

## CHAPTER 10.4.

## INFECTION WITH AVIAN INFLUENZA VIRUSES

## Article 10.4.1.

## General provisions

- 1) For the purposes of the *Terrestrial Code*, avian influenza is defined as an *infection of poultry* caused by any influenza A virus of the H5 or H7 subtypes or by any influenza A virus with an intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI) greater than 1.2 (or as an alternative at least 75 percent mortality) as described below. These viruses are divided into high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses and low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses:
  - a) High pathogenicity avian influenza viruses have an IVPI in six-week-old chickens greater than 1.2 or, as an alternative, cause at least 75 percent mortality in four-to eight-week-old chickens infected intravenously. H5 and H7 viruses which do not have an IVPI of greater than 1.2 or cause less than 75 percent mortality in an intravenous lethality test should be sequenced to determine whether multiple basic amino acids are present at the cleavage site of the haemagglutinin molecule (HA0); if the amino acid motif is similar to that observed for other high pathogenicity avian influenza isolates, the isolate being tested should be considered as high pathogenicity avian influenza virus;
  - b) low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses are all influenza A viruses of H5 and H7 subtypes that are not high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses.
- 2) The following defines the occurrence of *infection* with an avian influenza virus: the virus has been isolated and identified as such or specific viral ribonucleic acid (RNA) has been detected in *poultry* or a product derived from *poultry*.
- 3) *Poultry* is defined as 'all domesticated birds, including backyard *poultry*, used for the production of *meat* or eggs for consumption, for the production of other commercial products, for restocking supplies of game, or for breeding these categories of birds, as well as fighting cocks used for any purpose'.
 

Birds that are kept in captivity for any reason other than those reasons referred to in the preceding paragraph, including those that are kept for shows, races, exhibitions, competitions or for breeding or selling these categories of birds as well as pet birds, are not considered to be *poultry*.
- 4) For the purposes of the *Terrestrial Code*, the *incubation period* for avian influenza shall be 21 days.
- 5) This chapter deals not only with the occurrence of clinical signs caused by avian influenza, but also with the presence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses in the absence of clinical signs.
- 6) Antibodies to H5 or H7 subtype, which have been detected in *poultry* and are not a consequence of *vaccination*, should be immediately investigated. In the case of isolated serological positive results, *infection* with avian influenza viruses may be ruled out on the basis of a thorough epidemiological and *laboratory* investigation that does not demonstrate further evidence of such an *infection*.
- 7) For the purposes of the *Terrestrial Code*, 'avian influenza free establishment' means an *establishment* in which the *poultry* have shown no evidence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses, based on *surveillance* in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33.
- 8) *Infection* with influenza A viruses of high pathogenicity in birds other than *poultry*, including wild birds, should be notified according to Article 1.1.3. However, a Member Country should not impose bans on the trade in *poultry commodities* in response to such a *notification*, or other information on the presence of any influenza A virus in birds other than *poultry*, including wild birds.
- 9) Standards for diagnostic tests, including pathogenicity testing, are described in the *Terrestrial Manual*. Any vaccine used should comply with the standards described in the *Terrestrial Manual*.

Annex XX (contd)

## Article 10.4.2.

**Determination of the avian influenza status of a country, zone or compartment**

The avian influenza status of a country, a *zone* or a *compartment* can be determined on the basis of the following criteria:

- 1) avian influenza is notifiable in the whole country, an ongoing avian influenza awareness programme is in place, and all notified suspect occurrences of avian influenza are subjected to field and, where applicable, *laboratory* investigations;
- 2) appropriate *surveillance* is in place to demonstrate the presence of *infection* in the absence of clinical signs in *poultry*, and the *risk* posed by birds other than *poultry*; this may be achieved through an avian influenza *surveillance* programme in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33.;
- 3) consideration of all epidemiological factors for avian influenza occurrence and their historical perspective.

## Article 10.4.3.

**Country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza**

A country, *zone* or *compartment* may be considered free from avian influenza when it has been shown that *infection* with avian influenza viruses in *poultry* has not been present in the country, *zone* or *compartment* for the past 12 months, based on *surveillance* in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33.

If *infection* has occurred in *poultry* in a previously free country, *zone* or *compartment*, avian influenza free status can be regained:

- 1) In the case of *infections* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses, three months after a *stamping-out policy* (including *disinfection* of all affected *establishments*) is applied, providing that *surveillance* in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. has been carried out during that three-month period.
- 2) In the case of *infections* with low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses, *poultry* may be kept for *slaughter* for human consumption subject to conditions specified in Article 10.4.19. or a *stamping-out policy* may be applied; in either case, three months after the *disinfection* of all affected *establishments*, providing that *surveillance* in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. has been carried out during that three-month period.

