CERVID INDUSTRY STUDY 2014

OMB No.0579-0417 Approval Expires: 6/30/2017 Project Code: 930 QID: 143626

SMetaKey: 3655



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service 2150 Centre Ave, Bldg. B Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117

Please make corrections to name, address and ZIP Code, if necessary.

The information you provide will be used for statistical purposes only. In accordance with the Confidential Information Protection provisions of Title V, Subtitle A, Public Law 107–347 and other applicable Federal laws, your responses will be kept **confidential** and will not be disclosed in identifiable form to anyone other than employees or agents. By law, every employee and agent has taken an oath and is subject to a jail term, a fine, or both if he or she willfully discloses ANY identifiable information about you or your operation. Response is **voluntary**.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB number is 0579-0205. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

SECTION 1 - INVENTORY

			_
1.	From July 1, 2013 through Ju	ıne 30, 2014, were any	farmed cervids present on this operation, regardless of ownership?
	¹⁰⁰ ₁□ Yes - Continue	₃□ No - Go to	Section 9, on the back page
			defined as a group of farmed cervids being managed on one might consist of both a breeding facility and a hunting facility.
2.	From July 1, 2013 through Ju	une 30, 2014, did this o	peration have farmed cervids present at more than one location?
	¹⁰¹ ₁□ Yes	₃□ No	
	the purposes of this study, k/Red Deer/Sika Deer". All of	•	er, and elk/red deer/sika deer hybrids should be considered nould be considered "Deer".

3. On July 1, 2014, how many of the following types of farmed deer were on this operation, regardless of ownership? (Exclude Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids. These farmed cervids will be reported in Item 4. Please report by the age and gender breakdowns listed below. If a type of farmed cervid was not on this operation on July 1, 2014, check the "None" box.)

	Deer		1 year old and over		Under 1 year old		Total Inventory on	
	Deer	None	Males	Females	Males	Females	July 1, 2014	
a.	Mule deer	102 ₃	108	114	120	126	132	
b.	White-tailed deer	103 ₃	109	115	121	127	133	
C.	Black-tailed deer	104 ₃	110	116	122	128	134	
d.	Fallow deer	105 ₃	111	117	123	129	135	
e.	Reindeer	106 ₃	112	118	124	130	136	
f.	Other deer (exclude Elk/ Red deer/Sika deer and hybrids)	107	113	119	125	131	137	
(S _l	pecify: 1000)							

SECTION 1- INVENTORY

4. On July 1, 2014, how many of the following types of farmed elk, red deer, or sika deer were on this operation, regardless of ownership? (**Exclude deer reported in Item 3**. Please report by the age and gender breakdowns listed below. If a type of farmed cervid was not on this operation on July 1, 2014, check the "None" box.)

Elk/Red deer/Sika deer			1 year old and over		Under 1 year old		Total Inventory on	
	EIK/Red deer/Sika deer		Males	Females	Males	Females	July 1, 2014	
a.	Elk	138 ₃	142	146	150	154	158	
b.	Red deer	139 ₃	143	147	151	155	159	
C.	Sika deer	140 ₃	144	148	152	156	160	
d.	Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrid	141 3	145	149	153	157	161	

5. From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how many farmed cervids were permanently removed from this operation by the following methods? (**Exclude** farmed cervids that died from natural causes. These farmed cervids will be reported in Item 7.)

	Deer	Elk /Red Deer/Sika Deer
Method of Removal	(Include all farmed cervids except Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids.)	(Include Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids.)
a. Harvested for personal purposes	162	174
b. Hunter harvested on-site	163	175
c. Private sale for game farm hunting on another site	164	176
d. Private sale for breeding stock	165	177
e. Private sale for venison/meat	166	178
f. Directly to packer/slaughter	167	179
g. Traded or given away	168	180
h. Lost or stolen	169	181
i. Sold at markets or auctions	170	182
j. Sold to dealers/brokers	171	183
k. Other (Specify: 1001)	172	184
I. Total (Add Items 5a – 5k in each column)	173	185

		Deer	Elk/Red Deer/Sika Deer
6.	Of the (Item 5, row I) total farmed cervids that were permanently removed from this operation, how many were moved out-of-state?	186	187

SECTION 1- INVENTORY

7. From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how many farmed cervids died from the following natural causes?

		Deer	Elk/Red Deer/Sika Deer
	Cause of Death	(Include all farmed cervids except Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)	(Include Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)
a.	EHD (epizootic hemorrhagic disease)	188	198
b.	Respiratory illness/pneumonia	189	199
C.	Digestive illness	190	200
d.	Neurologic disorder	191	201
e.	Handling related	192	202
f.	Predation	193	203
g.	Trauma or fighting (not related to handling or predation)	194	204
h.	Lightning/weather related	195	205
i.	Other (Specify: 1002)	196	206
j.	Total (Add Items 7a – 7i in each column)	197	207

SECTION 2 - OPERATION MANAGEMENT

1. Which of the following are reasons why farmed cervids were kept on this operation from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014?

