CHAPTER 6.5.

RISK ANALYSIS FOR ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE ARISING FROM THE USE OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS IN AQUATIC ANIMALS

Article 6.5.1.

Recommendations for analysing the risks to aquatic animal and human health from antimicrobial resistant microorganisms of aquatic animal origin

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is a naturally occurring phenomenon influenced by many factors. However, the main driving force for the selection of antimicrobial resistance is the use of antimicrobial agents in any situation, including human, animal and other usages (under study).

Antimicrobial resistance associated with the use of antimicrobial agents for therapeutic and non-therapeutic purposes has led to the selection and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance microorganisms, with a resulting loss of therapeutic efficacy in animal and human medicine of one or several antimicrobial agents.

2. Objective

For the purpose of this chapter, the principal aim of risk analysis is to provide Member Countries with a transparent, objective and scientifically defensible method of assessing and managing the human and aquatic animal health risks associated with the selection and dissemination of resistance arising from the use of antimicrobial agents in aquatic animals.

Guidance on the issue of foodborne antimicrobial resistance related to the non-human use of antimicrobial agents is covered by the Codex Guidelines for risk analysis of foodborne antimicrobial resistance (CAC/GL77-2011).

3. The risk analysis process

The components of risk analysis described in this chapter are hazard identification, risk assessment, risk management and risk communication.

The chapter includes factors to be considered at various steps of the risk analysis process. These factors are not intended to be exhaustive and not all elements may be applicable in all situations.

4. Hazard identification

For the purpose of this chapter, the hazard is the resistant microorganism or resistance determinant that emerges as a result of the use of a specific antimicrobial agent in aquatic animals. This definition reflects the potential for resistant microorganisms to cause adverse health effects, as well as the potential for horizontal transfer of genetic determinants between microorganisms. The conditions under which the hazard might produce adverse consequences include any scenarios through which humans or aquatic animals could become exposed to an antimicrobial resistant pathogen, fall ill and then be treated with an antimicrobial agent that is no longer effective.

5. Risk assessment

The assessment of the risk to human and aquatic animal health from antimicrobial resistant microorganisms resulting from the use of antimicrobial agents in aquatic animals should examine:

a) the likelihood of emergence of resistant microorganisms arising from the use of an antimicrobial agent, or more particularly, dissemination of the resistance determinants if transmission is possible between microorganisms;
b) all pathways and their importance by which humans and aquatic animals could be exposed to these resistant microorganisms or resistance determinants, together with the likelihood of exposure;

c) the consequences of exposure in terms of risks to human and aquatic animal health.

The general principles of risk assessment as defined in Chapter 2.1. apply equally to both qualitative and quantitative risk assessment. At a minimum, a qualitative risk assessment should be undertaken.

Article 6.5.2.

Special considerations for conducting antimicrobial resistance risk analysis in aquaculture

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) risk analysis in aquaculture is challenged by a variety of factors that impact both risk assessment and risk management, including the diversity of aquaculture, relative lack of methods for culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST), relative lack of approved drugs, and potential for the development of a reservoir of resistant microorganisms and resistance determinants with a potential for horizontal transmission.

Nevertheless, the fundamental principles of risk analysis (risk assessment, risk management, risk communication) provide a framework just as valuable for aquaculture as for terrestrial animal production.

2. Definition of the risk

The definitions of risk used in this chapter are those associated with the use of antimicrobial agents within aquaculture.

Because many types of aquaculture operations (in particular, open systems) intersect with terrestrial animal production and human environments, it is especially important to clearly identify the risk to be assessed. The selection and dissemination of resistant microorganisms or resistant determinants may be associated with the use of antimicrobial agents on aquatic animals or it may be the result of antimicrobial use in nearby terrestrial animal production operations or the presence of antimicrobial agents in human waste water.

Special care is, therefore, required in design of data collection programmes for risk assessment to take account of these confounding factors.

3. Diversity of aquaculture

The range of species under culture, the number and type of different culture systems, and the range of antimicrobial agents and their routes of administration impact elements of the risk assessment, particularly the release assessment. Therefore, careful attention should be used when grouping seemingly similar sectors of the aquaculture industry.

Identification, selection and monitoring of risk management options are also influenced by the diversity of aquaculture.

