

2. In § 1260.141, paragraph (a) and the table immediately following it, are revised to read as follows:

**§ 1260.141 Membership of Board.**

(a) For Board nominations and appointments beginning with those in 1996, the United States shall be divided into 39 geographical units and one unit representing importers, and the number of Board members from each unit shall be as follows:

**CATTLE AND CALVES<sup>1</sup>**

State/Unit	(1,000 head)	Directors
1. Alabama	1,677	2
2. Arizona ..	863	1
3. Arkansas	1,837	2
4. California	4,617	5
5. Colorado	2,967	3
6. Florida ...	1,977	2
7. Georgia .	1,477	1
8. Idaho ....	1,720	2
9. Illinois ...	1,813	2
10. Indiana	1,163	1
11. Iowa ....	4,183	4
12. Kansas	6,067	6
13. Kentucky .....	2,617	3
14. Louisiana .....	943	1
15. Michigan .....	1,210	1
16. Minnesota ...	2,750	3
17. Mississippi ...	1,353	1
18. Missouri	4,600	5
19. Montana .....	2,583	3
20. Nebraska ....	6,017	6
21. New Mexico ...	1,437	1
22. New York .....	1,503	2
23. North Carolina .	1,063	1
24. North Dakota ...	1,857	2
25. Ohio ....	1,480	1
26. Oklahoma .....	5,333	5
27. Pennsylvania .	1,783	2
28. South Carolina .	513	1
29. South Dakota ...	3,833	4
30. Tennessee ...	2,450	2
31. Texas ..	14,667	15
32. Utah ....	867	1
33. Virginia	1,713	2
34. Wisconsin .....	3,883	4
35. Wyoming .....	1,383	1
36. Northwest .....		2
Alaska ....	9	
Hawaii ....	173	

**CATTLE AND CALVES<sup>1</sup>—Continued**

State/Unit	(1,000 head)	Directors
Washington .....	1,353	
Total ...	1,535	
37. Northeast .....		1
Connecticut .....	76	
Delaware	30	
Maine ....	116	
Massachusetts ...	69	
New Hampshire ...	49	
New Jersey .....	67	
Rhode Island ...	7	
Vermont .	292	
Total ...	706	
38. Mid-Atlantic .....		1
District of Columbia .....	0	
Maryland	310	
West Virginia ...	477	
Total ...	787	
39. Western .....		2
Nevada ..	497	
Oregon ..	1,420	
Total ...	1,917	
40. Importer <sup>2</sup> ...	7,016	7

<sup>1</sup> 1993, 1994, and 1995 average.  
<sup>2</sup> 1992, 1993, and 1994 average.

\* \* \* \* \*  
 Dated: September 1, 1995  
**Lon Hatamiya,**  
*Administrator.*  
 [FR Doc. 95-22282 Filed 9-7-95; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

**Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service**

**9 CFR Parts 1 and 3**

[Docket No. 93-076-7]

**RIN 0579-AA59**

**Animal Welfare; Marine Mammals**

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this notice is to announce the first meeting of the Marine Mammal Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

**DATES:** September 25 and 26, 1995, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. each day.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn at College Park, 10000 Baltimore Boulevard, Route 1, College Park, MD 20740, (301) 345-6700.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Barbara Kohn, Senior Staff Veterinarian, Animal Care Staff, REAC, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 84, Riverdale, MD 20737-1234, (301) 734-7833.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a **Federal Register** notice published on May 22, 1995 (60 FR 27049-27051, Docket No. 93-076-3), we announced our intent to establish a Marine Mammal Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee (Committee), chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463). The Committee will advise the Department on the content of regulations to revise and update the standards for the handling, care, treatment, and transportation of marine mammals in captivity.

The purpose of the meeting is to bring together members of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, representatives of the marine mammal industry, and representatives of other parties with a definable stake in marine mammal issues to frame a recommended rulemaking proposal as an alternative to the current standards for the care of captive marine mammals.

The proposed agenda for the meeting is as follows:

**First Day**

*Morning Session—9 a.m.*

- APHIS Opening Remarks
- Presentation of Agenda
- Self-Introductions and Statements of Perspective by Committee Members and Facilitator
- Development of Committee Procedures and Protocols

*Afternoon Session—1:30 p.m.*

- Development of Committee Procedures and Protocols
- Public Comments

**Second Day**

*Morning Session—9 a.m.*

- Presentation of Agenda
- Review of Substantive Marine Mammal Issues

*Afternoon Session—1:30 p.m.*

- Review of Substantive Marine Mammal Issues

Discussion of Future Committee Meeting Agendas  
Comments from the Public

The meetings will be open to the public. Public participation at the meetings will be allowed during periods announced at the meeting for this purpose. Anyone who wants to file a written statement with the Committee may do so at the time of the meeting or may do so after the meeting by sending the statement to Docket No. 93-076-7, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Suite 3C03, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737-1238. Comments mailed in should state that they refer to Docket No. 93-076-7 and must be received on or before October 10, 1995, to ensure consideration by the Committee.

