Please make corrections to name, address and zip code, if necessary.

BEGINNING TIME [Military]: 0001

We would like to ask you some questions about your cattle on feed operation. In order to understand important issues in the cattle industry, we need to obtain information about the health status of these cattle and any health problems they may have had, as well as productivity and management information.

You may find it easier to answer some of the questions if you have records available. Response is voluntary and not required by law. However, your report is needed to make regional and national estimates as accurate as possible.
### Section 1—Total Cattle Inventory and Placements

1. During the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 were there any cattle or calves on feed for slaughter, regardless of ownership on this operation?  
   - Yes: 0376  
   - No: 0377

   **[If Item 1 = No, skip to Section 13]**

2. On July 1, 2010 how many cattle and calves were on feed for the slaughter market?  
   + 0002

3. During the period of July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, how many cattle and calves were:
   a. Placed on feed for slaughter in your feedlot(s)?  
      + 0003
   b. Marketed for slaughter (shipped out of your feedlots)?  
      - 0004
   c. Other disappearance.  
      - 0005

4. On July 1, 2011 how many cattle and calves were on feed for the slaughter market?  
   - 0006

5. \[Add Items 2 + 3a – 3b – 3c – 4\]: (If not = 0, review with respondent)  
   = 0017

6. Of the [Item 3c] cattle and calves recorded as **other disappearance**, what percentage or how many:

   - Died: 0008  
   - Returned to grazing: 0009  
   - Shipped to another feedlot: 0010  
   - Sent to market prior to reaching expected slaughter weight: 0011  
   - Stolen: 0012  
   - Lost for other reasons: 0013  
   - Total (should equal 100% or Item 3c): 100%  

7. Of the cattle and calves placed on feed during the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011 [Item 3a], how many were of Mexican origin?  
   \[If Item 7 = 0, SKIP to Item 9.\]
   Head 0023

8. Of the cattle and calves of Mexican origin, what percentage were:
   a. Beef cattle and calves.  
      \[Percent\] 0024
   b. Dairy cattle and calves.  
      \[Percent\] 0025
   c. Total (should equal 100%).  
      \[Percent\] 100%

9. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, were any cattle and calves placed in your feedlot(s) for purposes other than the slaughter market?  
   \[If Item 9 = NO, SKIP to Section 2.\]
   Head 0030

   If YES, how many were:
   a. Beef animals to be used for breeding stock.  
      \[\] 0027
   b. Dairy animals to be used for breeding stock.  
      \[\] 0028
   c. Other cattle and calves.  
      \[\] 0029
   d. Total.  
      \[\] 0030
Section 2—Slaughter Cattle Inventory and Placements

1. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, what percentage or how many were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Beef breeds or cross breeds?</td>
<td>0032</td>
<td></td>
<td>0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Dairy breeds?</td>
<td>0033</td>
<td></td>
<td>0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Total [should equal 100% or Section 1, Item 3a.]</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Of the [Item 1c] beef breeds or cross breeds and dairy breeds placed on feed, what percentage were in each of the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beef or cross breeds</th>
<th>Dairy breeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Steers less than 700 pounds?</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Steers 700 pounds or more?</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Heifers less than 700 pounds?</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Heifers 700 pounds or more?</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Cows (calved one or more times)?</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Bulls?</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Total [should equal 100%].</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. In terms of the origin of the [Section1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, what percentage or how many were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Provided for custom feeding by someone else, or by joint ownership with this feedlot?</td>
<td>0049</td>
<td></td>
<td>0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Purchased by this feedlot via auction?</td>
<td>0050</td>
<td></td>
<td>0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Purchased by this feedlot via direct sale (cash or video, private treaty)?</td>
<td>0051</td>
<td></td>
<td>0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Born on this feedlot or another operation operated solely by this feedlot?</td>
<td>0052</td>
<td></td>
<td>0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Obtained from other sources? (specify: _______________________________).</td>
<td>0053</td>
<td></td>
<td>0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Total [should equal 100% or Section 1, Item 3a].</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 2—Slaughter Cattle Inventory and Placements