4. Lack of methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST)

The current situation in aquaculture is that standardised methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for many relevant species are generally lacking resulting in a loss in the ability to quantify specific risks and an increase in attendant uncertainty. Standardised AST methods should be used where available; or when standardised methods are not available well-described, scientifically sound approaches should be applied.

5. Lack of approved drugs
The small number of approved antimicrobial agents for use in aquaculture challenges risk analysis, both in terms of risk assessment and risk management.

The collection and use of thorough information on the types and quantities of antimicrobial agents that are in use in aquaculture and relevant to the risk assessment is important. In some circumstances legal extra-/off-label and illegal uses may also need to be considered. See Chapter 6.3.

For risk management, the small number of approved drugs in combination with a range of regulatory and aquatic animal health infrastructure in countries engaged in aquaculture presents additional challenges. Risk management options should be practical and take into account the ability for enforcement and compliance.

For monitoring and surveillance programmes, a lack of approved drugs means systems for collection of data and information on the quantities of antimicrobial agents used may need to consider not only licensed distribution of approved drugs, but information on the use of unapproved drugs.

6. Potential for development of a reservoir (horizontal transmission)

Microorganisms inhabiting the environment represent the fundamental reservoir of resistant determinants in the biosphere. This reservoir represents the basic origin of all antimicrobial agent resistance determinants encountered in human and veterinary medicine. The frequency of resistance determinants in environmental microorganisms is maintained by intrinsic, non-anthropogenic factors; all human uses of antimicrobial agents, including aquaculture, have the potential to increase the size of the reservoir.

There is a risk that the use of antimicrobial agents in aquaculture will result in a rise in the frequency of determinants in environmental microbiome and that this may result in an increase in the frequency with which determinants are transferred to microorganisms capable of infecting humans, animals or aquatic animals. The assessment and management of this risk is extremely complex. The biological pathways both for the release assessment and the exposure assessment are myriad and at present no specific guidelines can be offered.

Article 6.5.3.

Analysis of risks to human health

1. Definition of the risk

The infection of humans with microorganisms that have acquired resistance due to antimicrobial agent usage in aquatic animals, and resulting in the loss of benefit of antimicrobial therapy used to manage the human infection.

2. Hazard identification

– Microorganisms that have acquired resistance, (including multiple resistance) arising from the use of an antimicrobial agent in aquatic animals.

– Microorganisms having obtained a resistance determinant from other microorganisms which have acquired resistance arising from the use of an antimicrobial agent in aquatic animals.

The identification of the hazard should include consideration of the class or subclass of the antimicrobial agent. This definition should be read in conjunction with point 4 of Article 6.5.1.

3. Release assessment

A release assessment describes the biological pathways necessary for the use of a specific antimicrobial agent in aquatic animals to lead to the release of resistant microorganisms or resistance determinants into a particular environment, and estimating either qualitatively or quantitatively the probability of that complete process occurring. The release assessment describes the probability of the entry of each of the hazards under each specified set of conditions with respect to amounts and timing, and how these might change as a result of various actions, events or measures.
The following factors should be considered in the release assessment:

- species of aquatic animals treated with the antimicrobial agent(s) in question;
- aquaculture production system (intensive/extensive, net pens, tanks, raceways, ponds, other);
- number of aquatic animals treated, their age and their geographical distribution;
- prevalence of disease for which the antimicrobial agent is indicated or is used in the target aquatic animal population;
- data on trends in antimicrobial agent use and changes in aquaculture production systems;
- data on potential extra-label or off-label use;
- methods and routes of administration of the antimicrobial agent;
- dosage regimen (dose, dosing interval and duration of the treatment);
- pharmacokinetics and relevant pharmacodynamics of the antimicrobial agent;
- prevalence of pathogenic agents that are likely to develop resistance in an aquatic animal species;
- mechanisms and pathways of direct or indirect transfer of resistance;
- potential linkage of virulence attributes and resistance;
- cross-resistance or co-resistance with other antimicrobial agents;
- data on trends and occurrence of resistant microorganisms obtained through surveillance of aquatic animals and aquatic animal products and waste products.

The following confounding factors should be considered in the release assessment:

- resistant microorganisms or resistant determinants associated with aquatic animals or aquatic animal products that are a result of terrestrial contamination of the aquatic environment, feed contamination or contamination during post-harvest processing.