		Reasons			
	_		F	7.7.	
	a.	Game ranch/hunting on this operation	208 1		₃□ No
	b.	Raised to sell to other game ranch/hunting operation(s)	209 1		₃□ No
	C.	Breeding	210 1		₃□ №
	d.	Raised to sell for breeding on other operations	211 1		₃□ №
	e.	Meat production/slaughter	212 1	∃Yes	₃□ №
	f.	Antler/velvet production	213 1	☐ Yes	₃□ No
	g.	Exhibition	214 1	∃Yes	₃□ No
	h.	Taxidermy	215 1	∃Yes	₃□ No
	i.	Pets/pleasure	216 1	∃Yes	₃□ №
	j.	Boarding	217 1	∃Yes	₃□ №
	k.	Rehabilitation	218 1	∃Yes	₃□ №
	l.	Urine/scent collection	219 1	∃Yes	₃□ No
			220	_	
	m.	Other (Specify: 1003)	1 L	☐ Yes	₃□ №
				Ro	w letter
2.	Of	f the reasons in Item 1, which one was the primary reason why farmed cervids were kept	on this	Ro	w letter
2.		f the reasons in Item 1, which one was the primary reason why farmed cervids were kept beration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.)			w letter
	op	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.)			w letter
2.	op	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.)	2	221	
	op	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.) Does this operation keep the following herd management and business records? Sales/purchases	 2	221	w letter
	op Do	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.)	 2	221 S 3	
	op Do a. b.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.)		221 S 3	□ No
	op Do a. b. c.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.) pes this operation keep the following herd management and business records? Sales/purchases	² ₁ ☐ Ye ³ ₁ ☐ Ye ⁴ ₁ ☐ Ye	221 S 3 S 3	□ No □ No □ No
	op Do a. b. c.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.)	² ₁ □ Ye ³ ₁ □ Ye ⁴ ₁ □ Ye	221 S 3 S 3	□ No
	op Do a. b. c.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.) pes this operation keep the following herd management and business records? Sales/purchases	² ₁ ☐ Ye ³ ₁ ☐ Ye ⁴ ₁ ☐ Ye	221 S 3 S 3 S 3	□ No □ No □ No
	Do a. b. c.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.) pes this operation keep the following herd management and business records? Sales/purchases	2 1 Ye 3 1 Ye 4 1 Ye 5 1 Ye	221 S 3 S 3 S 3	□ No □ No □ No □ No
3.	op Do a. b. c. d.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.) pes this operation keep the following herd management and business records? Sales/purchases	2	221 S 3 S 3 S 3	□ No □ No □ No □ No
3.	op Do a. b. c. d.	peration? (Enter the row letter from Item 1.) pes this operation keep the following herd management and business records? Sales/purchases Breeding Health. Feed. 22 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2	221 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3	□ No □ No □ No □ No

SECTION 2 - OPERATION MANAGEMENT

6.	Wł 229	nich of the following best describes the plans for this operation's herd over the next of the following best describes the plans for this operation's herd over the next of the following of the following for this operation is described by a formal formal following for the following formal	t year	? (Check on	e)
7.	ls t	his operation planning to add any new species of farmed cervids to its herd in the	next y	/ear?	
	230	yes – Continue 3 □ No – Go to Item 9			
8.	Wł	nich species will be added? (Specify: 1004)
9.		July 1, 2014, what percent of this operation's farmed cervid inventory had some to mal identification?			Percent 231
10		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, did any of this operation's farmed cervids ividual animal identification?	have t	he following	types of
	a.	Plastic ear tags	232	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	b.	Metal ear tags	233	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	c.	Electronic ear tags	234	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	d.	Electronic implant/microchip	235	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	e.	Tattoo/freeze brand	236	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	f.	Other (Specify: 1005)	237	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
1.	On	SECTION 3 - HANDLING FACILITIES AND METH July 1, 2014, were the following facilities or structures for handling or processing			esent on this
	·	eration?	238		
	a.	Pens or other enclosures for sorting, handling, etc	239	₁ ☐ Yes	3 ☐ No
	b.	Alley connecting multiple pens for sorting, handling, etc	240	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ \square No
	C.	Tunnels such as enclosed passageways	241	₁ ☐ Yes	3 ☐ No
	d.	Shading/subdued lighting	242	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ \square No
	e.	Drop chute		₁ ☐ Yes	3 ☐ No
	f.	Tilt table	243	₁ ☐ Yes	3 🗆 No
	g.	Guillotine gates	244	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ \square No
	h.	Swing gates	245	₁ ☐ Yes	3 🗆 No
	i.	Cable and pulley system to operate gates remotely	246	₁ ☐ Yes	3 🗆 No
	j.	Feeders in handling pens that can be operated remotely	247	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
2.		ere this operation's handling facilities designed specifically for handling farmed vids?	248	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No