4. How many shipments of cattle arrived at this feedlot from July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, from the following sources? [A shipment = one group of animals moved all at once, no matter how many vehicles were required to move them.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Number of shipments arriving</th>
<th>Average number of miles per shipment from source</th>
<th>Percent of shipments that crossed State lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Auction</td>
<td>0060</td>
<td>0065</td>
<td>Percent 0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Another beef operation (e.g., cow-calf or stocker operation)</td>
<td>0061</td>
<td>0066</td>
<td>Percent 0071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Another feedlot</td>
<td>0062</td>
<td>0067</td>
<td>Percent 0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other source</td>
<td>0063</td>
<td>0068</td>
<td>Percent 0073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Total</td>
<td>0064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. How many shipments of cattle left this feedlot from July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, to the following destinations? [A shipment = one group of animals moved all at once, no matter how many vehicles were required to move them.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Number of shipments departing</th>
<th>Average number of miles per shipment to destination</th>
<th>Percent of shipments that crossed State lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Direct to slaughter</td>
<td>0074</td>
<td>0079</td>
<td>Percent 0084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Sales/auction</td>
<td>0075</td>
<td>0080</td>
<td>Percent 0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Another feedlot</td>
<td>0076</td>
<td>0081</td>
<td>Percent 0086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Direct to another beef operation (e.g., cow-calf or stocker operation)</td>
<td>0077</td>
<td>0082</td>
<td>Percent 0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Total</td>
<td>0078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 3—Pre-arrival Processing

1. Of the [Section 2, Items 2a and 2c] steers and heifers that were less than 700 pounds when placed on feed, were the following pre-arrival management practices used in reducing sickness and death in your feedlots(s)? [Check All, Some, None, or DK=Don't know.]

If YES, how effective was the practice (extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, or not effective)? [Enter appropriate code.]

[If NO steers or heifers less than 700 pounds placed on feed, SKIP to Item 2.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness Code</th>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Introduction to feed bunk. ..........................</td>
<td>0088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Respiratory vaccinations given to calves at least 2 weeks prior to weaning.</td>
<td>0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Respiratory vaccinations given to calves at weaning.</td>
<td>0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Calves weaned at least 4 weeks prior to shipping.</td>
<td>0091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Calves castrated and dehorned at least 4 weeks prior to shipping.</td>
<td>0092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Calves treated for external or internal parasites prior to shipping.</td>
<td>0093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. For the last group or shipment of cattle that arrived on this feedlot, were the cattle:

   a. Beef animals less than 700 pounds? .......................... | 0377 | 1Yes 3No |
   b. Dairy animals less than 700 pounds? .......................... | 0101 | 1Yes 3No |
   c. Beef animals 700 pounds or more? .......................... | 0102 | 1Yes 3No |
   d. Dairy animals 700 pounds or more? .......................... | 0104 | 1Yes 3No |

3. Which of the following pre-arrival processing procedures were performed on the last group or shipment of cattle that arrived on this feedlot? [DK = Don't know]

   a. Vaccinated against any respiratory disease. .......................... | 0105 | 1All 2Some 3None 4DK |
   b. Vaccinated against clostridial diseases. .......................... | 0106 | 1All 2Some 3None 4DK |
   c. Given a dewormer (e.g., Ivomec®). .......................... | 0107 | 1All 2Some 3None 4DK |
   d. Given mineral supplementation. .......................... | 0108 | 1All 2Some 3None 4DK |
   e. Introduced to feed bunk. .......................... | 0109 | 1All 2Some 3None 4DK |
   f. Implanted. .......................... | 0110 | 1All 2Some 3None 4DK |
   g. Checked for pregnancy. .......................... | 0111 | 1Yes 3No 4DK |
   h. Heifers were spayed. .......................... | 0112 | 1Yes 3No 4DK |
   i. Bulls were castrated. .......................... | 0113 | 1Yes 3No 4DK |

4. In general, how often is pre-arrival processing information available for the cattle that you place on feed? [This may include vaccinations, implants, deworming history, and mineral supplementation.] .......................... | 0114 | 1Always 2Sometimes 3Never |

5. How important to this operation is information on pre-arrival processing (e.g., vaccinations, implants, deworming history, or mineral supplementation)? .......................... | 0115 | 1Very 2Somewhat 3Not 4DK |

[If Item 5 = Don’t know, SKIP to Section 4.]