## Article 10.4.4.

**Country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**

A country, *zone* or *compartment* may be considered free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* when:

- 1) it has been shown that *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* has not been present in the country, *zone* or *compartment* for the past 12 months, although its status with respect to low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses may be unknown; or
- 2) when, based on *surveillance* in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33., it does not meet the criteria for freedom from avian influenza but any virus detected has not been identified as high pathogenicity avian influenza virus.

The *surveillance* may need to be adapted to parts of the country or existing *zones* or *compartments* depending on historical or geographical factors, industry structure, population data, or proximity to recent *outbreaks*.

If *infection* has occurred in *poultry* in a previously free country, *zone* or *compartment*, the free status can be regained three months after a *stamping-out policy* (including *disinfection* of all affected *establishments*) is applied, providing that *surveillance* in accordance with Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. has been carried out during that three-month period.

## Article 10.4.5.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza**For live poultry (other than day-old poultry)

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the *poultry* showed no clinical sign of avian influenza on the day of shipment;
- 2) the *poultry* were kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* since they were hatched or for at least the past 21 days;
- 3) the *poultry* are transported in new or appropriately sanitized *containers*.

If the *poultry* have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of *vaccination* have been attached to the *certificate*.

## Article 10.4.6.

**Recommendations for the importation of live birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) on the day of shipment, the birds showed no clinical sign of *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*;
- 2) the birds were kept in isolation approved by the *Veterinary Services* since they were hatched or for at least the 21 days prior to shipment and showed no clinical sign of *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry* during the isolation period;
- 3) a statistically valid sample of the birds, selected in accordance with the provisions of Article 10.4.29., was subjected to a diagnostic test within 14 days prior to shipment to demonstrate freedom from *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*;
- 4) the birds are transported in new or appropriately sanitized *containers*.

If the birds have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of *vaccination* have been attached to the *certificate*.

## Article 10.4.7.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza**For day-old live poultry

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the *poultry* were kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* since they were hatched;
- 2) the *poultry* were derived from parent *flocks* which had been kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
- 3) the *poultry* are transported in new or appropriately sanitized *containers*.

If the *poultry* or the parent *flocks* have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of *vaccination* have been attached to the *certificate*.

Annex XX (contd)

## Article 10.4.8.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**For day-old live poultry

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the *poultry* were kept in a country, *zone* or *compartment* free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* since they were hatched;
- 2) the *poultry* were derived from parent *flocks* which had been kept in an avian influenza free *establishment* for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
- 3) the *poultry* are transported in new or appropriately sanitized *containers*.

If the *poultry* or the parent *flocks* have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of *vaccination* have been attached to the *certificate*.

## Article 10.4.9.

**Recommendations for the importation of day-old live birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) on the day of shipment, the birds showed no clinical sign of *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*;
- 2) the birds were hatched and kept in isolation approved by the *Veterinary Services*;
- 3) the parent *flock* birds were subjected to a diagnostic test at the time of the collection of the eggs to demonstrate freedom from *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*;
- 4) the birds are transported in new or appropriately sanitized *containers*.

If the birds or parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of *vaccination* have been attached to the *certificate*.

## Article 10.4.10.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza**For hatching eggs of poultry

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the eggs came from an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment*;
- 2) the eggs were derived from parent *flocks* which had been kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
- 3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent *flocks* have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of *vaccination* have been attached to the *certificate*.

Annex XX (contd)

## Article 10.4.11.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**For hatching eggs of poultry

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the eggs came from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry;
- 2) the eggs were derived from parent flocks which had been kept in an avian influenza free establishment for at least 21 days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs;
- 3) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.4.);
- 4) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination have been attached to the certificate.

## Article 10.4.12.

**Recommendations for the importation of hatching eggs from birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the parent flock birds were subjected to a diagnostic test seven days prior to and at the time of the collection of the eggs to demonstrate freedom from infection with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in poultry;
- 2) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.4.);
- 3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

If the parent flocks have been vaccinated against avian influenza, the nature of the vaccine used and the date of vaccination have been attached to the certificate.