SECTION 3 - HANDLING FACILITIES AND METHODS

			Time	S
3.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how many times did this operation handle a farmed cervic an individual for any reason, such as during a veterinary exam for a possible illness or treatment	:?	
			Time	S
4.	се	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how many times did this operation handle multiple farmed rvids as a group of 2 or more for any reason, such as bringing multiple cervids into a single closure for vaccinations or ear tagging?		
	[If I	tem 3 and Item 4 are both ZERO, go to Section 4; otherwise, continue.]		
5.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were any farmed cervids on this operation handled for the asons?	following	
	a.	Treatment/physical examination. 251	3 □ No	
	b.	Vaccination	3 □ No	
	c.	Testing/sampling	3 □ No	
	d.	Contraception. 254	3 □ No	
	e.	Artificial insemination.	3 □ No	
	f.	Assisted fawning/calving	3 □ No	
	g.	Movement of animals	3 □ No	
	h.	Escape recovery	3 □ No	
	i.	Euthanasia 1 Yes	3 □ No	
	j.	Other (Specify: 1006)	3 □ No	
6.	we	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how often ere farmed cervids sorted, such as by gender or age, or to actually handling them?	3 ☐ Never	
7.	20	d any of the people who handled farmed cervids on this operation from July 1, 13 through June 30, 2014 receive any handler training (including on-the-job ining)?	₃ □ No	
8.	Fre	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following means ever used to handle farmed cer eration?	vids on this	
	a.	Direct chemical restraint, such as a syringe injection	з□ No	
	b.	Remote chemical restraint, such as darting	₃ □ No	
	c.	Gas anesthesia, such as a mask 1 Yes	₃ □ No	

SECTION 4 - BIOSECURITY

1.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were ken to pasture that is not part of this operation		returned to this operation, such as
	266	1 ☐ Yes - Continue 3 ☐ No - Go to It	em 3	
2.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were llowing reasons?	any farmed cervids moved off the o	peration and returned for the
	a.	Breeding males sent to another operation for	or breeding purposes and returned	\dots 1 \square Yes $_3$ \square No
	b.	Breeding females sent to another operation	for breeding purposes and returned	268 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
	c.	Farmed cervids moved off-site to pasture on	another operation and returned	1
	d.	Other (Specify: 1007)	1
3.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were ermanently or temporarily?		nto this operation, either
4.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how llowing sources?	many farmed cervids were brought	onto this operation from the
			Deer	Elk /Red Deer/Sika Deer
		Source of Farmed Cervids	(Include all farmed cervids except Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)	(Include Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)
	a.	Private sale	272	278
	b.	Trade	273	279
	c.	Auction	274	280
	d.	Dealer	275	281
	e.	Other (Specify: 1008)	276	282
	f.	Total (Add Items 4a – 4e)	277	283
			Deer	Elk/Red Deer/Sika Deer
5.	br	the (Item 4, row f) total cervids that were ought onto this operation, how many were stained from an out-of-state source?	284	285
6.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how rvids brought onto this operation be:	often did this operation require that	the herd of origin for the farmed
	a.	Tuberculosis (TB) Accredited?	286 ₁ □ Al	lways $_2$ \square Sometimes $_3$ \square Never
	b.	Enrolled in a chronic wasting disease (CWD program?	1 ∐ Al	lways ₂☐ Sometimes ₃☐ Never
	c.	Certified Brucellosis-Free?	288 ₁ □ Al	lways ₂☐ Sometimes ₃☐ Never
		next question, <u>new additions</u> include farn that were brought in temporarily for bree		
	Fr	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, how infinements) before introduction into this oper	often were new additions isolated (
	28		etimes - Continue	3 ☐ Never - Go to Item 9

