TERRESTRIAL ANIMAL HEALTH STANDARDS COMMISSION
FEBRUARY 2010 REPORT

CHAPTER 7.6.

KILLING OF ANIMALS FOR DISEASE CONTROL PURPOSES

Article 7.6.1.

General principles

These recommendations are based on the premise that a decision to kill the *animals* has been made, and address the need to ensure the *welfare* of the *animals* until they are dead.

1. All personnel involved in the humane *killing* of *animals* should have the relevant skills and competencies. Competence may be gained through formal training and/or practical experience.

2. As necessary, operational procedures should be adapted to the specific circumstances operating on the premises and should address, apart from *animal welfare*, aesthetics of the method of euthanasia, cost of the method, operator safety, biosecurity and environmental aspects, aesthetics of the method of euthanasia and cost of the method.

3. Following the decision to kill the *animals*, *killing* should be carried out as quickly as possible, and normal husbandry should be maintained until the *animals* are killed.

4. The handling and movement of *animals* should be minimised and when done, it should be done in accordance with the recommendations described below.

5. Animal *restraint* should be sufficient to facilitate effective *killing*, and in accordance with *animal welfare* and operator safety requirements; when *restraint* is required, *killing* should follow with minimal delay.

6. When *animals* are killed for disease control purposes, methods used should result in immediate *death* or immediate loss of consciousness lasting until *death*; when loss of consciousness is not immediate, induction of unconsciousness should be non-aversive ~~and should not cause anxiety, pain, distress or suffering in *animals*~~. or the least aversive possible and should not cause avoidable anxiety, pain, distress or suffering in *animals*.

7. For *animal welfare* considerations, young *animals* should be killed before older *animals*; for biosecurity considerations, infected *animals* should be killed first, followed by in-contact *animals*, and then the remaining *animals*.

8. There should be continuous monitoring of the procedures by the *Competent Authorities* to ensure they are consistently effective with regard to *animal welfare*, operator safety and biosecurity.

9. When the operational procedures are concluded, there should be a written report describing the practices adopted and their effect on *animal welfare*, operator safety and biosecurity.

10. These general principles should also apply when *animals* need to be killed for other purposes such as after natural disasters or for culling animal populations.

Article 7.6.2.

Organisational structure

Disease control contingency plans should be in place at a national level and should contain details of management structure, disease control strategies and operational procedures; *animal welfare* considerations should be addressed within these disease control contingency plans. The plans should also include a strategy to ensure that an adequate number of personnel competent in the humane *killing* of *animals* is available. Local level plans should be based on national plans and be informed by local knowledge.

Disease control contingency plans should address the *animal welfare* issues that may result from animal movement controls.

The operational activities should be led by an *official Veterinarian* who has the authority to appoint the personnel in the specialist teams and ensure that they adhere to the required *animal welfare* and biosecurity standards. When appointing the personnel, he/she should ensure that the personnel involved have the required competencies.

The *official Veterinarian* should be responsible for all activities across one or more affected premises and should be supported by coordinators for planning (including communications), operations and logistics to facilitate efficient operations.

The *official Veterinarian* should provide overall guidance to personnel and logistic support for operations on all affected premises to ensure consistency in adherence to the OIE *animal welfare* and animal health recommendations.

A specialist team, led by a team leader answerable to the *official Veterinarian*, should be deployed to work on each affected premises. The team should consist of personnel with the competencies to conduct all required operations; in some situations, personnel may be required to fulfil more than one function. Each team should contain a *veterinarian* or have access to veterinary advice at all times.

In considering the *animal welfare* issues associated with *killing animals*, the key personnel, their responsibilities and competencies required are described in Article 7.6.3.

Article 7.6.3.

Responsibilities and competencies of the specialist team

1. Team leader

   a) Responsibilities

      i) plan overall operations on affected premises;

      ii) determine and address requirements for *animal welfare*, operator safety and biosecurity;

      iii) organise, brief and manage team of people to facilitate humane *killing* of the relevant *animals* on the premises in accordance with national regulations and these recommendations;

      iv) determine logistics required;

v) monitor operations to ensure *animal welfare*, operator safety and biosecurity requirements are met;

vi) report upwards on progress and problems;

vii) provide a written report at the conclusion of the *killing*, describing the practices adopted and their effect on the *animal welfare*, operator safety and biosecurity outcomes.

b) Competencies

i) appreciation of normal animal husbandry practices;

ii) appreciation of *animal welfare* and the underpinning behavioural, anatomical and physiological processes involved in the *killing* process;

iii) skills to manage all activities on premises and deliver outcomes on time;

iv) awareness of psychological effects on farmer, team members and general public;

v) effective communication skills;

vi) appreciation of the environmental impacts caused by their operation.

2. Veterinarian

a) Responsibilities

i) determine and supervise the implementation of the most appropriate *killing* method to ensure that *animals* are killed without avoidable pain and distress;

ii) determine and implement the additional requirements for *animal welfare*, including the order of *killing*;

iii) ensure that confirmation of the *death* of the *animals* is carried out by competent persons at appropriate times after the *killing* procedure;

iv) minimise the risk of disease spread within and from the premises through the supervision of biosecurity procedures;

v) continuously monitor *animal welfare* and biosecurity procedures;

vi) in cooperation with the leader, prepare a written report at the conclusion of the *killing*, describing the practices adopted and their effect on *animal welfare*.

b) Competencies

i) ability to assess *animal welfare*, especially the effectiveness of *stunning* and *killing* and to correct any deficiencies;

ii) ability to assess biosecurity risks.

3. Animal handlers

a) Responsibilities

i) review on-site facilities in terms of their appropriateness;

ii) design and construct temporary animal handling facilities, when required;

iii) move and restrain *animals*;

iv) continuously monitor *animal welfare* and biosecurity procedures.

b) Competencies

i) animal handling in emergency situations and in close confinement is required;

ii) an appreciation of biosecurity and containment principles.

4. Animal killing personnel

a) Responsibilities

Humane *killing* of the *animals* through effective *stunning* and *killing* should be ensured.

b) Competencies

i) when required by regulations, licensed to use necessary equipment;

ii) competent to use and maintain relevant equipment;

iii) competent to use techniques for the species involved;

iv) competent to assess effective *stunning* and *killing*.

5. Carcass disposal personnel

a) Responsibilities

An efficient carcass disposal (to ensure *killing* operations are not hindered) should be ensured.

b) Competencies

The personnel should be competent to use and maintain available equipment and apply techniques for the species involved.

6. Farmer/owner/manager

a) Responsibilities

i) assist when requested.

b) Competencies

i) specific knowledge of his/her *animals* and their environment.

Article 7.6.4.