## Article 10.4.13.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza**For eggs for human consumption

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the eggs were produced and packed in an avian influenza free country, zone or compartment;
- 2) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

## Article 10.4.14.

**Recommendations for importation from a free country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**

For eggs for human consumption

Annex XX (contd)

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the eggs were produced and packed in a country, *zone* or *compartment* free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry*;
- 2) the eggs have had their surfaces sanitized (in accordance with Chapter 6.4.);
- 3) the eggs are transported in new or appropriately sanitized packaging materials.

Article 10.4.15.

**Recommendations for importation of egg products of poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the *commodity* is derived from eggs which meet the requirements of Articles 10.4.13. or 10.4.14.; or
- 2) the *commodity* has been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus in accordance with Article 10.4.25.;

AND

- 3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of avian influenza virus.

Article 10.4.16.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza**

For poultry semen

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor *poultry*:

- 1) showed no clinical sign of avian influenza on the day of semen collection;
- 2) were kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* for at least the 21 days prior to and at the time of semen collection.

Article 10.4.17.

**Recommendations for the importation from a country, zone or compartment free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**

For poultry semen

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor *poultry*:

- 1) showed no clinical sign of *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* on the day of semen collection;

- 2) were kept in a country, *zone* or *compartment* free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* for at least the 21 days prior to and at the time of semen collection.

UNOFFICIAL VERSION

## Article 10.4.18.

**Recommendations for the importation of semen of birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the donor birds:

- 1) were kept in isolation approved by the *Veterinary Services* for at least the 21 days prior to semen collection;
- 2) showed no clinical sign of *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry* during the isolation period;
- 3) were tested within 14 days prior to semen collection and shown to be free from *infection* with a virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*.

## Article 10.4.19.

**Recommendations for importation from a country, zone or compartment free from avian influenza or free from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**For fresh meat of poultry

*Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that the entire consignment of *fresh meat* comes from *poultry*:

- 1) which have been kept in a country, *zone* or *compartment* free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* since they were hatched or for at least the past 21 days;
- 2) which have been slaughtered in an approved *abattoir* in a country, *zone* or *compartment* free from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* and have been subjected to ante- and post-mortem inspections in accordance with Chapter 6.2. and have been found free of any signs suggestive of avian influenza.

## Article 10.4.20.

**Recommendations for the importation of meat products of poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) the *commodity* is derived from *fresh meat* which meet the requirements of Article 10.4.19.; or
- 2) the *commodity* has been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus in accordance with Article 10.4.26.;

AND

- 3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of avian influenza virus.

## Article 10.4.21.

**Recommendations for the importation of products of poultry origin, other than feather meal and poultry meal, intended for use in animal feeding, or for agricultural or industrial use**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

UNOFFICIAL VERSION

Annex XX (contd)

- 1) these *commodities* were processed in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* from *poultry* which were kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* from the time they were hatched until the time of *slaughter* or for at least the 21 days preceding *slaughter*, or
- 2) these *commodities* have been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus using (~~under study~~):
  - a) pasteurisation or
  - b) heat treatment for 30 minutes at 56 °C:

AND

- 3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of avian influenza virus.

## Article 10.4.22.

**Recommendations for the importation of feathers and down of poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) these *commodities* originated from *poultry* as described in Article 10.4.19. and were processed in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment*, or
- 2) these *commodities* have been processed to ensure the destruction of avian influenza virus (~~under study~~) using one of the following:
  - a) washed and steam-dried at 100 degrees Celsius for 30 min:
  - b) fumigation with formalin (10 % formaldehyde) for 8 hrs:
  - c) irradiation with a dose of 20 kGy:
  - d) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate avian influenza virus:

AND

- 3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of avian influenza virus.

## Article 10.4.23.

**Recommendations for the importation of feathers and down of birds other than poultry**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) these *commodities* have been processed to ensure the destruction of any virus which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry* (~~under study~~) using one of the following:
  - a) washed and steam-dried at 100 degrees Celsius for 30 min:
  - b) fumigation with formalin (10 % formaldehyde) for 8 hrs:

- c) irradiation with a dose of 20 kGy;
- d) any equivalent treatment which has been demonstrated to inactivate avian influenza virus;

Annex XX (contd)

and

- 2) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of viruses which would be considered avian influenza in *poultry*.

Article 10.4.24.