This notice is given pursuant to section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Done in Washington, DC, this 1st day of September 1995.

**Terry L. Medley,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 95-22328 Filed 9-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70

RIN 3150-AF38

#### One-Time Extension of Certain Byproduct, Source, and Special Nuclear Materials Licenses

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing, on a one-time basis, a five-year extension of certain byproduct, source, and special nuclear materials licenses. The provisions of the licenses under extension would provide the same authorizations and limits on licensee activities as they do now. The proposed rule specifies the licenses whose expiration dates would not be extended. On a separate but related matter, the Commission is considering the appropriate duration of materials licenses and seeks comments on this topic.

**DATES:** Submit comments by October 10, 1995. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail written comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. ATTN: Docketing and Service Branch. Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD, 20852, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm Federal workdays. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC. For information on submitting comments electronically, see the discussion under Electronic Access in the Supplementary Information Section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Pelchat, NRC, Region II, 101 Marietta Street, NW., suite 2900, Atlanta, GA 30323, telephone (404) 331-5083; or C.W. Nilsen, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, NRC, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-6209.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The materials licensing ("licensing") process sets out provisions for licensing medical, academic, and industrial users of byproduct materials as well as some small scope users of source and special nuclear materials. This process does not apply to the licensing of power and non-power reactors, uranium milling and processing facilities, or fuel production facilities. Recent NRC internal reviews and regulatory impact surveys of materials licensees ("licensees") have highlighted areas in which the current materials licensing process can be improved. The NRC has completed the preliminary phases of an effort to redesign this process. The goals of the licensing process redesign project are (1) to maintain or raise the level of public safety achieved by the current process; (2) to perform licensing reviews and associated tasks an order of magnitude faster than the current process; (3) to utilize modern information technology as a fundamental part of the new process; and, (4) to reduce the resources needed to carry out the licensing program to meet the projected 1998-1999 staffing levels.

In order to make resources available to expedite the development, design, and testing of the proposed new materials licensing process, the Commission proposes to extend, by rulemaking, certain specific materials licenses ("licenses") by five years from the current expiration dates shown on those licenses. Resources that would have otherwise been used to renew these licenses would be devoted to the redesign project. The extension would be a one-time occurrence and the Commission does not envision that any

similar extensions would be granted in any future rulemaking. The extended licenses are not considered to be the equivalent of a renewed license because they would provide the same authorizations and limits on licensee activities as they do now. Accordingly, the extended licenses would not be based on or reference pending renewal applications, including requests, if any, in those renewal applications for NRC approval of changes in current operations. The frequency at which the licensee is inspected would not change. The Commission estimates that more than 80 percent of its 6,500 materials licenses would be extended by this proposed rulemaking.

The Commission believes that it may take this action because no legislative mandate requires that materials licenses have a five-year term. Many years ago, materials licenses were issued for two-year periods. As the uses of radioactive materials became more stable and predictable, the typical duration of licenses was changed to the current five years. The Commission believes that certain specific materials licenses may be extended once by rule for an additional five years beyond their stated expiration date without the normal renewal review and without adverse effect on public health and safety. The Commission's belief is based upon three factors. First, certain specific licenses for which the Commission believes that a renewal review should not be delayed five years would not be affected by this rule. Licenses that may present, in the Commission's view, a greater potential risk from a health and safety standpoint would not be extended by this rulemaking. These licenses are discussed in greater detail below and these licenses would be renewed in accordance with current schedules. Second, the extended licenses would not change the authorized activities or the regulatory requirements with which the licensees must comply. Third, the NRC will continue to inspect licenses that would be extended by this proposed rule. Significant inspection findings would be resolved through the issuance of Notices of Violations that require written responses describing corrective actions or Orders that would modify, suspend, or revoke the license. Accordingly, the Commission believes that there would be reasonable assurance of public health and safety under this rule.

The Commission believes that certain licenses, specified below, should be subject to the health and safety review currently required as part of the 5-year renewal review. These licenses would not have their license terms extended by