Considerations in planning the humane killing of animals

Many activities will need to be conducted on affected premises, including the humane *killing* of *animals*. The team leader should develop a plan for humanely *killing* *animals* on the premises which should include consideration of:

1. minimising handling and movement of *animals*;

2. *killing* the *animals* on the affected premises; however, there may be circumstances where the *animals* may need to be moved to another location for *killing*; when the *killing* is conducted at an *abattoir*, the recommendations in Chapter 7.5. on the *slaughter* of *animals* should be followed;

3. the species, number, age and size of *animals* to be killed, and the order of *killing* them;

4. methods of *killing* the *animals*, and their cost;

5. housing, husbandry, location of the *animals* as well as accessibility of the farm;

6. the availability and effectiveness of equipment needed for *killing* of the *animals*, as well as the time necessary to kill the required number of *animals* using such methods;

7. the facilities available on the premises that will assist with the *killing* including any additional facilities that may need to be brought on and then removed from the premises;

8. biosecurity and environmental issues;

9. the health and safety of personnel conducting the *killing*;

10. any legal issues that may be involved, for example where restricted veterinary drugs or poisons may be used, or where the process may impact on the environment;

11. the presence of other nearby premises holding *animals*;

12. possibilities for removal, disposal and destruction of carcasses.

The plan should minimise the negative *welfare* impacts of the *killing* by taking into account the different phases of the procedures to be applied for *killing* (choice of the *killing* sites, *killing* methods, etc.) and the measures restricting the movements of the *animals*.

Competences and skills of the personnel handling and *killing* *animals*.

In designing a *killing* plan, it is essential that the method chosen be consistently reliable to ensure that all *animals* are humanely and quickly killed.

Article 7.6.5.

Table summarising killing methods described in Articles 7.6.6.-7.6.18.

The methods are described in the order of mechanical, electrical and gaseous, not in an order of desirability from an *animal welfare* viewpoint.

Species	Age range	Procedure	Restraint necessary	Animal welfare concerns with inappropriate application	Article reference
Cattle	all	free bullet	no	non-lethal wounding	7.6.6.
	all except neonates	penetrating captive bolt - followed by pithing or bleeding	yes	ineffective stunning	7.6.7.
	adults only	non-penetrating captive bolt, followed by bleeding	yes	ineffective stunning, regaining of consciousness before killing	7.6.8.
	calves only	electrical, two-stage application	yes	pain associated with cardiac arrest after ineffective stunning	7.6.10.
	calves only	electrical, single application (method 1)	yes	ineffective stunning	7.6.11.
	all	injection with barbiturates and other drugs	yes	non-lethal dose, pain associated with injection site	7.6.15.
Sheep and goats	all	free bullet	no	non-lethal wounding	7.6.6.
	all except neonates	penetrating captive bolt, followed by pithing or bleeding	yes	ineffective stunning, regaining of consciousness before death	7.6.7.
	all except neonates	non-penetrating captive bolt, followed by bleeding	yes	ineffective stunning, regaining of consciousness before death	7.6.8.
	neonates	non-penetrating captive bolt	yes	non-lethal wounding	7.6.8.
	all	electrical, two-stage application	yes	pain associated with cardiac arrest after ineffective stunning	7.6.10.
	all	electrical, single application (method 1)	yes	ineffective stunning	7.6.11.
	neonates only	CO_2 / air mixture	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness, aversiveness of induction	7.6.12.
	neonates only	nitrogen and/or inert gas mixed with CO_2	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness, aversiveness of induction	7.6.13.
	neonates only	nitrogen and/or inert gases	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness	7.6.14.
	all	injection of barbiturates and other drugs	yes	non-lethal dose, pain associated with injection site	7.6.15.
Pigs	all, except neonates	free bullet	no	non-lethal wounding	7.6.6.
	all except neonates	penetrating captive bolt, followed by pithing or bleeding	yes	ineffective stunning, regaining of consciousness before death	7.6.7.
	neonates only	non-penetrating captive bolt	yes	non-lethal wounding	7.6.8.
	all[1]	electrical, two-stage application	yes	pain associated with cardiac arrest after ineffective stunning	7.6.10.
	all	electrical, single application (method 1)	yes	ineffective stunning	7.6.11.
	neonates only	CO_2 / air mixture	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness, aversiveness of induction	7.6.12.
	neonates only	nitrogen and/or inert gas mixed with CO_2	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness, aversiveness of induction	7.6.13.
	neonates only	nitrogen and/or inert gases	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness	7.6.14.
	all	injection with barbiturates and other	yes	non-lethal dose, pain associated with injection site	7.6.15.
Poultry	adults only	non penetrating captive bolt	yes	ineffective stunning	7.6.8.
	day-olds and eggs only	maceration	no	non-lethal wounding, non- immediacy	7.6.9.
	adults only	electrical, single application (method 2)	yes	ineffective stunning	7.6.11.
	adults only	electrical, single application, followed by killing (method 3)	yes	ineffective stunning; regaining of consciousness before death	7.6.11.

Species	Age range	Procedure	Restraint necessary	Animal welfare concerns with inappropriate application	Article reference
Poultry (contd)	all	CO_2 / air mixture Method 1 Method 2	 yes no	slow induction of unconsciousness, aversiveness of induction	7.6.12.
	all	nitrogen and/or inert gas mixed with CO_2	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness, aversiveness of induction	7.6.13.
	all	nitrogen and/or inert gases	yes	slow induction of unconsciousness	7.6.14.
	all	injection of barbiturates and other drugs	yes	non-lethal dose, pain associated with injection site	7.6.15.
	adults only	addition of anaesthetics to feed or water, followed by an appropriate killing method	no	ineffective or slow induction of unconsciousness	7.6.16.

Article 7.6.6.

Free bullet

1. Introduction

   a) A free bullet is a projectile fired from a shotgun, rifle, handgun or purpose-made humane killer.

   b) The most commonly used firearms for close range use are:

      i) humane killers (specially manufactured/adapted single-shot weapons);

      ii) shotguns (12, 16, 20, 28 bore and .410);

      iii) rifles (.22 rimfire);

      iv) handguns (various calibres from .32 to .45).

   c) The most commonly used firearms for long range use are rifles (.22, .243, .270 and .308).

   d) A free bullet used from long range should be aimed to penetrate the skull or soft tissue at the top of the neck of the *animals* (high neck shot) and to cause irreversible concussion and *death* and should only be used by properly trained and competent marksmen.

2. Requirements for effective use

   a) The marksman should take account of human safety in the area in which he/she is operating. Appropriate vision and hearing protective devices should be worn by all personnel involved.

   b) The marksman should ensure that the *animal* is not moving and in the correct position to enable accurate targeting and the range should be as short as possible (5 –50 cm for a shotgun) but the barrel should not be in contact with the head of the *animals*.

   c) The correct cartridge, calibre and type of bullet for the different species age and size should be used. Ideally, the ammunition should expand upon impact and dissipate its energy within the cranium.

   d) Shot *animals* should be checked to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

3. Advantages

   a) Used properly, a free bullet provides a quick and effective method for *killing*.

   b) It requires minimal or no *restraint* and can be use to kill from a distance by properly trained and competent marksmen.

   c) It is suitable for *killing* agitated *animals* in open spaces.

4. Disadvantages

   a) The method is potentially dangerous to humans and other *animals* in the area.

   b) It has the potential for non-lethal wounding.

   c) Destruction of brain tissue may preclude diagnosis of some *diseases*.

   d) Leakage of bodily fluids may present a biosecurity risk.

   e) Legal requirements may preclude or restrict use.

   f) There is a limited availability of competent personnel.