**Recommendations for the importation of feather meal and poultry meal**

Regardless of the avian influenza status of the country of origin, *Veterinary Authorities* should require the presentation of an *international veterinary certificate* attesting that:

- 1) these *commodities* were processed in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* from *poultry* which were kept in an avian influenza free country, *zone* or *compartment* from the time they were hatched until the time of *slaughter* or for at least the 21 days preceding *slaughter*, or
- 2) these *commodities* have been processed either:
  - a) with moist heat at a minimum temperature of 118°C for minimum of 40 minutes; or
  - b) with a continuous hydrolysing process under at least 3.79 bar of pressure with steam at a minimum temperature of 122°C for a minimum of 15 minutes; or
  - c) with an alternative rendering process that ensures that the internal temperature throughout the product reaches at least 74°C;

AND

- 3) the necessary precautions were taken to avoid contact of the *commodity* with any source of avian influenza viruses.

Article 10.4.25.

**Procedures for the inactivation of the avian influenza viruses in eggs and egg products**

The following times for industry standard temperatures are suitable for the inactivation of avian influenza viruses present in eggs and egg products:

	Core temperature (°C)	Time
Whole egg	60	188 seconds
Whole egg blends	60	188 seconds
Whole egg blends	61.1	94 seconds
Liquid egg white	55.6	870 seconds
Liquid egg white	56.7	232 seconds
10% salted yolk	62.2	138 seconds
Dried egg white	67	20 hours
Dried egg white	54.4	513 hours

The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill. Where scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures may also be suitable when they achieve the inactivation of the virus.

UNOFFICIAL VERSION

Annex XX (contd)

## Article 10.4.26.

**Procedures for the inactivation of the avian influenza viruses in meat**

The following times for industry standard temperatures are suitable for the inactivation of avian influenza viruses present in *meat*.

	Core temperature (°C)	Time
Poultry meat	60.0	507 seconds
	65.0	42 seconds
	70.0	3.5 seconds
	73.9	0.51 second

The listed temperatures are indicative of a range that achieves a 7-log kill. Where scientifically documented, variances from these times and temperatures may also be suitable when they achieve the inactivation of the virus.

## Article 10.4.27.

**Surveillance: introduction**

Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. define the principles and provide a guide on the *surveillance* for avian influenza complementary to Chapter 1.4., applicable to Member Countries seeking to determine their avian influenza status. This may be for the entire country, *zone* or *compartment*. Guidance for Member Countries seeking free status following an *outbreak* and for the maintenance of avian influenza status is also provided.

The presence of influenza A viruses in wild birds creates a particular problem. In essence, no Member Country can declare itself free from influenza A in wild birds. However, the definition of avian influenza in this chapter refers to the *infection* in *poultry* only, and Articles 10.4.27. to 10.4.33. were developed under this definition.

The impact and epidemiology of avian influenza differ widely in different regions of the world and therefore it is impossible to provide specific recommendations for all situations. *Surveillance* strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from avian influenza at an acceptable level of confidence will need to be adapted to the local situation. Variables such as the frequency of contacts of *poultry* with wild birds, different biosecurity levels and production systems and the commingling of different susceptible species including domestic waterfowl require specific *surveillance* strategies to address each specific situation. It is incumbent upon the Member Country to provide scientific data that explains the epidemiology of avian influenza in the region concerned and also demonstrates how all the risk factors are managed. There is therefore considerable latitude available to Member Countries to provide a well-reasoned argument to prove that absence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses *infection* is assured at an acceptable level of confidence.

*Surveillance* for avian influenza should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that the country, *zone* or *compartment*, for which application is made, is free from *infection* with avian influenza viruses.

## Article 10.4.28.

**Surveillance: general conditions and methods**

- 1) A *surveillance* system in accordance with Chapter 1.4. should be under the responsibility of the *Veterinary Authority*. In particular:
  - a) a formal and ongoing system for detecting and investigating *outbreaks* of *disease* or *infection* with avian influenza viruses should be in place;

- b) a procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspect cases of avian influenza to a *laboratory* for avian influenza diagnosis;

Annex XX (contd)

- c) a system for recording, managing and analysing diagnostic and *surveillance* data should be in place.