6. How often do you base your management or processing procedures on the pre-arrival processing information you have received? .......................... | 0116 | 1Always 2Sometimes 3Never |
Section 4—Arrival Processing

This section asks about how this feedlot processes arriving animals. This might include such procedures as vaccinations, dehorning, implanting, and parasite control. Do not include pre-arrival processing procedures.

1. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed, what percentage were initially processed as a group during each of the following time periods? [Exclude animals processed separately for treatment of illness.]

   a. 24 hours or less after arrival. .................................................. Percent
      0117
   b. 25 to 72 hours after arrival. .................................................. Percent
      0118
   c. 72 hours or more after arrival. ................................................. Percent
      0119
   d. Not processed. ......................................................................... Percent
      0120
   e. Total [should equal 100%]. ..................................................... Percent
      100%

If Item 1d = 100%, SKIP to Item 3.

2. Of the [Items 1a-c] cattle and calves initially processed as a group, what percentage were given the following procedures at initial processing and second processing?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial processing</th>
<th>Second processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0121</td>
<td>0126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0122</td>
<td>0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0123</td>
<td>0127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0124</td>
<td>0128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0125</td>
<td>0129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Of the [Section 2, Item 2f] bulls placed on feed, what percentage were castrated by this feedlot using the following methods: [If NO bulls, SKIP to Item 4]

   a. Banded and vaccinated against tetanus? .................................. Percent
      0135
   b. Banded and not vaccinated against tetanus? ............................. Percent
      0136
   c. Testes surgically removed and vaccinated against tetanus? ....... Percent
      0137
   d. Testes surgically removed and not vaccinated against tetanus? .... Percent
      0138
   e. Other castration method? (specify: ____________________________). Percent
      0139
   f. Bulls not castrated by this feedlot? ....................................... Percent
      0140
   g. Total [should equal 100%]. .................................................. Percent
      100%
Section 4—Arrival Processing

4. Of the [Section 2, Item 2c-d] heifers placed on feed, what is your estimate of the percentage that were pregnant at arrival? 

[If Item 4 = 0, SKIP to Item 5]

a. Of these pregnant heifers placed on feed, what percentage were treated to abort their pregnancies?

5. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed, what percentage had horns at arrival?

[If Item 5 = 0, SKIP to Item 6]

a. Of these cattle with horns, what percentage were tipped by this feedlot?

b. Of these cattle with horns, what percentage were dehorned by this feedlot?

6. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed, what percentage arrived at this feedlot with an individual animal ID?

[If Item 6 = 0, SKIP to Item 7]

a. Of the cattle and calves that arrived with an individual ID, what percentage of these IDs were removed?

b. Of the cattle and calves that arrived with an individual ID, what percentage received a new individual animal ID [excluding tagging of sick animals]?

7. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed, what percentage were:

a. Tagged by this feedlot with a number such that each animal was individually identifiable [excluding tagging of sick animals]?

b. Identified by this feedlot with a group or owner identifier [pen, tag, brand, lot tag, ear notch, etc.]?

c. Not identified [either individually or as a group]?

8. Were any of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed hide-branded by this feedlot after arrival? [Exclude those hide-branded by other operations prior to arrival]?

9. During the year ending June 30, 2011, did you modify your antibiotic (for metaphylaxis or mass treatment of animals) or vaccination procedures for processing new arrivals based on any of the following factors? [Enumerator note: For example, “Did you modify your vaccination procedure based on arrival weight?”]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did you modify based on:</th>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Arrival weight</td>
<td>0153</td>
<td>0159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Distance transported or percent shrinkage.</td>
<td>0154</td>
<td>0160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Source of cattle.</td>
<td>0155</td>
<td>0161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Preconditioning.</td>
<td>0156</td>
<td>0162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Dairy cattle breed (compared to beef breeds).</td>
<td>0157</td>
<td>0163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. History of previous antibiotic treatment.</td>
<td>0158</td>
<td>0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 5 — Nutrition

1. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed during the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, what percentage were:

   a. Given an ionophore, such as Rumensin® or Cattlyst®, by this feedlot? .......................... Percent 0165
   b. Given a coccidiostat other than an ionophore, such as Corid® or Deccox®, by this feedlot? .......................... Percent 0166
   c. Provided with water that was treated with chlorine? .......................................................... Percent 0167
   d. Switched from a high grain ration to a primarily hay ration at finish? .......................... Percent 0168
   e. Fed distiller grains as part of the ration? .......................................................... Percent 0169
   f. Fasted prior to transportation to slaughter? .......................................................... Percent 0170
   g. Fed seaweed extract (e.g., Tasco-14®) prior to slaughter? .......................................................... Percent 0171
   h. Fed a beta-agonist, OptaFlexx® or ractopamine? .......................................................... Percent 0172
   i. Fed a beta-agonist Zilmax®? .......................................................... Percent 0173
   j. Fed probiotics in feed (e.g., Lactobacillius acidophilus, Bovamine®)? .......................................................... Percent 0174

2. For the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed during the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011, what was the average percentage of concentrates (dry matter basis) in the rations that were fed:

   a. Upon arrival? ............................................................................................................. Percent 0175
   b. In the finishing rations? ............................................................................................................. Percent 0176

3. Of the [Section 2, Item 2c - 2d] heifers placed on feed during the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011, what percentage were fed melengestrol acetate, a heat suppressant for females (e.g. MGA® or Heifermax®)? .......................................................... Percent 0177

4. During the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011, did your feedlot use the services of a nutritionist? ............................................................................................................. 0178 □ Yes □ No

[If Item 4 = NO, SKIP to Item 6]

5. During the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011, did your feedlot use a:

   a. Full-time nutritionist on staff? ............................................................................................................. 0179 □ Yes □ No
   b. Feed company nutritionist? ............................................................................................................. 0180 □ Yes □ No
   c. Other nutritionist who made regular or routine visits? ............................................................................................................. 0181 □ Yes □ No
   d. Other nutritionist you called as need? ............................................................................................................. 0182 □ Yes □ No
6. Which of the following water sources were used for this operation’s cattle on feed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Ground water (well)</td>
<td>0183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Surface water (ponds, lakes, streams)</td>
<td>0184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Municipal water supply</td>
<td>0185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. What is the primary method of storage on this operation for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Bags</th>
<th>Sealed Containers</th>
<th>Uncovered Piles</th>
<th>Covered Piles</th>
<th>Does Not Apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Mineral supplements (stored prior to micromachine)</td>
<td>0186</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Protein supplements</td>
<td>0187</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Fat supplements</td>
<td>0188</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Feed additives (e.g., ionophores, etc.)</td>
<td>0189</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Corn</td>
<td>0190</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Co-products from ethanol production</td>
<td>0191</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Hay</td>
<td>0192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Silage</td>
<td>0193</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 6 — Health Procedures and Veterinary Services

1. For the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed during the year ending June 30, 2011, how frequently did you conduct pen-riding or walking procedures for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>More than twice a day</th>
<th>Twice a day</th>
<th>Once a day</th>
<th>Less than once a day</th>
<th>No standard procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. New arrivals (at feedlot less than 15 days).</td>
<td>0194</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Animals at feedlot 15 to 30 days.</td>
<td>0195</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Animals at feedlot 30 days or more.</td>
<td>0196</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. During the year ending June 30, 2011, were feedlot employees provided with training and/or written guidelines on what drugs or medications to use in treating diseases?
   a. Training. 0197 □ Yes □ No □ No employees
   b. Written guidelines 0198 □ Yes □ No □ No employees

3. During the year ending June 30, 2011, how frequently was the following information recorded for sick animals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>0199</th>
<th>0200</th>
<th>0201</th>
<th>0202</th>
<th>0203</th>
<th>0204</th>
<th>0205</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Body temperature.</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Date treated.</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Weight at time of treatment.</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Treatment given.</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Treatment withdrawal period.</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Disease condition (shipping fever, lameness, pneumonia, etc.).</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Outcome of treatment (returned to pen, died, culled, etc.).</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Sometimes □ 3 Most of the time □ 4 Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 6 — Health Procedures and Veterinary Services

4. During the year ending June 30, 2011, did your feedlot use the services of a veterinarian?...

[If Item 4 = NO, SKIP to Item 5]

Did you use a:

a. Full-time veterinarian on staff?...

b. Private veterinarian who made regular or routine visits?...

c. Private veterinarian you called as needed?...

5. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed, what percentage were given an antibiotic in feed as a health or production management tool?...

[If Item 5 = 0, SKIP to Item 6]

a. What was the average number of days that antibiotics were included in the feed?...