5. Conclusion

   The method is suitable for cattle, sheep, goats and pigs, including large *animals* in open spaces.

   Figure 1. The optimum shooting position for cattle is at the intersection of two imaginary lines drawn from the rear of the eyes to the opposite horn buds.

Figure source: Humane Slaughter Association (2005) Guidance Notes No. 3: Humane Killing of Livestock Using Firearms. Published by the Humane Slaughter Association, The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, United Kingdom (www.hsa.org.uk).

Figure 2. The optimum position for hornless sheep and goats is on the midline.



Figure source: Humane Slaughter Association (2005) Guidance Notes No. 3: Humane Killing of Livestock Using Firearms. Published by the Humane Slaughter Association, The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, United Kingdom (www.hsa.org.uk).

Figure 3. The optimum shooting position for heavily horned sheep and horned goats is behind the poll aiming towards the angle of the jaw.



Figure Source: Humane Slaughter Association (2005) Guidance Notes No. 3: Humane Killing of Livestock Using Firearms. Published by the Humane Slaughter Association, The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, United Kingdom (www.hsa.org.uk).

Figure 4. The optimum shooting position for pigs is just above eye level, with the shot directed down the line of the spinal cord.

Figure source: Humane Slaughter Association (2005) Guidance Notes No. 3: Humane Killing of Livestock Using Firearms. Published by the Humane Slaughter Association, The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, United Kingdom (www.hsa.org.uk).

Article 7.6.7.

Penetrating captive bolt

1. Introduction

A penetrating captive bolt is fired from a gun powered by either compressed air or a blank cartridge. There is no free projectile.

The captive bolt should be aimed on the skull in a position to penetrate the cortex and mid-brain of the *animal*. The impact of the bolt on the skull produces unconsciousness. Physical damage to the brain caused by penetration of the bolt may result in *death*; however, pithing or bleeding should be performed as soon as possible after the shot to ensure the *death* of the *animal*. Shooting *poultry* species with the captive bolts results in immediate destruction of the skull and brain, causing *death*. For a detailed description on the use this method see Chapter 7.5. of the *Terrestrial Code*.

2. Requirements for effective use

a) For cartridge powered and compressed air guns, the bolt velocity and the length of the bolt should be appropriate to the species and type of *animal*, in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer.

b) Captive bolt guns should be frequently cleaned and maintained in good working condition.

c) More than one gun may be necessary to avoid overheating, and a back-up gun should be available in the event of an ineffective shot.

d) *Animals* should be restrained; at a minimum, they should be penned for cartridge powered guns and in a race for compressed air guns.

e) The operator should ensure that the head of the *animal* is accessible.

f) The operator should fire the captive bolt at right angles to the skull in the optimal position (see figures 1, 3 & 4. The optimum shooting position for hornless sheep is on the highest point of the head, on the midline and aim towards the angle of the jaw).

g) To ensure the *death* of the *animal*, pithing or bleeding should be performed as soon as possible after *stunning*.

h) *Animals* should be monitored continuously after *stunning* until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

3. Advantages

a) Mobility of cartridge powered equipment reduces the need to move *animals*.

b) The method induces an immediate onset of a sustained period of unconsciousness.

4. Disadvantages

a) Poor gun maintenance and misfiring, and inaccurate gun positioning and orientation may result in poor *animal welfare*.

b) Post stun convulsions may make pithing difficult and hazardous.

c) The method is difficult to apply in agitated *animals*.

d) Repeated use of a cartridge powered gun may result in over-heating.

e) Leakage of bodily fluids may present a biosecurity risk.

f) Destruction of brain tissue may preclude diagnosis of some *diseases*.

5. Conclusions

The method is suitable for *poultry*, cattle, sheep, goats and pigs (except neonates), when followed by pithing or bleeding.

Article 7.6.8.

Non-penetrating captive bolt

1. Introduction

A non-penetrating captive bolt is fired from a gun powered by either compressed air or a blank cartridge. There is no free projectile.

The gun should be placed on the front of the skull to deliver a percussive blow which produces unconsciousness in cattle (adults only), sheep, goats and pigs, and *death* in *poultry* and neonate sheep, goats and pigs. Bleeding should be performed as soon as possible after the blow to ensure the *death* of the *animal*.

2. Requirements for effective use

a) For cartridge powered and compressed air guns, the bolt velocity should be appropriate to the species and type of *animal*, in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer.

b) Captive bolt guns should be frequently cleaned and maintained in good working condition.

c) More than one gun may be necessary to avoid overheating, and a back-up gun should be available in the event of an ineffective shot.

d) *Animals* should be restrained; at a minimum mammals should be penned for cartridge powered guns and in a race for compressed air guns; birds should be restrained in cones, shackles, crushes or by hand.

e) The operator should ensure that the head of the *animal* is accessible.

f) The operator should fire the captive bolt at right angles to the skull in the optimal position (figures 1-4).

g) To ensure *death* in non-neonate mammals, bleeding should be performed as soon as possible after *stunning*.

h) *Animals* should be monitored continuously after *stunning* until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

3. Advantages

a) The method induces an immediate onset of unconsciousness, and *death* in birds and neonates.

b) Mobility of equipment reduces the need to move *animals*.

4. Disadvantages

a) As consciousness can be regained quickly in non-neonate mammals, they should be bled as soon as possible after *stunning*.

b) Laying hens in cages have to be removed from their cages and most birds have to be restrained.

c) Poor gun maintenance and misfiring, and inaccurate gun positioning and orientation may result in poor *animal welfare*.

d) Post stun convulsions may make bleeding difficult and hazardous.

e) Difficult to apply in agitated *animals*; such *animals* may be sedated in advance of the *killing* procedure.

f) Repeated use of a cartridge powered gun may result in over-heating.

g) Bleeding may present a biosecurity risk.

5. Conclusions

The method is suitable for *killing poultry*, and neonate sheep, goats and pigs up to a maximum weight of 10 kg.

Article 7.6.9.

Maceration

1. Introduction

Maceration, utilising a mechanical apparatus with rotating blades or projections, causes immediate fragmentation and *death* in ~~day old~~ ~~newly hatched~~ day-old *poultry* and embryonated eggs.

2. Requirements

a) Maceration requires specialised equipment which should be kept in excellent working order.

b) The rate of introducing the birds should not allow the equipment to jam, birds to rebound from the blades or the birds to suffocate before they are macerated.

3. Advantages

a) Procedure results in immediate *death*.

b) Large numbers can be killed quickly.

4. Disadvantages

a) Specialised equipment is required.

b) Macerated tissues may present biosecurity or human health risks.

c) The cleaning of the equipment can be a source of contamination.

5. Conclusion

The method is suitable for *killing* day-old *poultry* and embryonated eggs.

Article 7.6.10.

Electrical – two-stage application

1. Introduction

A two-stage application of electric current comprises firstly an application of current to the head by scissor-type tongs, immediately followed by an application of the tongs across the chest in a position that spans the heart.

The application of sufficient electric current to the head will induce 'tonic/clonic' epilepsy and unconsciousness. Once the *animal* is unconscious, the second stage will induce ventricular fibrillation (cardiac arrest) resulting in *death*. The second stage (the application of low frequency current across the chest) should only be applied to unconscious *animals* to prevent unacceptable levels of pain.