2) The avian influenza *surveillance* programme should:

- a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspicious cases. Farmers and workers, who have day-to-day contact with *poultry*, as well as diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of avian influenza to the *Veterinary Authority*. They should be supported directly or indirectly (e.g. through private *veterinarians* or *veterinary para-professionals*) by government information programmes and the *Veterinary Authority*. All suspected cases of avian influenza should be investigated immediately. As suspicion cannot always be resolved by epidemiological and clinical investigation alone, samples should be taken and submitted to a *laboratory* for appropriate tests. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment are available for those responsible for *surveillance*. Personnel responsible for *surveillance* should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in avian influenza diagnosis and control. In cases where potential public health implications are suspected, notification to the appropriate public health authorities is essential;
- b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspection, serological and virological testing of high-risk groups of *animals*, such as those adjacent to an avian influenza infected country, *zone* or *compartment*, places where birds and *poultry* of different origins are mixed, such as live bird markets, *poultry* in close proximity to waterfowl or other potential sources of influenza A viruses.

An effective *surveillance* system will periodically identify suspicious cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is influenza A viruses. The rate at which such suspicious cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. Documentation for freedom from *infection* with avian influenza viruses should, in consequence, provide details of the occurrence of suspicious cases and how they were investigated and dealt with. This should include the results of *laboratory* testing and the control measures to which the *animals* concerned were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement stand-still orders, etc.).

Article 10.4.29.

### **Surveillance strategies**

#### **1. Introduction**

The target population for *surveillance* aimed at identification of *disease* and *infection* should cover all the susceptible *poultry* species within the country, *zone* or *compartment*. Active and passive *surveillance* for avian influenza should be ongoing. The frequency of active *surveillance* should be at least every six months. *Surveillance* should be composed of random and targeted approaches using molecular, virological, serological and clinical methods.

The strategy employed may be based on randomised sampling requiring *surveillance* consistent with demonstrating the absence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses at an acceptable level of confidence. Random *surveillance* is conducted using serological tests. Positive serological results should be followed up with molecular or virological methods.

Targeted *surveillance* (e.g. based on the increased likelihood of *infection* in particular localities or species) may be an appropriate strategy. Virological and serological methods should be used concurrently to define the avian influenza status of high risk populations.

A Member Country should justify the *surveillance* strategy chosen as adequate to detect the presence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses in accordance with Chapter 1.4. and the prevailing epidemiological situation, including cases of high pathogenicity influenza A detected in any birds. It may, for example, be appropriate to target clinical *surveillance* at particular species likely to exhibit clear clinical signs (e.g. chickens). Similarly, virological and serological testing could be targeted to species that may not show clinical signs (e.g. ducks).

If a Member Country wishes to declare freedom from *infection* with avian influenza viruses in a specific *zone* or *compartment*, the design of the survey and the basis for the sampling process would need to be aimed at the population within the *zone* or *compartment*.

## Annex XX (contd)

For random surveys, the design of the sampling strategy will need to incorporate epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing will need to be large enough to detect *infection* if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and expected *disease* prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The Member Country should justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of *surveillance* and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Chapter 1.4. Selection of the design prevalence in particular clearly needs to be based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey approach selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. Ideally, the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated for the *vaccination* and *infection* history and the different species in the target population.

Irrespective of the testing system employed, *surveillance* system design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There needs to be an effective procedure for following up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of *infection* or not. This should involve both supplementary tests and follow-up investigation to collect diagnostic material from the original sampling unit as well as *flocks* which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

The principles involved in *surveillance* for *disease* and *infection* are technically well defined. The design of *surveillance* programmes to prove the absence of *infection* with, or circulation of, avian influenza viruses needs to be carefully followed to avoid producing results that are either insufficiently reliable, or excessively costly and logistically complicated. The design of any *surveillance* programme, therefore, requires inputs from professionals competent and experienced in this field.

### 2. Clinical surveillance

Clinical *surveillance* aims at the detection of clinical signs of avian influenza at the *flock* level. Whereas significant emphasis is placed on the diagnostic value of mass serological screening, *surveillance* based on clinical inspection should not be underrated. Monitoring of production parameters, such as increased mortality, reduced feed and water consumption, presence of clinical signs of a respiratory *disease* or a drop in egg production, is important for the early detection of *infection* with avian influenza viruses. In some cases, the only indication of *infection* with low pathogenicity avian influenza virus may be a drop in feed consumption or egg production.

Clinical *surveillance* and *laboratory* testing should always be applied in series to clarify the status of avian influenza suspects detected by either of these complementary diagnostic approaches. *Laboratory* testing may confirm clinical suspicion, while clinical *surveillance* may contribute to confirmation of positive serology. Any sampling unit within which suspicious *animals* are detected should have restrictions imposed upon it until avian influenza *infection* is ruled out.