4. Exposure assessment

An exposure assessment describes the biological pathways necessary for exposure of humans to the resistant microorganisms or resistance determinants released from a given antimicrobial agent’s use in aquatic animals, and estimates the probability of exposures occurring. The probability of exposure to the identified hazards is estimated for specified exposure conditions with respect to amounts, timing, frequency, duration of exposure, routes of exposure, species and other characteristics of the human populations exposed.

The following factors should be considered in the exposure assessment:

- human demographics, including population subgroups, and food consumption patterns, including traditions and cultural practices with respect to the preparation and storage of food;
- prevalence of resistant microorganisms in food at the point of consumption;
- microbial load in contaminated food at the point of consumption;
- environmental contamination with resistant microorganisms;
- transfer of resistant microorganisms between humans, aquatic animals, and the environment;
– measures taken for microbial decontamination of food;
– survival capacity and dissemination of resistant microorganisms during the food production process (including slaughtering, processing, storage, transportation and retailing);
– disposal practices for waste products and the likelihood for human exposure to resistant microorganisms or resistance determinants through those waste products;
– capacity of resistant microorganisms to become established in humans;
– human-to-human transmission of the microorganisms under consideration;
– capacity of resistant microorganisms to transfer resistance to human commensal microorganisms and zoonotic agents;
– amount and type of antimicrobial agents used to treat humans;
– pharmacokinetics, such as metabolism, bioavailability, distribution to the gastrointestinal flora.

5. Consequence assessment

A consequence assessment describes the relationship between specified exposures to resistant microorganisms or resistance determinants and the consequences of those exposures. A causal process should exist by which exposures produce adverse health or environmental consequences, which may in turn lead to socio-economic consequences. The consequence assessment describes the potential consequences of a given exposure and estimates the probability of them occurring.

The following factors should be considered in the consequence assessment:
– microbial dose and subsequent host response interactions;
– variation in susceptibility of exposed populations or subgroups of the population;
– variation and frequency of human health effects resulting from loss of efficacy of antimicrobial agents and associated costs;
– potential linkage of virulence attributes and resistance;
– changes in food consumption patterns due to loss of confidence in the safety of food products and any associated secondary risks;
– interference with antimicrobial therapy in humans;
– importance of the antimicrobial agent in human medicine;
– prevalence of resistance in human bacterial pathogens under consideration.

6. Risk estimation

A risk estimation integrates the results from the release assessment, exposure assessment and consequence assessment to produce overall estimates of risks associated with the hazards. Thus, risk estimation takes into account the whole of the risk pathway from hazard identification to the unwanted consequences.

The following factors should be considered in the risk estimation:
– number of people falling ill and the proportion of that number infected with antimicrobial resistant microorganisms;
– adverse effects on vulnerable human sub-population (children, immuno-compromised persons, elderly, pregnant, etc.);
– increased severity or duration of infectious disease;
– number of person/days of illness per year;
— deaths (total per year; probability per year or reduced life expectancy for a random member of the population or a member of a specific more exposed sub-population) linked to antimicrobial resistant microorganisms when compared with deaths linked to sensitive microorganisms of the same species;
— severity of the disease caused by the target resistant microorganisms;
— availability of alternative antimicrobial therapy;
— potential impact of switching to an alternative antimicrobial agent (e.g. alternatives with potential increased toxicity);
— occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in target pathogens observed in humans.

7. Risk management

The OIE defines risk management as consisting of the steps described below.

a) Risk evaluation

Risk evaluation - the process of comparing the risk estimated in the risk assessment with the reduction in risk expected from the proposed risk management measures.

b) Option evaluation

A range of risk management options is available to minimise the emergence and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance and these include both regulatory and non-regulatory options, such as the development of codes of practice for the use of antimicrobial agents in animal husbandry.

Risk management decisions need to consider fully the implications of these different options for human health and aquatic animal health and welfare and also take into account economic considerations and any associated environmental issues. Effective control of aquatic animal diseases can have the dual benefits of reducing the risks to human health associated with both the bacterial pathogen under consideration and antimicrobial resistance.

c) Implementation

Risk managers should develop an implementation plan that describes how the decision will be implemented, by whom and when. Competent Authorities should ensure an appropriate regulatory framework and infrastructure.

d) Monitoring and review

Risk management options should be continuously monitored and reviewed in order to ensure that the objectives are being achieved.

8. Risk communication

Communication with all interested parties should be promoted at the earliest opportunity and integrated into all phases of risk analysis. This will provide all interested parties, including risk managers, with a better understanding of risk management approaches. Risk communication should be also well documented.