2. Requirements for effective use

a) The stunner control device should generate a low frequency (AC sine wave 50 Hz) current with a minimum voltage and current as set out in the following table:

Animal	Minimum voltage (V)	Minimum current (A)
Cattle	220	1.5
Sheep	220	1.0
Pigs over 6 weeks of age	220	1.3
Pigs less than 6 weeks of age	125	0.5

b) Appropriate protective clothing (including rubber gloves and boots) should be worn.

c) *Animals* should be restrained, at a minimum free-standing in a pen, close to an electrical supply.

d) Two team members are required, the first to apply the electrodes and the second to manipulate the position of the *animal* to allow the second application to be made.

e) A *stunning* current should be applied via scissor-type *stunning* tongs in a position that spans the brain for a minimum of 3 seconds; immediately following the application to the head, the electrodes should be transferred to a position that spans the heart and the electrodes applied for a minimum of 3 seconds.

f) Electrodes should be cleaned regularly and after use, to enable optimum electrical contact to be maintained.

g) *Animals* should be monitored continuously after *stunning* until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

h) Electrodes should be applied firmly for the intended duration of time and pressure not released until the stun is complete.

3. Advantages

a) The application of the second stage minimises post-stun convulsions and therefore the method is particularly effective with pigs.

b) Non-invasive technique minimises biosecurity risk.

4. Disadvantages

a) The method requires a reliable supply of electricity.

b) The electrodes ~~must~~ should be applied and maintained in the correct positions to produce an effective stun and kill.

c) Most stunner control devices utilise low voltage impedance sensing as an electronic switch prior to the application of high voltages; in unshorn sheep, contact impedance may be too high to switch on the required high voltage (especially during stage two).

d) The procedure may be physically demanding, leading to operator fatigue and poor electrode placement.

5. Conclusion

The method is suitable for calves, sheep and goats, and especially for pigs (over one week of age).

Article 7.6.11.

Electrical – single application

1. Method 1

Method 1 comprises the single application of sufficient electrical current to the head and back, to simultaneously stun the *animal* and fibrillate the heart. Provided sufficient current is applied in a position that spans both the brain and heart, the *animal* will not recover consciousness.

a) Requirements for effective use

i) The stunner control device should generate a low frequency (30–60 Hz) current with a minimum voltage of 250 volts true RMS under load.

ii) Appropriate protective clothing (including rubber gloves and boots) should be worn.

iii) *Animals* should be individually and mechanically restrained close to an electrical supply as the maintenance of physical contact between the *stunning* electrodes and the *animal* is necessary for effective use.

iv) The rear electrode should be applied to the back, above or behind the heart, and then the front electrode in a position that is forward of the eyes, with current applied for a minimum of 3 seconds.

v) Electrodes should be cleaned regularly between *animals* and after use, to enable optimum electrical contact to be maintained.

vi) Water or saline may be necessary to improve electrical contact with sheep.

vii) An effective stun and kill should be verified by the absence of brain stem reflexes.

b) Advantages

i) Method 1 stuns and kills simultaneously.

ii) It minimises post-stun convulsions and therefore is particularly effective with pigs.

iii) A single team member only is required for the application.

iv) Non-invasive technique minimises biosecurity risk.

c) Disadvantages

i) Method 1 requires individual mechanical animal *restraint*.

ii) The electrodes ~~must~~ should be applied and maintained in the correct positions to produce an effective stun and kill.

iii) Method 1 requires a reliable supply of electricity.

d) Conclusion

Method 1 is suitable for calves, sheep, goats, and pigs (over one week of age).

2. Method 2

Method 2 stuns and kills by drawing inverted and shackled *poultry* through an electrified waterbath stunner. Electrical contact is made between the 'live' water and earthed shackle and, when sufficient current is applied, *poultry* will be simultaneously stunned and killed.

a) Requirements for effective use

i) A mobile waterbath stunner and a short loop of processing line are required.

ii) A low frequency (50-60 Hz) current applied for a minimum of 3 seconds is necessary to stun and kill the birds.

iii) *Poultry* need to be manually removed from their cage, house or yard, inverted and shackled onto a line which conveys them through a waterbath stunner with their heads fully immersed.

iv) The required minimum currents to stun and kill dry birds are:

- Quails - 100 mA/bird
- Chickens – 160 mA/bird
- Ducks & geese – 200 mA/bird
- Turkeys – 250 mA/bird.

A higher current is required for wet birds.

v) An effective stun and kill should be verified by the absence of brain stem reflexes.

b) Advantages

i) Method 2 stuns and kills simultaneously.

ii) It is capable of processing large numbers of birds reliably and effectively.

iii) This non-invasive technique minimises biosecurity risk.

c) Disadvantages

i) Method 2 requires a reliable supply of electricity.

ii) Handling, inversion and shackling of birds are required.

d) Conclusion

Method 2 is suitable for large numbers of *poultry*.

3. Method 3

Method 3 comprises the single application of sufficient electrical current to the head of *poultry* in a position that spans the brain, causing unconsciousness; this is followed by a *killing* method (see Article 7.6.17.).

a) Requirements for effective use

i) The stunner control device should generate sufficient current (more than 600 mA/duck and more than 300 mA/bird) to stun.

ii) Appropriate protective clothing (including rubber gloves and boots) should be worn.

iii) Birds should be restrained, at a minimum manually, close to an electrical supply.

iv) Electrodes should be cleaned regularly and after use, to enable optimum electrical contact to be maintained.

v) Birds should be monitored continuously after *stunning* until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

b) Advantages

Non-invasive technique (when combined with cervical dislocation) minimises biosecurity risk.

c) Disadvantages

i) Method 3 requires a reliable supply of electricity and is not suitable for large-scale operations.

ii) The electrodes ~~must~~ should be applied and maintained in the correct position to produce an effective stun.

iii) Birds ~~must~~ should be individually restrained.

iv) It ~~must~~ should be followed by a *killing* method.

d) Conclusion

Method 3 is suitable for small numbers of *poultry*.

Article 7.6.12.

CO_2 / air mixture ~~(under study)~~

1. Introduction

Controlled atmosphere *killing* is performed by exposing *animals* to a predetermined gas mixture, either by placing them in a gas-filled container or apparatus (Method 1) or by ~~the gas being introduced into a *poultry* house (Method 2) or by~~ placing transport modules or crates containing birds in a gas tight container and introducing a gas mixture (Method ~~3~~2) or by the gas being introduced into a *poultry* house (Method 3). Method 2 should be used whenever possible, as it eliminates *welfare* issues resulting from the need to manually remove live birds. Although Method ~~3~~2 requires handling and crating of the birds, it benefits ~~overall~~ bird *welfare* overall (in comparison with Method 1) as it ~~eliminate chances~~ reduces the risk of ~~causing~~ *death* by smothering or suffocation ~~when compared with Method 1~~.

Inhalation of carbon dioxide (CO_2) induces respiratory and metabolic acidosis and hence reduces the pH of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and neurones thereby causing unconsciousness and, after prolonged exposure, *death*. Exposure to carbon dioxide does not induce immediate loss of consciousness, therefore the aversive~~ness~~ nature of ~~various~~ gas mixtures containing high concentrations of CO_2 and the respiratory distress occurring during the induction phase are important considerations for *animal welfare* ~~consideration~~.