Identification of suspect *flocks* is vital to the identification of sources of avian influenza viruses and to enable the molecular, antigenic and other biological characteristics of the virus to be determined. It is essential that avian influenza virus isolates are sent regularly to the regional Reference Laboratory for genetic and antigenic characterization.

### 3. Virological surveillance

Virological *surveillance* should be conducted:

- a) to monitor at risk populations;
- b) to confirm clinically suspect cases;
- c) to follow up positive serological results;

- d) to test 'normal' daily mortality, to ensure early detection of *infection* in the face of *vaccination* or in *establishments* epidemiologically linked to an *outbreak*.

Annex XX (contd)

#### 4. Serological surveillance

Serological *surveillance* aims at the detection of antibodies against avian influenza virus. Positive avian influenza viruses antibody test results can have four possible causes:

- a) natural *infection* with avian influenza viruses;
- b) *vaccination* against avian influenza;
- c) maternal antibodies derived from a vaccinated or infected parent *flock* are usually found in the yolk and can persist in progeny for up to four weeks;
- d) false positive results due to the lack of specificity of the test.

It may be possible to use serum collected for other survey purposes for avian influenza *surveillance*. However, the principles of survey design described in these recommendations and the requirement for a statistically valid survey for the presence of avian influenza viruses should not be compromised.

The discovery of clusters of seropositive *flocks* may reflect any of a series of events, including but not limited to the demographics of the population sampled, vaccinal exposure or *infection*. As clustering may signal *infection*, the investigation of all instances should be incorporated in the survey design. Clustering of positive *flocks* is always epidemiologically significant and therefore should be investigated.

If *vaccination* cannot be excluded as the cause of positive serological reactions, diagnostic methods to differentiate antibodies due to *infection* or *vaccination* should be employed.

The results of random or targeted serological surveys are important in providing reliable evidence that no *infection* with avian influenza viruses is present in a country, *zone* or *compartment*. It is therefore essential that the survey be thoroughly documented.

#### 5. Virological and serological surveillance in vaccinated populations

The *surveillance* strategy is dependent on the type of vaccine used. The protection against influenza A virus is haemagglutinin subtype specific. Therefore, two broad *vaccination* strategies exist: 1) inactivated whole viruses, and 2) haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines.

In the case of vaccinated populations, the *surveillance* strategy should be based on virological or serological methods and clinical *surveillance*. It may be appropriate to use sentinel birds for this purpose. These birds should be unvaccinated, virus antibody free birds and clearly and permanently identified. Sentinel birds should be used only if no appropriate *laboratory* procedures are available. The interpretation of serological results in the presence of *vaccination* is described in Article 10.4.33.

Article 10.4.30.

### **Documentation of freedom from avian influenza or freedom from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry**

#### 1. Additional surveillance procedures for Member Countries declaring freedom of the country, zone or compartment from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry

In addition to the general conditions described in above mentioned articles, a Member Country declaring freedom of the entire country, or a *zone* or a *compartment* from avian influenza or from *infection* with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* should provide evidence for the existence of an effective *surveillance* programme.

The strategy and design of the *surveillance* programme will depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances and should be planned and implemented according to general conditions and methods described in this chapter, to demonstrate absence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses or with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses, during the preceding 12 months in susceptible *poultry* populations (vaccinated and non-vaccinated). This requires the support of a *laboratory* able to undertake identification of *infection* with avian influenza viruses through virus detection and antibody tests. This *surveillance* may be targeted to *poultry* population at specific risks linked to the types of production, possible direct or indirect contact with wild birds, multi-age *flocks*, local trade patterns including live bird markets, use of possibly

contaminated surface water, and the presence of more than one species on the holding and poor biosecurity measures in place.

## Annex XX (contd)

### 2. Additional requirements for countries, zones or compartments that practise vaccination

*Vaccination* to prevent the transmission of high pathogenicity avian influenza virus may be part of a *disease control programme*. The level of *flock* immunity required to prevent transmission will depend on the *flock* size, composition (e.g. species) and density of the susceptible *poultry* population. It is therefore impossible to be prescriptive. Based on the epidemiology of avian influenza in the country, *zone* or *compartment*, it may be that a decision is reached to vaccinate only certain species or other *poultry* subpopulations.

In all vaccinated *flocks* there is a need to perform virological and serological tests to ensure the absence of virus circulation. The use of sentinel *poultry* may provide further confidence of the absence of virus circulation. The tests have to be repeated at least every six months or at shorter intervals according to the risk in the country, *zone* or *compartment*.