Article 6.5.4.

Analysis of risks to aquatic animal health

1. Definition of the risk

The infection of aquatic animals with microorganisms that have acquired resistance due to antimicrobial usage in aquatic animals, and resulting in the loss of benefit of antimicrobial therapy used to manage the aquatic animal infection.

2. Hazard identification
Microorganisms that have acquired resistance, (including multiple resistance) arising from the use of an antimicrobial agent in aquatic animals.

Microorganisms having obtained a resistance determinant from another micro-organism which has acquired resistance arising from the use of an antimicrobial agent in aquatic animals.

The identification of the hazard should include considerations of the class or subclass of the antimicrobial agent. This definition should be read in conjunction with point 4 of Article 6.5.1.

3. Release assessment

The following factors should be considered in the release assessment:

- aquatic animal species treated with the antimicrobial agent in question;
- aquaculture production system (intensive/extensive, net pens, tanks, raceways, ponds, other);
- number of aquatic animals treated, and their age, geographical distribution, and where appropriate, sex;
- prevalence of disease for which the antimicrobial agent is indicated or is used in the target aquatic animal population;
- data on trends in antimicrobial agent use and changes in aquaculture production systems;
- data on potential extra-label or off-label use;
- dosage regimen (dose, dosing interval and duration of the treatment);
- methods and routes of administration of the antimicrobial agent;
- the pharmacokinetics and relevant pharmacodynamics of the antimicrobial agent;
- site and type of infection;
- development of resistant microorganisms;
- mechanisms and pathways of resistance transfer;
- cross-resistance or co-resistance with other antimicrobial agents;
- data on trends and occurrence of resistant microorganisms obtained through surveillance of aquatic animals, aquatic animal products and waste products.

The following confounding factors should be considered in the release assessment:

- resistant microorganisms or resistant determinants associated with aquatic animals or their products that are a result of terrestrial contamination of the aquatic environment, feed contamination or contamination during post-harvest processing.

4. Exposure assessment

The following factors should be considered in the exposure assessment:

- prevalence and trends of resistant microorganisms in clinically ill and clinically unaffected aquatic animals;
- prevalence of resistant microorganisms in feed and in the aquatic animal environment;
- animal-to-animal transmission of the resistant microorganisms and their resistance determinants (aquatic animal husbandry practices, movement of aquatic animals);
- number or percentage of aquatic animals treated;
quantity and trends of antimicrobial agent used in aquatic animals;

survival capacity and spread of resistant micro-organisms;

exposure of wildlife to resistant microorganisms;

disposal practices for waste products and the likelihood for aquatic animal exposure to resistant microorganisms or resistance determinants through those products;

capacity of resistant microorganisms to become established in aquatic animals;

exposure to resistance determinants from other sources such as water, effluent, waste pollution, etc.;

pharmacokinetics, such as metabolism, bioavailability, distribution to relevant flora - considering the gastrointestinal flora of many aquatic species may be transient;

transfer of resistant microorganisms and resistance determinants between humans, aquatic animals, and the environment.

5. Consequence assessment

The following factors should be considered in the consequence assessment:

– microbial dose and subsequent host response interactions;

– variation in disease susceptibility of exposed populations and subgroups of the populations;

– variation and frequency of aquatic animal health effects resulting from loss of efficacy of antimicrobial agents and associated costs;

– potential linkage of virulence attributes and resistance;

– importance of the antimicrobial agent in aquatic animal health (see OIE list of antimicrobial agents of veterinary importance).

6. Risk estimation

The following factors should be considered in the risk estimation:

– additional burden of disease due to antimicrobial resistant microorganisms;

– number of therapeutic failures due to antimicrobial resistant microorganisms;

– increased severity and duration of infectious disease;

– impact on aquatic animal welfare;

– estimation of the economic impact and cost on aquatic animal health and production;

– deaths (total per year; probability per year for a random member of the population or a member of a specific more exposed sub-population) linked to antimicrobial resistant microorganisms when compared with deaths linked to sensitive microorganisms of the same species;

– availability of alternative antimicrobial therapy;

– potential impact of switching to an alternative antimicrobial agent e.g. alternatives with potential increased toxicity.

7. Risk management

The relevant provisions in point 7 of Article 6.5.3. apply.
8. **Risk communication**

The relevant provisions in point 8 of Article 6.5.3. apply.