2. Method 1

The *animals* are placed in a gas-filled *container* or apparatus.

a) Requirements for effective use in a *container* or apparatus

i) *Containers* or apparatus should allow the required gas concentration to be maintained and accurately measured.

ii) When *animals* are exposed to the gas individually or in small groups in a container or apparatus, the equipment used should be designed, constructed, and maintained in such a way as to avoid injury to the *animals* and allow them to be observed.

iii) *Animals* can also be introduced to low concentrations (as low concentrations are not aversive) and the concentration could be increased afterwards and the *animals* then held in the higher concentration until *death* is confirmed.

iv) Team members should ensure that there is sufficient time allowed for each batch of *animals* to die before subsequent ones are introduced into the *container* or apparatus.

v) *Containers* or apparatus should not be overcrowded and measures are needed to avoid *animals* suffocating by climbing on top of each other.

b) Advantages

i) CO_2 is readily available.

ii) Application methods are simple.

iii) The volume of gas required can be readily calculated.

iv) As the units are operated outdoors, the gas is dispersed quickly at the end of each cycle by opening the door, improving operator's health and safety.

v) The system uses skilled catching teams and equipment in daily use by the industry.

vi) Metal containers can be readily cleansed and disinfected.

c) Disadvantages

i) The need for properly designed *container* or apparatus.

ii) The aversive nature of high CO_2 concentrations.

iii) No immediate loss of consciousness.

iv) The risk of suffocation due to overcrowding.

v) Difficulty in verifying *death* while the *animals* are in the container or apparatus.

d) Conclusion

Method 1 is suitable for use in *poultry*, and neonatal sheep, goats and pigs. ~~But CO_2 is likely to cause a period of distress in the *animals* before they lose consciousness.~~

3. Method 2

In this method, the crates or modules ~~full of~~ holding the birds are loaded into a chamber ~~and~~ into which gas is introduced ~~into a chamber~~. As ~~shown~~ illustrated in the example below, ~~each~~ a containerised gassing unit (CGU) typically ~~consists of~~ comprises a gas-tight chamber designed to accommodate *poultry* transport crates or a single module. The chamber is fitted with gas lines and diffusers, with silencers ~~which in turn~~ that are connected via a system of manifolds and gas regulators to gas cylinders. There is a hole at the top to permit displaced air to escape ~~during filling~~ when the container is filling with gas.

~~Procedures involved in~~ The procedures for the operation of CGU include~~s~~ (a) position the container on ~~a~~ level, solid, open ground; (b) connect the gas cylinder to the container (c) load ~~a module full of~~ birds into the container (d) shut and secure the door, (e) deliver the gas until a concentration of 40~~45~~% by volume of carbon dioxide ~~was~~ has been achieved at the top of the container, (f) allow time for the birds to become unconscious and die (g) open the door and allow gas to be dispersed in the air (h) remove the module (i) check each drawer for ~~surviving birds~~ survivors (j) humanely kill any survivors~~, if any~~; and (k) dispose of carcasses appropriately.

Figure source: Department of Clinical Veterinary Science, University of Bristol, United Kingdom.

Figure source: Department of Clinical Veterinary Science, University of Bristol, United Kingdom.

Figure source: Department of Clinical Veterinary Science, University of Bristol, Langford, Bristol, United Kingdom.

a) Requirements for effective use of containerised gassing units (CGU)

i) The birds should be caught gently and placed in crates or modules of appropriate size and at appropriate stocking densities to allow all birds to sit down.

ii) The crates or module full of birds should be placed inside the container and the door shut only when the operator is ready to administer the gas.

iii) Ensure the container door is locked and administer the gas until a minimum concentration of 40% carbon dioxide is achieved ~~on~~ at the top of the crates.

iv) An appropriate gas meter should be used to ~~monitor and maintain~~ ensure the ~~level~~ appropriate concentration of carbon dioxide ~~continuously during~~ is achieved and maintained until it can be confirmed that the ~~operation~~ birds have been killed.

v) Sufficient exposure time should be allowed for birds to die before the door is opened. In the absence of a viewing window that allows direct observation of birds during killing, ~~C~~cessation of vocalisation and convulsive wing flapping sounds, which can be listened to by standing ~~couple of metres away from~~ near the container, can be used to determine that the ~~presence of unconsciousness~~ birds are unconscious and that *death* ~~will be~~ is imminent. Remove the crates or modules ~~out of~~ from the container and leave them in ~~atmospheric~~ the open air.

vi) Each crate or module should be examined and birds checked to ensure they are dead. Dilated pupils and absence of breathing ~~movement under this situation~~ indicate *death.*

vii) Any survivors should be humanely killed.

viii) Ducks and geese are resilient to the effects of carbon dioxide and therefore require a minimum of 80% CO_2 and a longer period of exposure ~~time~~ to die.

b) Advantages

i) The gas is introduced quickly and quietly resulting in less turbulence and disturbance to the birds.

ii) Gradual ~~rising of CO_2~~ increase in the concentration of CO_2 minimises the aversive~~ness~~ nature of ~~the introduction of~~ this method for inducing unconsciousness ~~with this gas~~.

iii) The use of transport crates or modules to move birds minimises handling. Birds should be handled by trained, experienced catching teams at the time of depopulation of the *poultry* house.

iv) The modules are loaded mechanically into the CGU and a lethal mixture of gas is rapidly introduced into the chamber immediately after sealing.

v) CO_2 is readily available.

vi) Birds are exposed to gas more uniformly and they do not smother each other when compared with Method 1.

vii) The volume of gas required can be readily calculated.

viii) As the units are operated outdoors, the gas is dispersed quickly at the end of each cycle by opening the door, improving operator's health and safety.

ix) The system uses skilled catching teams and equipment in daily use by the industry.

x) Metal containers can be readily cleansed and disinfected.

c) Disadvantages

i) Requires trained operators, trained catchers, transport modules and fork lift ~~but such~~. However, this equipment ~~is usually available~~ and suitable areas with hard surfaces are usually available.

ii) The main limiting factors are speed of catching ~~and availability of gas~~ birds.

iii) ~~It is difficult to visually conform~~ In the absence of a viewing window, visual confirmation of *death* while the birds are still in the container is difficult. H~~h~~owever, cessation of vocalisation~~s~~ and convulsive wing flapping sounds can be used to determine onset of *death*~~)~~.

d) Conclusion

i) Method ~~3~~2 is suitable for use in ~~*poultry in*~~ a wide range of *poultry* systems ~~which have~~, providing there is access to vehicles to carry the containers and ~~handling~~ equipment.

ii) ~~*Animals*~~ Birds should be introduced into the container or apparatus, which is then sealed and filled as quickly as possible ~~thereafter~~ with the required gas concentrations, i.e. more than 40% CO_2 ~~and~~ . Birds are held in this atmosphere until *death* is confirmed.

iii) Method ~~3~~2 is suitable for use in *poultry*, and neonatal sheep, goats and pigs. ~~But~~ However, CO2 is likely to cause a period of distress in the *animals* before they lose consciousness.