Evidence to show the effectiveness of the *vaccination* programme should also be provided.

#### Article 10.4.31.

### **Additional surveillance procedures for countries, zones or compartments declaring that they have regained freedom from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in poultry following an outbreak**

In addition to the general conditions described in the above-mentioned articles, a Member Country declaring that it has regained country, *zone* or *compartment* freedom from avian influenza or from infection with high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in *poultry* should show evidence of an active *surveillance* programme depending on the epidemiological circumstances of the *outbreak* to demonstrate the absence of the *infection*. This will require *surveillance* incorporating virus detection and antibody tests. The use of sentinel birds may facilitate the interpretation of *surveillance* results.

A Member Country declaring freedom of country, *zone* or *compartment* after an *outbreak* of avian influenza should report the results of an active *surveillance* programme in which the susceptible *poultry* population undergoes regular clinical examination and active *surveillance* planned and implemented according to the general conditions and methods described in these recommendations. The *surveillance* should at least give the confidence that can be given by a randomized representative sample of the populations at risk.

#### Article 10.4.32.

### **Additional surveillance procedures for avian influenza free establishments**

The declaration of avian influenza free *establishments* requires the demonstration of absence of *infection* with avian influenza viruses. Birds in these *establishments* should be randomly tested using virus detection or isolation tests, and serological methods, following the general conditions of these recommendations. The frequency of testing should be based on the risk of *infection* and at a maximum interval of 21 days.

#### Article 10.4.33.

### **The use and interpretation of serological and virus detection tests**

*Poultry* infected with avian influenza virus produce antibodies to haemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase (NA), nonstructural proteins (NSPs), nucleoprotein/matrix (NP/M) and the polymerase complex proteins. Detection of antibodies against the polymerase complex proteins will not be covered in this chapter. Tests for NP/M antibodies include direct and blocking ELISA, and agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID) tests. Tests for antibodies against NA include the neuraminidase inhibition (NI), indirect fluorescent antibody and direct and blocking ELISA tests. For the HA, antibodies are detected in haemagglutination inhibition (HI), ELISA and neutralization (SN) tests. The HI test is reliable in avian species but not in mammals. The SN test can be used to detect subtype specific antibodies to the haemagglutinin and is the preferred test for mammals and some avian species. The AGID test is reliable for detection of NP/M antibodies in chickens and turkeys, but not in other avian species. As an alternative, blocking ELISA tests have been developed to detect NP/M antibodies in all avian species.

The HI and NI tests can be used to subtype influenza A viruses into 16 haemagglutinin and 9 neuraminidase subtypes. Such information is helpful for epidemiological investigations and in categorization of influenza A viruses.

Annex XX (contd)

*Poultry* can be vaccinated with a variety of influenza A vaccines including inactivated whole virus vaccines, and haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines. Antibodies to the haemagglutinin confer subtype specific protection. Various strategies can be used to differentiate vaccinated from infected birds including serosurveillance in unvaccinated sentinel birds or specific serological tests in the vaccinated birds.

Influenza A virus *infection* of unvaccinated birds including sentinels is detected by antibodies to the NP/M, subtype specific HA or NA proteins, or NSP. *Poultry* vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccines containing a virus of the same H sub-type but with a different neuraminidase may be tested for field exposure by applying serological tests directed to the detection of antibodies to the NA of the field virus. For example, birds vaccinated with H7N3 in the face of a H7N1 epidemic may be differentiated from infected birds (DIVA) by detection of subtype specific NA antibodies of the N1 protein of the field virus. Alternatively, in the absence of DIVA, inactivated vaccines may induce low titres of antibodies to NSP and the titre in infected birds would be markedly higher. Encouraging results have been obtained experimentally with this system, but it has not yet been validated in the field. In *poultry* vaccinated with haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines, antibodies are detected to the specific HA, but not any of the other viral proteins. *Infection* is evident by antibodies to the NP/M or NSP, or the specific NA protein of the field virus.

All *flocks* with seropositive results should be investigated. Epidemiological and supplementary *laboratory* investigation results should document the status of avian influenza *infection* for each positive *flock*.

A confirmatory test should have a higher specificity than the screening test and sensitivity at least equivalent than that of the screening test.

Information should be provided on the performance characteristics and validation of tests used.

