**University of Maryland**  
Heather Eversole (wet lab diagnostics)  
Rachel Fahey (project director and coordinator)  
Ashrafun Nessa (molecular lab diagnostics)  
Karen Rennich  
Daniel Reynolds  
Nathan Swan  
Dr. Dennis vanEngelsdorp

**USDA APHIS**  
Dr. Robyn Rose, National Policy Manager  
Josie Ryan, National Operations Manager  
Heather Moylett, Science and Technology

**USDA ARS Bee Research Laboratory**  
Samuel Abban  
Dr. Jay Evans  
Dawn Lopez

*For More Information*

Email: Robyn Rose (APHIS PPQ National Policy Manager) at robyn.i.rose@aphis.usda.gov, Josie Ryan (APHIS PPQ National Operations Manager) at josie.k.ryan@aphis.usda.gov, or Rachel Fahey (UMD Survey Coordinator) at faheybrl@umd.edu