6. Of the [Section 1, Item 3a] cattle and calves placed on feed, what percentage were given an antibiotic in water as a health or production management tool?...

[If Item 6 = 0, SKIP to Item 7]

a. During the feeding period, what was the average number of days that antibiotics were included in the water?...

7. Of all cattle and calves [Section 1, Item 6a] that died during the year ending June 30, 2011, what percentage had a postmortem examination?...

8. Of the [Section 1, Item 6a] cattle that died during the year ending June 30, 2011, what percentage were disposed of by the following methods?

a. Renderer ................................................................. Percent

b. Buried on this feedlot. .................................................. Percent

c. Landfill. ............................................................... Percent

d. Other (specify:_________________________________________). ................................ Percent

e. Total [should equal 100%]. ......................................... Percent 100%
Section 7 — Quality Assurance

1. How familiar are you with the Beef Quality Assurance (BQA) program of either your State or the National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA)?

   □ 1 Very familiar
   □ 2 Somewhat familiar
   □ 3 Heard of name only
   □ 4 Not familiar

   [If Item 1 = 4 Not familiar, SKIP to Item 3.]

2. During the previous 5 years, have you or someone representing this feedlot attended a national, State, or local BQA meeting or training session?

   □ 1 Yes □ 3 No

3. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, were any cattle tested for antibiotic residues prior to shipment for slaughter?

   □ 1 Yes □ 3 No

4. How important is each of the following beef quality assurance (BQA) practices to you?

   a. The location used for administration of injectable products (e.g., in neck, shoulder, side, or leg).

   □ Not Important □ Somewhat Important □ Very Important □ Don’t know
   0223 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4

   b. The route used for administration of injectable products (intramuscular, intravenous, subcutaneous).

   □ Not Important □ Somewhat Important □ Very Important □ Don’t know
   0224 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4

   c. Implanting strategy.

   □ Not Important □ Somewhat Important □ Very Important □ Don’t know
   0225 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4

   d. Antibiotic selection to manage disease (e.g., type of FDA approved antibiotic used or duration of action).

   □ Not Important □ Somewhat Important □ Very Important □ Don’t know
   0226 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4

   e. Residue avoidance.

   □ Not Important □ Somewhat Important □ Very Important □ Don’t know
   0227 □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4

5. Does this feedlot currently have a formal training program for its employees on:

   a. Quality assurance?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0228 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

   b. Residue avoidance?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0229 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

   c. Animal handling procedures?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0230 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

   d. Employee safety?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0231 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

6. Does this feedlot currently have a formal training program that includes written guidelines for its employees on:

   a. Quality assurance?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0232 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

   b. Residue avoidance?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0233 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

   c. Animal handling procedures?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0234 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4

   d. Employee safety?

   □ Yes □ No employees
   0235 □ 1 □ 3 □ 4
Section 8 — Biosecurity

1. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, did this operation feed any Dairy Breeding, Beef Breeding, or cattle returned to grazing (Stockler Cattle)?

   - Enumerator Note: Please reference Section 1, Item 9a for beef breeding, Section 1, Item 9b for dairy breeding stock and Section 1, Item 6b for cattle returned to grazing (stocker cattle). If the operation does not have any of the cattle types, check ‘No’ below, skip to Item 5.

2. Were any of the above cattle housed in:
   a. Segregated area with no direct contact with cattle on feed for slaughter?  
   b. Pens adjacent to cattle on feed for slaughter (nose-to-nose contact)?  
   c. Pens with cattle on feed for slaughter (commingled)?  
   d. Hospital pens with cattle on feed for slaughter for any length of time?

3. Where vaccination protocols modified or implemented?

4. Was testing for disease (e.g. BVD testing) done?

5. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, did this operation feed any Mexican-origin cattle?  

   [If Item 5 = NO, SKIP to Item 7.]

6. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, were any breeding stock or cattle returned to grazing housed in:
   a. Segregated area with no direct contact with Mexican-origin cattle on feed for slaughter?  
   b. Pens adjacent to Mexican-origin cattle on feed for slaughter (nose-to-nose contact)?  
   c. Pens with Mexican-origin cattle on feed for slaughter (commingled)?  
   d. Hospital pens with Mexican-origin cattle on feed for slaughter for any length of time?

7. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, when bunks were cleaned, was the leftover feed fed to breeding stock or cattle returned to grazing?
8. In addition to feedlot cattle, which of the following types of animals were on this operation during the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Frequency Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Dogs</td>
<td>0267</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Cats</td>
<td>0268</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Horses, donkeys, mules, etc.</td>
<td>0269</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Sheep</td>
<td>0270</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Goats</td>
<td>0271</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Feral pigs</td>
<td>0272</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Domestic pigs</td>
<td>0273</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Chickens or other poultry</td>
<td>0274</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Captive deer or elk</td>
<td>0276</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Llamas, alpacas</td>
<td>0277</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Bison</td>
<td>0278</td>
<td>□ 1 Yes □ 3 No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, how frequently were the following wild animals and/or signs of wild animals (scat, tracks, etc.) observed on this operation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Frequency Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Wild ruminants (e.g., deer and elk)</td>
<td>0279</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Less than monthly □ 3 Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Coyotes, foxes, and stray dogs</td>
<td>0280</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Less than monthly □ 3 Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Feral swine</td>
<td>0281</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Less than monthly □ 3 Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Small animals (e.g., raccoons, squirrels, skunks, and rabbits)</td>
<td>0282</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Less than monthly □ 3 Monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[If Item 9a = NEVER, SKIP to Item 11.]

10. How frequently were deer observed in the feed storage, bunks, or lots?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Frequency Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0283</td>
<td>□ 1 Never □ 2 Less than monthly □ 3 Monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 8 — Biosecurity

11. Which of the following made visits to your operation that involved contact with cattle on feed during the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011? If visits were made, how frequently?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Visited this operation</th>
<th>If YES, how frequently</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 = Daily</td>
<td>2 = Weekly</td>
<td>3 = Monthly</td>
<td>4 = Semi-annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a. Veterinarian. | 0284 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0322 | 382 |
| b. Nutritionist. | 0285 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0323 | 383 |
| c. University/extension personnel. | 0286 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0324 | 384 |
| d. Livestock hauler. | 0287 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0325 | 385 |
| e. Renderer. | 0288 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0326 | 386 |
| f. 4-H group/FFA. | 0289 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0327 | 387 |
| g. Contract vaccine/processing crews. | 0290 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0328 | 388 |
| h. Contract pen riders or animal checkers. | 0291 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0329 | 389 |
| i. Government officials. | 0292 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0330 | 390 |
| j. Other (specify: ______________________) | 0293 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No | 0331 | 391 |

12. Did you generally use the following practices during the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011?

| a. Control access for visitors entering animal areas. | 0294 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No □ 4 No visitors |
| b. Restrictions on vehicles entering animal area. | 0295 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No □ 4 No visitors |
| c. Disposable or clean boots for visitors entering animal areas. | 0296 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No □ 4 No visitors |
| d. Footbaths for visitors entering animal areas. | 0297 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No □ 4 No visitors |
| e. Restrict movement of horses onto the feedlot premises. | 0298 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No □ 4 No horses |
| f. Insect control (e.g., sprays, foggers, treated ear tags, products administered to animal [topical/oral], etc). | 0299 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No |
| g. Rodent control (e.g., cats, traps, chemical/bait, etc.). | 0300 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No |

13. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, did this operation use any of the following control strategies for birds?

| a. Chemical repellents. | 0301 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No |
| b. Shooting. | 0302 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No |
| c. Trapping/capture devices. | 0303 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No |
| d. Visual or noise deterrents. | 0304 | □ 1 Yes □ 3 No |

14. During the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, how often did this operation use the same equipment to handle both manure and cattle feed? 0305 □ 1 Routinely □ 2 Rarely □ 3 Never

[If Item 14 = NEVER, SKIP to Item 16.]
15. Which best describes cleaning procedures usually done with equipment after handling manure and prior to handling feed?

- [ ] 1. Wash equipment with water or steam only
- [ ] 2. Chemically disinfect only
- [ ] 3. Wash equipment and chemically disinfect
- [ ] 4. Other (specify: ______________________________________)
- [ ] 5. No procedures done

16. How close is your feedlot to another operation with livestock?

- [ ] 1. Shared fence line
- [ ] 2. Within 0.25 mile
- [ ] 3. 0.25 to less than 1 mile
- [ ] 4. 1 mile to less than 5 miles
- [ ] 5. 5 miles or more

17. How many acres does the feedlot occupy? [Include feed mill, storage facilities directly related to the feedlot, and pens. Do not include crop land, pasture, etc.].