1. Procedure in case of positive test results if vaccination is used

In case of vaccinated populations, one has to exclude the likelihood that positive test results are indicative of virus circulation. To this end, the following procedure should be followed in the investigation of positive serological test results derived from *surveillance* conducted on vaccinated *poultry*. The investigation should examine all evidence that might confirm or refute the hypothesis that the positive results to the serological tests employed in the initial survey were not due to virus circulation. All the epidemiological information should be substantiated, and the results should be collated in the final report.

Knowledge of the type of vaccine used is crucial in developing a serological based strategy to differentiate infected from vaccinated *animals*.

- a) Inactivated whole virus vaccines can use either homologous or heterologous neuraminidase subtypes between the vaccine and field strains. If *poultry* in the population have antibodies to NP/M and were vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccine, the following strategies should be applied:
  - i) sentinel birds should remain NP/M antibody negative. If positive for NP/M antibodies, indicating influenza A virus *infection*, specific HI tests should be performed to identify H5 or H7 virus *infection*;
  - ii) if vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccine containing homologous NA to field virus, the presence of antibodies to NSP could be indicative of *infection*. Sampling should be initiated to exclude the presence of avian influenza virus by either virus isolation or detection of virus specific genomic material or proteins;
  - iii) if vaccinated with inactivated whole virus vaccine containing heterologous NA to field virus, presence of antibodies to the field virus NA or NSP would be indicative of *infection*. Sampling should be initiated to exclude the presence of avian influenza virus by either virus isolation or detection of virus specific genomic material or proteins.
- b) Haemagglutinin expression-based vaccines contain the HA protein or gene homologous to the HA of the field virus. Sentinel birds as described above can be used to detect avian influenza *infection*. In vaccinated or sentinel birds, the presence of antibodies against NP/M, NSP or field virus NA is

indicative of *infection*. Sampling should be initiated to exclude the presence of avian influenza virus by either virus isolation or detection of virus specific genomic material or proteins.

UNOFFICIAL VERSION

Annex XX (contd)2. Procedure in case of test results indicative of infection with avian influenza viruses

The detection of antibodies indicative of an *infection* with avian influenza virus in unvaccinated *poultry* should result in the initiation of epidemiological and virological investigations to determine if the *infections* are due to low and high pathogenicity viruses.

Virological testing should be initiated in all antibody-positive and at risk populations. The samples should be evaluated for the presence of avian influenza virus, by virus isolation and identification, or detection of influenza A specific proteins or nucleic acids (Figure 2). Virus isolation is the gold standard for detecting *infection* by avian influenza virus. All influenza A virus isolates should be tested to determine HA and NA subtypes, and *in vivo* tested in chickens or sequencing of HA proteolytic cleavage site of H5 and H7 subtypes for determination of classification as high or low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses or other influenza A viruses. As an alternative, nucleic acid detection tests have been developed and validated; these tests have the sensitivity of virus isolation, but with the advantage of providing results within a few hours. Samples with detection of H5 and H7 HA subtypes by nucleic acid detection methods should either be submitted for virus isolation, identification, and *in vivo* testing in chickens, or sequencing of nucleic acids for determination of proteolytic cleavage site as high or low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses. The use of antigen detection systems, because of low sensitivity, should be limited to screening clinical field cases for *infection* by influenza A virus looking for NP/M proteins. NP/M positive samples should be submitted for virus isolation, identification and pathogenicity determination.


*Laboratory* results should be examined in the context of the epidemiological situation. Corollary information needed to complement the serological survey and assess the possibility of viral circulation includes but is not limited to:

- a) characterization of the existing production systems;
- b) results of clinical *surveillance* of the suspects and their cohorts;
- c) quantification of *vaccinations* performed on the affected sites;
- d) sanitary protocol and history of the affected *establishments*;
- e) control of *animal identification* and movements;
- f) other parameters of regional significance in historic avian influenza virus transmission.

The entire investigative process should be documented as standard operating procedure within the epidemiological *surveillance* programme.

Figures 1 and 2 indicate the tests which are recommended for use in the investigation of *poultry flocks*.


**Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of laboratory tests for determining evidence of avian influenza infection through or following serological surveys



Key:	
AGID	Agar gel immunodiffusion
DIVA	Differentiating infected from vaccinated animals
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay
HA	Haemagglutinin
HI	Haemagglutination inhibition
NA	Neuraminidase
NP/M	Nucleoprotein and matrix protein
NSP	Nonstructural protein
S	No evidence of avian influenza virus

Annex XX (contd)

**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of laboratory tests for determining evidence of avian influenza infection using virological methods



— Text deleted.

UNOFFICIAL VERSION