~~2~~4. Method ~~2~~ 3

The gas is introduced into a *poultry* house.

a) Requirements for effective use in a *poultry* house

i) Prior to introduction of the CO_2, the *poultry* house should be appropriately sealed to allow control over the gas concentration. The interval between sealing and gas administration should be kept to the minimum so as to avoid overheating.

Forced ventilation systems, where fitted~~, will have to~~ should only be switched off immediately prior to gas administration.

The m~~M~~ains water supply to the *poultry* house may have to be turned off and water drained to avoid freezing and bursting of water pipes.

Feeders and water troughs ~~will have to~~ should be lifted to avoid obstruction of the gas entry and prevent injury to birds.

ii) Gas delivery pipes or lancets should be positioned appropriately such that birds are not hit directly by ~~the~~ very cold gas delivered at high pressures. It may be necessary ~~that~~ to exclude birds ~~are excluded at~~ from the area in front of the delivery pipes, for a distance of about 20 meters, by partitioning the house with nets, wire mesh or similarly perforated materials.

iii) The house should be gradually filled with CO_2 so that all birds are exposed to a concentration of >40% until they are dead; a vaporiser may be required to prevent freezing.

iv) Devices should be used to accurately measure the gas concentration at the maximum height accommodation of birds.

b) Advantages

i) Applying gas to birds *in situ* eliminates the need to manually remove live birds.

ii) CO_2 is readily available.

iii) Gradual raising of CO_2 concentration minimises the aversiveness of the induction of unconsciousness.

c) Disadvantages

i) It is difficult to determine volume of gas required to achieve adequate concentrations of CO_2 in some *poultry* houses.

ii) It is difficult to verify *death* while the birds are in the *poultry* house.

The extremely low temperature of liquid CO_2 entering the house and formation of solid CO_2 (dry ice) ~~are also~~ may cause concern for bird *welfare* ~~concerns~~.

d) Conclusion

Method 2 is suitable for use in *poultry* in closed-environment sheds. This method could be developed for killing pigs. ~~But~~ However, CO_2 is likely to cause a period of distress in the birds *animals* before they lose consciousness.

Article 7.6.13.

Nitrogen and/or inert gas mixed with CO_2

1. Introduction

CO_2 may be mixed in various proportions with nitrogen or an inert gas (e.g. argon), and the inhalation of such mixtures leads to hypercapnic-hypoxia and *death* when the oxygen concentration by volume is <2%. Various mixtures of CO_2 and nitrogen or an inert gas can be administered to kill birds using Methods 1 and 3 described under Article 7.6.12. Whole house gassing with mixtures of CO_2 and nitrogen, or an inert gas, has not been tested owing to the ~~complexity of~~ complex issues presented by mixing gases in large quantities. Such mixtures however do not induce immediate loss of consciousness, therefore the aversiveness of various gas mixtures containing high concentrations of CO_2 and the respiratory distress occurring during the induction phase, are important *animal welfare* considerations.

Pigs and *poultry* appear not to find low concentrations of CO_2 strongly aversive, and a mixture of nitrogen or argon with <30% CO_2 by volume and <2% O_2 by volume can be used for *killing* *poultry*, neonatal sheep, goats and pigs.

2. Method 1

The *animals* are placed in a gas-filled *container* or apparatus

a) Requirements for effective use

i) *Containers* or apparatus should allow the required gas concentrations to be maintained, and the O_2 and CO_2 concentrations accurately measured during the *killing* procedure.

ii) When *animals* are exposed to the gases individually or in small groups in a *container* or apparatus, the equipment used should be designed, constructed, and maintained in such a way as to avoid injury to the *animals* and allow them to be observed.

iii) *Animals* should be introduced into the *container* or apparatus after it has been filled with the required gas concentrations (with <2% O_2), and held in this atmosphere until *death* is confirmed.

iv) Team members should ensure that there is sufficient time allowed for each batch of *animals* to die before subsequent ones are introduced into the *container* or apparatus.

v) *Containers* or apparatus should not be overcrowded and measures are needed to avoid *animals* suffocating by climbing on top of each other.

~~5.~~ b) Advantages

Low concentrations of CO_2 cause little aversiveness and, in combination with nitrogen or an inert gas, produces a fast induction of unconsciousness.

~~4.~~ c) Disadvantages

a) A properly designed *container* or apparatus is needed.

b) It is difficult to verify *death* while the *animals* are in the *container* or apparatus.

c) There is no immediate loss of consciousness.

d) Exposure times required to kill are considerable.

~~5~~. d) Conclusion

The method is suitable for *poultry*, and for neonatal sheep, goats and pigs.

3. Method 2

In this method, the crates or modules ~~full of~~ holding the birds are loaded into a container and gas is introduced into the container (refer to Figures under Article 7.6.12.). As shown in the example below, each containerised gassing unit (CGU) typically ~~consists of~~ comprises a gas-tight chamber designed to accommodate *poultry* transport crates or a module. The container or chamber is fitted with gas lines and diffusers, with silencers, which in turn are connected via a system of manifolds and gas regulators to gas cylinders. There is a hole at the top of the unit to permit displaced air to escape ~~during~~ when filling the container with gas.

Procedures involved in the operation of CGU includes (a) position the container on a level, solid, open ground; (b) connect gas cylinder to the container (c) load a module ~~full~~ of birds into the container, (d) shut and secure the door, (e) deliver the gas ~~until <~~ to the point where less than 2% by volume of oxygen ~~was achieved~~ is found at the top of the container, (f) allow time for the birds to become unconscious and die, (g) open the door and allow the gas to be dispersed in air, (h) remove the module, (i) check each drawer for survivors; (j) humanely kill survivors, if any; and (k) dispose carcasses appropriately.

a) Requirements for effective use of containerised gassing units (CGU)

i) The birds should be caught gently and placed in crates or modules of appropriate size and at appropriate stocking densities to allow all birds to sit down.

ii) The crates or module ~~full~~ of birds should be placed inside the container and the door shut only when the operator is ready to administer the gas mixture.

iii) Ensure the container door is locked and administer the gas mixture until <2% residual oxygen is achieved ~~on~~ at the top of the crates.

iv) An appropriate gas meter should be used to ~~monitor and maintain the level~~ ensure a concentration of oxygen ~~continuously during the operation~~ < 2% is achieved and maintained until it can be confirmed that the birds have been killed.

v) Sufficient exposure time should be allowed for birds to die before the door is opened. In the absence of a viewing window, which allows direct observation of birds during killing, c~~C~~essation of vocalisation and wing flapping sounds~~, which~~ can be ~~listened to~~ observed by standing ~~couple of meters away from~~ close to the container ~~can be~~ and used to determine the onset of *death* in birds. Remove the crates or modules ~~out of~~ from the container and leave them in ~~atmospheric~~ the open air.

vi) Each crate or module should be examined and birds checked to ensure they are dead. Dilated pupils and absence of breathing movements ~~under this situation~~ indicate *death.*

vii) Any survivors should be humanely killed.

viii) Ducks and geese do not appear to be resilient to the effects of a mixture of 20% carbon dioxide and 80% nitrogen or argon.