[ ] [ ] acres

18. If an outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease (or other foreign animal disease) occurred in the United States, how likely would you be to use the following sources to get information about the disease?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Very likely</th>
<th>Somewhat likely</th>
<th>Not likely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Other beef producers</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Private veterinarian</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. University/extension personnel</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Beef organization or cooperative</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Magazines</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Internet</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. State Veterinarian’s office</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Television/newspapers</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 2</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. If you had an animal you suspected of having foot-and-mouth disease (or other foreign animal disease) on your operation, would you contact the following resources?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Private veterinarian</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. University/extension personnel</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. State Veterinarian’s office</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>[ ] 1</td>
<td>[ ] 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 9 — Emergency Management

1. Do you have a written emergency procedure plan for your facility? ............................. 0332  □ Yes □ No

2. Do you have a written contingency plan for feeding and watering livestock should your facility be impacted by a utility (electricity, natural gas, domestic water supply, etc.) outage? .......................... 0333  □ Yes □ No

3. During the previous 3 years, has someone from your operation attended an educational meeting regarding food security, terrorism threats, or the recognition of potential terrorist activities and actions? ................................................................. 0334  □ Yes □ No

4. Do you encourage employees or others to report what they would consider unusual circumstances or activities? .......................................................... 0335  □ Yes □ No

5. Do you have signage posted directing all visitors to the office facility prior to entry into the feedlot? ............................................................. 0337  □ Yes □ No

6. On average over the year, how many days of feed would you have available on premises to provide basic nutrition should you not be able to bring in additional supplies? ................. Days 0338

7. For how long (in days) does your facility have backup power generation capability (fuel on hand) sufficient to maintain critical operations such as water and feed delivery? ......................... Days 0339

8. Have you developed an active working relationship with local county or regional emergency management officials? ............................................................. 0340  □ Yes □ No

9. Using only your own staff, how many animals per hour could you process for vaccination should you need to vaccinate all animals in the facility? .................................................. head/hour 0341
Section 10—Environment

1. Does this feedlot currently have a formal training program that includes written guidelines for its employees regarding environmental issues, such as:
   a. Manure management? ........................................ 0342 □ 1Yes □ 3No □ 4No employees
   b. Dust control? .................................................. 0343 □ 1Yes □ 3No □ 4No employees
   c. Lagoon overflow? ............................................ 0344 □ 1Yes □ 3No □ 4No employees
   d. Any other environmental training program? (specify:_________________________). ........ 0345 □ 1Yes □ 3No □ 4No employees

2. During the year ending June 30, 2011, did this feedlot have any tests performed on:
   a. Ground water (i.e., well water)? .......................... 0346 □ 1Yes □ 3No □ 2Does not apply
   b. Surface water (e.g., ponds, lakes, or streams)? [Do not include lagoons, standing water in pens, etc.] ? ........ 0347 □ 1Yes □ 3No □ 2Does not apply
   c. Nutrient content of manure (e.g., nitrogen level)? ......... 0348 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   d. Air quality? ...................................................... 0349 □ 1Yes □ 3No

3. During the year ending June 30, 2011, what percentage of this feedlot's manure was:
   a. Applied on land owned or managed by this feedlot? ............ Percent 0350
   b. Sold? .......................................................... Percent 0351
   c. Given away? .................................................. Percent 0352
   d. Removed by paying someone to take it? ....................... Percent 0353
   e. Removed by another method? (specify:_________________________). ........ Percent 0354
   f. Total [should equal 100%]. .................................. Percent 0355

   [If Item 3a = 0, SKIP to Item 5]

4. Did this feedlot test the nutrient content of soil where the manure was being applied? ........ 0355 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   [If Item 4 = NO, SKIP to Item 5]
   a. Was the nutrient content of the soil tested to determine the manure application rate? . . . 0356 □ 1Yes □ 3No

5. Was waste water applied on land owned or managed by this feedlot? ......................... 0357 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   [If Item 5 = NO, SKIP to Item 6]
   a. Was the nutrient content of the soil tested to determine the waste water application rate? . . 0358 □ 1Yes □ 3No

6. During the year ending June 30, 2011, were any of the following practices used for dust control in any pens or on the feedlot premises?
   a. Permanent sprinklers. ........................................ 0359 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   b. Mobile sprinklers (water truck). ........................... 0360 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   c. Mechanical scrapers. ......................................... 0361 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   d. Increased cattle density. .................................... 0362 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   e. Other (specify:_________________________). ............... 0363 □ 1Yes □ 3No

7. During the year ending June 30, 2011, did this feedlot use:
   a. Lagoons to capture runoff? .................................. 0364 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   b. Berms to control runoff? ..................................... 0365 □ 1Yes □ 3No
   c. Fencing/landscaping to enhance wildlife management or minimize erosion? ................... 0366 □ 1Yes □ 3No
Section 11—Labor

1. On June 30, 2011, how many of the following types of paid or unpaid personnel were employed full time by this feedlot?
   
a. Full-time employees including clerical and managerial personnel and those who handle the cattle.  
   b. Full-time employees who only handle cattle (e.g., pen riders and doctoring and processing crews).

2. Of the Item 1b employees, how many:
   
a. Had contact with livestock on other operations?  
b. Own livestock at another location?

3. How many of the following types of full-time employees left their jobs for any reason (e.g., retirement, quit, fired, or injured) during the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011?
   
a. Full-time employees including clerical and managerial personnel and those who handle the cattle.  
b. Full-time employees who only handle cattle (e.g., pen riders and doctoring and processing crews).

Section 12—Information Flow

1. How often does this feedlot return any information to the sources of the cattle placed on feed by this feedlot? [This may include the occurrence of disease, performance, or carcass quality.]
   
   □ 1 Always or most of the time
   □ 2 Sometimes
   □ 3 Never or almost never

2. Survey results can be found by accessing www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahms/

   Would you prefer to receive reports as hard copies via the mail?  
   □ 1 Yes  □ 2 No
Section 13 — Office Use Only

1. Obtain VMO consent form signature if one or more cattle on feed July 1, 2011.
2. Respondent location (specific directions) and other comments.

3. Did the respondent use written or computerized records to assist in answering this survey? ................................. 0374  □ Yes  □ No

4. Enter interview response codes. [Check one]  
   9002  □ 1 Zero cattle on feed on July 1, 2011  
   □ 2 Out of business  
   □ 3 Refusal of General Feedlot Management Questionnaire  
   □ 4 Complete, VMO consent signed  
   □ 5 Complete, VMO consent refused  
   □ 7 Out of scope for General Feedlot Management Questionnaire  
   □ 8 Office hold  
   □ 9 Inaccessible

[If response code from Item 4 = 3 or 5, check the reason below that fits best.]

5. Refusal code:  
   0563  □ 1 Does not want to commit time to the project  
   □ 2 Does not want involvement with government veterinarian or has had previous bad experience with government veterinarian  
   □ 3 Does not have necessary records available  
   □ 4 Has participated in too many surveys  
   □ 5 Does not want outside people on the feedlot  
   □ 6 Bad time of year (planting, harvesting, second job, etc.)  
   □ 7 Currently has or recently has had disease problem with herd  
   □ 8 Believes that surveys and reports hurt the farmer more than help  
   □ 9 Could not get owner’s permission  
   □ 10 No reason given, or other miscellaneous reasons

ENDING TIME [MILITARY] ................................................................. 0375  __ __ __ __

This completes the survey. Thank you for your help.

Reported by: _______________________________  Phone (_____) ______________  Date -- -- -- --

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Enum.</th>
<th>Eval.</th>
<th>Office Use for POID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Comp</td>
<td>1-Op/Mgr</td>
<td>1-Mail</td>
<td>9903</td>
<td>098</td>
<td>789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-R</td>
<td>2-Sp</td>
<td>2-Tel</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Inac</td>
<td>3-Acct/Bkpr</td>
<td>3-Face-to-Face</td>
<td>4-GAPI</td>
<td>5-Web</td>
<td>6-e-mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Office Hold</td>
<td>4-Partner</td>
<td>7-Fax</td>
<td>8-CAPI</td>
<td>19-Other</td>
<td>19-Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optional Use
407  408

S/E Name