b) Advantages

i) The gas mixture is introduced quickly and quietly resulting in less turbulence and disturbance to the birds.

ii) The use of transport crates or modules to move birds minimises handling. Birds should be handled by trained, experienced catching teams at the time of depopulation of the *poultry* house.

iii) The modules are loaded mechanically into the CGU and a lethal mixture of gas is rapidly introduced into the chamber immediately after sealing.

iv) Mixtures containing up to 20% carbon dioxide in argon are readily available as welding gas cylinders.

v) Birds are exposed to gas in a more uniform~~ity~~ manner and they do not smother each other when compared with Method 1.

vi) Two CGU can be operated in tandem and throughputs of up to 4,000 chickens per hour are possible.

vii) The volume of gas required can be readily calculated.

viii) As the units are operated outdoors the gas is dispersed quickly at the end of each cycle by opening the door, improving operators' health and safety.

ix) The system uses skilled catching teams and equipment in daily use by the industry.

x) Metal containers can be readily cleansed and disinfected.

c) Disadvantages

i) Requires trained operators, trained catchers, transport modules and a fork lift ~~but~~. However, such equipment ~~is usually available~~ and suitable ~~area~~ outdoor areas with a hard surface are usually available.

ii) The main limiting factors are speed of catching birds and availability of gas mixtures.

iii) ~~It is difficult to visually confirm *death*~~ In the absence of a viewing window, visual confirmation of *death* while the birds are still in the container ~~(however~~ is difficult. However, cessation of ~~localisations~~ vocalisation and convulsive wing flapping can be used to determine the onset of *death*~~)~~.

iv) CGU could be used to kill *poultry* on small to medium farms, e.g. up to 25 thousand birds on a single farm.

d) Conclusion

i) Method 2 is suitable for use in *poultry* and ~~for~~ in neonatal sheep, goats and pigs.

ii) Method 2 is suitable for use in *poultry* in a wide range of *poultry* systems ~~which~~ providing that these have access to *vehicles* to carry containers and ~~handling~~ equipment.

iii) *Animals* should be introduced into the container or apparatus, which is then sealed and filled as quickly as possible ~~thereafter~~ with the gas ~~mixtures and a~~ mixture. A residual oxygen concentration of less than 2% should be achieved and maintained and birds should be held in this atmosphere until *death* is confirmed.

Article 7.6.14.

Nitrogen and/or inert gases

1. Introduction

This method involves the introduction of *animals* into a container or apparatus containing nitrogen or an inert gas such as argon. The controlled atmosphere produced leads to unconsciousness and *death* from hypoxia.

Research has shown that hypoxia is not aversive to pigs and *poultry*, and it does not induce any signs of respiratory distress prior to loss of consciousness.

2. Requirements for effective use

a) *Containers* or apparatus should allow the required gas concentrations to be maintained, and the O_2 concentration accurately measured.

b) When *animals* are exposed to the gases individually or in small groups in a *container* or apparatus, the equipment used should be designed, constructed, and maintained in such a way as to avoid injury to the *animals* and allow them to be observed.

c) *Animals* should be introduced into the *container* or apparatus after it has been filled with the required gas concentrations (with <2% O_2), and held in this atmosphere until *death* is confirmed.

d) Team members should ensure that there is sufficient time allowed for each batch of *animals* to die before subsequent ones are introduced into the *container* or apparatus.

e) *Containers* or apparatus should not be overcrowded, and measures are needed to avoid *animals* suffocating by climbing on top of each other.

3. Advantages

Animals are unable to detect nitrogen or inert gases, and the induction of hypoxia by this method is not aversive to *animals*.

4. Disadvantages

a) A properly designed *container* or apparatus is needed.

b) It is difficult to verify *death* while the *animals* are in the *container* or apparatus.

c) There is no immediate loss of consciousness.

d) Exposure times required to kill are considerable.

5. Conclusion

The method is suitable for *poultry* and neonatal sheep, goats and pigs.

~~Whole house gassing of *poultry* with nitrogen has been tested in Denmark and Sweden. Nitrogen can also be used on containerised gassing systems however evidence is lacking. Therefore, these two methods of administration could be described as under development.~~

Article 7.6.15.

Lethal injection

1. Introduction

A lethal injection using high doses of anaesthetic and sedative drugs causes CNS depression, unconsciousness and *death*. In practice, barbiturates in combination with other drugs are commonly used.

2. Requirements for effective use

a) Doses and routes of administration that cause rapid loss of consciousness followed by *death* should be used.

b) Prior sedation may be necessary for some *animals*.

c) Intravenous administration is preferred, but intraperitoneal or intramuscular administration may be appropriate, especially if the agent is non-irritating.

d) *Animals* should be restrained to allow effective administration.

e) *Animals* should be monitored to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

3. Advantages

a) The method can be used in all species.

b) *Death* can be induced smoothly.

4. Disadvantages

   a) *Restraint* and/or sedation may be necessary prior to injection.

   b) Some combinations of drug type and route of administration may be painful, and should only be used in unconscious *animals*.

   c) Legal requirements and skill/training required may restrict use to veterinarians.

   d) Contaminated carcasses may present a risk to other wild or domestic *animals*.

5. Conclusion

   The method is suitable for *killing* small numbers of cattle, sheep, goats, pigs and *poultry*.

Article 7.6.16.

Addition of anaesthetics to feed or water

1. Introduction

   An anaesthetic agent which can be mixed with *poultry* feed or water may be used to kill *poultry* in houses. *Poultry* which are only anaesthetised need to be killed by another method such as cervical dislocation.

2. Requirements for effective use

   a) Sufficient quantities of anaesthetic need to be ingested rapidly for effective response.

   b) Intake of sufficient quantities is facilitated if the birds are fasted or water is withheld.

   c) ~~Must~~ Should be followed by *killing* (see Article 7.6.17.) if birds are anaesthetised only.

3. Advantages

   a) Handling is not required until birds are anaesthetised.

   b) There may be biosecurity advantages in the case of large numbers of diseased birds.

4. Disadvantages

   a) Non-target *animals* may accidentally access the medicated feed or water when provided in an open environment.

   b) Dose taken is unable to be regulated and variable results may be obtained.

   c) *Animals* may reject adulterated feed or water due to illness or adverse flavour.

   d) The method may need to be followed by *killing*.

   e) Care is essential in the preparation and provision of treated feed or water, and in the disposal of uneaten treated feed/water and contaminated carcasses.

5. Conclusion

The method is suitable for *killing* large numbers of *poultry* in houses~~, provided~~. However, a back-up method ~~is~~ should be available to kill birds that are ~~only anaesthetised~~ anaesthetized but not killed.

Article 7.6.17.

Cervical dislocation and decapitation

1. Cervical dislocation (manual and mechanical)

a) Introduction

Unconscious *poultry* may be killed by either manual cervical dislocation (stretching the neck) ~~or mechanical neck crushing with a pair of pliers. Both methods~~. This method results in *death* from cerebral anoxia due to cessation of breathing and/or blood supply to the brain.

When the number of birds to be killed is small, and other methods of *killing* are not available, ~~or are impracticable,~~ conscious birds ~~of less than 3 kilograms~~ of less than 3 kilograms may be killed using cervical dislocation in such a way that the blood vessels of the neck are severed ~~and *death* is instantaneous~~ and *death* is instantaneous.

b) Requirements for effective use

i) *Killing* should be performed either by manually or mechanically stretching the neck to sever the spinal cord or by using mechanical pliers to crush the cervical vertebrae with consequent major damage to the spinal cord.

ii) Consistent results require strength and skill so team members should be rested regularly to ensure consistently reliable results.

iii) Birds should be monitored continuously until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

c) Advantages

i) It is a non-invasive *killing* method.

ii) It can be performed manually on small birds.

d) Disadvantages

i) Operator fatigue.

ii) The method is more difficult in larger birds.

iii) Requires trained personnel to perform humanely.

iv) Human health and safety concerns due to handling of the birds.

v) Additional stress to the *animals* from handling.

2. Decapitation

a) Introduction

i) Decapitation results in *death* by cerebral ischaemia using a guillotine or knife.

b) Requirements for effective use

i) The required equipment should be kept in good working order.

c) Advantages

i) The technique is effective and does not require monitoring.

d) Disadvantages

i) The working area is contaminated with body fluids, which increases biosecurity risks.

ii) Pain ~~due to loss of~~ if consciousness is not ~~being immediate~~ lost immediately.

Article 7.6.18.

Pithing and bleeding

1. Pithing

a) Introduction

Pithing is a method of *killing* *animals* which have been stunned by a penetrating captive bolt, without immediate *death*. Pithing results in the physical destruction of the brain and upper regions of the spinal cord, through the insertion of a rod or cane through the bolt hole.

b) Requirements for effective use

i) Pithing cane or rod is required.

ii) An access to the head of the *animal* and to the brain through the skull is required.

iii) *Animals* should be monitored continuously until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

c) Advantages

The technique is effective in producing immediate *death*.

d) Disadvantages

i) A delayed and/or ineffective pithing due to convulsions may occur.

ii) The working area is contaminated with body fluids, which increases biosecurity risks.

2. Bleeding

a) Introduction

Bleeding is a method of *killing* *animals* through the severance of the major blood vessels in the neck or chest that results in a rapid fall in blood pressure, leading to cerebral ischaemia and *death*.

b) Requirements for effective use

i) A sharp knife is required.

ii) An access to the neck or chest of the *animal* is required.

iii) *Animals* should be monitored continuously until *death* to ensure the absence of brain stem reflexes.

c) Advantages

The technique is effective in producing *death* after an effective *stunning* method which does not permit pithing.

d) Disadvantages

i) A delayed and/or ineffective bleeding due to convulsions may occur.

ii) The working area is contaminated with body fluids, which increases biosecurity risks.

~~Article 7.6.19. (under study)~~

~~**Foam as a killing method for poultry**~~

~~1. Introduction~~

~~In fire-fighting terms, foam is usually defined, on the basis of volume of foam produced to the volume of liquid used as low (20:1), medium (up to 200:1) and high (over 200:1) expansion foam. Medium expansion fire fighting foam made using air bubble has been used to create a blanket over live birds in order to deprive them of oxygen, and causing *death*. It was concluded that birds died due to occlusion of the upper respiratory tract with the foam. A physiological definition of suffocation is the physical separation of the upper respiratory tract from the atmospheric air, and therefore, occlusion of the upper respiratory tract with foam or water would amount to *death* due to suffocation or asphyxiation, which are unacceptable from *animal welfare* point of view.~~

~~Therefore, high expansion foam made with 100% carbon dioxide or nitrogen has been tested for killing *poultry*. Research has shown that birds do not show any aversive reactions to high expansion foam with large diameter (10 to 50 mm) made using gases. Therefore, high expansion foam with large diameter and made using industrial gases such as carbon dioxide or nitrogen has potential to be an acceptable method of killing *poultry*.~~

~~2. Requirements for effective use~~

~~a) Foam expansion ratio should be at least 300:1.~~

~~b) Diameter of foam should be at least 10mm.~~

~~c) Foam should be made using 100% carbon dioxide, nitrogen or inert gases (argon) or mixtures of these gases.~~

~~d) Surfactant used in foam making should be non-irritant, non-corrosive and the surfactant and water mixture should be buffered adequately to avoid causing discomfort to birds.~~

v) Foam should be administered into *poultry* houses as rapidly as possible in a calm manner, without causing distress or panic among the birds.

3. Advantages

a) Foam can be administered without entering *poultry* houses.

b) Administration of a gas in foam will minimise disturbances to live birds.

c) *Poultry* houses may not have to be sealed for the purpose containing gases.

d) Standard firefighting foam makers can be deployed.

4. Disadvantages

i) Availability of foam making devices, surfactants and gas in large quantities.

ii) Surface run-off and its consequences for biosecurity.

4. Conclusion

High expansion foam with large diameter and made using industrial gases such as carbon dioxide or nitrogen has potential to be an acceptable method of killing *poultry*.

Article 7.6.20.(under study)

Use of carbon monoxide for killing poultry.

1. Introduction

Inhalation of carbon monoxide leads to unconsciousness and *death*. However some argue that convulsions may occur prior to loss of consciousness. It is also lethal at low concentrations and highly explosive at concentrations above 12.5% by volume.

There are two methods of application: Method 1 involves the introduction of *poultry* into a container or apparatus containing carbon monoxide; Method 2 involves administration of carbon monoxide into *poultry* houses.

Carbon monoxide could be delivered from a pure (100%) source or as a mixture of gases generated by using a petrol engine. The concentration required to killing *poultry* has been estimated to be 1.5 to 2.0% in air.

Method 1 :

Exhaust gas from a badly tuned motorcyle engines has been used to generate carbon monoxide, however in low concentrations. An example is presented in the schematic diagram below.

Schema of Method 1



Method 2 : Administration into *poultry* houses

Carbon monoxide can be delivered using a pure source and it is being lighter than air may diffuse very rapidly throughout the house.

2. Requirements for effective use

Carbon monoxide concentration should be measured in both Methods.

a) Method 1:

i) The time to attain a lethal concentration of this gas in the container (or bag) will depend upon the generator or engine.

ii) The exhaust gas should be cooled and filtered prior to administration.

iii) *Poultry* should be introduced into the container or apparatus after it has been filled with the required gas concentration, and held in this atmosphere until *death* is confirmed.

iv) Team members should ensure that there is sufficient time allowed for each batch of *poultry* to die before subsequent ones are introduced into the container or apparatus.

v) Containers or apparatus should not be overcrowded.

vi) Operators' health and safety should not be compromised.

b) Method 2

An exclusion zone of several meters around the vicinity of the house may ensure human safety and the explosive nature of the gas require the presence of fire brigade.

~~i) Carbon monoxide should be delivered using a pure source.~~

~~3. Conclusion~~

~~Carbon monoxide is suitable for *poultry.*~~

~~Article 7.6.21.~~

~~Prohibited methods include ventilation shut down as a sole method of killing *poultry.*~~

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

— text deleted

[1] The only preclusion against the use of this method for neonates is the design of the stunning tongs that may not facilitate their application across such a small-sized head/body.