SECTION 4 - BIOSECURITY

		Days
8. How many days were new additions typically isolated on the	this operation?	290
 From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the follow inside this operation's perimeter fence or near the perime 	ving non-farmed cervids and	
Wild Animals	Inside Perimeter Fence	Near Perimeter Fence Line
a. White-tailed deer	²⁹¹ ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	²⁹⁹ ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
b. Mule deer	²⁹² ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	300 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
c. Elk	293 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	301 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No
d. Other wild cervids (Specify: 1009)	1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No	302 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
e. Bighorn sheep	²⁹⁵ ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	303 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
f. Other wild sheep	²⁹⁶ ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	304 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
g. Wild goats	²⁹⁷ ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	305 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
h. Feral Swine	²⁹⁸ ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No	306 ₁ ☐ Yes ₃ ☐ No
10. From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the follow operation's farmed cervids and exclude wild cervids and of		
Fence type	Used as Perimeter Fence	Height of Fence (Feet)
Fence type a. Woven wire	Used as Perimeter Fence 307 1 □ Yes 3 □ No	_
	307	(Feet)
a. Woven wire	307 1 ☐ Yes 3 ☐ No 308	(Feet)
a. Woven wire b. Wood	307 1 Yes 3 No 308 1 Yes 3 No 309	(Feet) 314 315
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link d. High-tensile wire	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316 317
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link d. High-tensile wire e. Electric	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316 317 318
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link d. High-tensile wire e. Electric f. Barbed wire	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316 317 318 319
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link d. High-tensile wire e. Electric f. Barbed wire g. Other (Specify: 1010) 11. From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, did this operation	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316 317 318 319 320
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link d. High-tensile wire e. Electric f. Barbed wire g. Other (Specify: 1010	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 ad 321 1 Yes 3 No es 3 No 2 Don't Know
a. Woven wire b. Wood c. Chain link d. High-tensile wire e. Electric f. Barbed wire g. Other (Specify: 1010	307 1	(Feet) 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 ad 321 1 Yes 3 No es 3 No 2 Don't Know eration within 1 mile of the

SECTION 5 - REPRODUCTION

		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were any farmed cervids bred while on this opera	ation	?		
	32	1 Yes - Continue 3 No - Go to Section 6				
2.	Fr	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following breeding practices used on th		eratio	on?	
	a.	Single male placed with multiple females	325	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ №
	b.	Single male placed with single female	326	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ №
	c.	Multiple males placed with multiple females	327	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ №
	d.	Artificial insemination	328	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ №
	e.	Embryo transfer	329	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ No
3.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were males temporarily brought onto this peration from another operation for breeding purposes?	330	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ No
4.		om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were females temporarily brought onto this peration from another operation for breeding purposes?	331	1 🗆	Yes	₃ □ No
						Percent
5.		f this operation's female farmed cervids that were bred during the last breeding season, we recent gave birth to a live fawn or calf?			332	
						Percent
^	0.6				333	
6.		the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, ercent survived and were successfully weaned?				
ri£	lton					
LII	ILEII	m 6 is 100%, go to Item 8; otherwise, continue]				
7.	Of	f the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, afore weaning due to:	did a	any fa	wns c	or calves die
_	Of	the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above,	did a	•		or calves die ₃ □ No
_	Of be	f the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, afore weaning due to:		1 🗆	Yes	
_	Of be	the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, efore weaning due to: Diarrhea/scours?	334	1	Yes	3 □ No 3 □ No
_	Of be a. b.	f the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, efore weaning due to: Diarrhea/scours?	334 335	1	Yes Yes	3 □ No 3 □ No
_	Of be	f the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, efore weaning due to: Diarrhea/scours?	334 335 336	1	Yes Yes Yes	3 ☐ No 3 ☐ No 3 ☐ No
_	Of be a. b. c. d.	f the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, efore weaning due to: Diarrhea/scours?	334 335 336 337	1	Yes Yes Yes	3 No 3 No 3 No 3 No 3 No 3 No
_	Off bee a. b. c. d. e. f. W	f the fawns and calves that were born to the female farmed cervids in Item 5 above, efore weaning due to: Diarrhea/scours?	334 335 336 337 338 339	1	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	3 No

SECTION 5 - REPRODUCTION

9. H	low many fawns and calves born from the last br	eeding season or	n this operation i	received:	
а	. Colostrum from the mother (either nursing or by h	and)?	341 ₁ □ M	lost 2 Some	e ₃□ None
u	,	,	342		
b	Colostrum from other deer/elk on the operation?.		1 □ M	lost ₂ ⊔ Som	e 3 🗆 None
С	Colostrum from a goat or a sheep?			lost 2 Som	e ₃□ None
d	Colostrum from a cow?		. 344 . 1 □ M	lost 2 D Some	e ₃□ None
е	Commercial colostrum product?		345 ₁ □ M	lost 2 Som	e ₃□ None
f.	Commercial milk replacer product?		. 346 ₁ □ M	lost 2 Som	e ₃□ None
					Hours
	How many hours following birth did newborn fawns a from any source)?			Ot oolooti aiii	347
	SECTION 6 - HE	EALTH MANAC	SEMENT		
1. H	How familiar are you with the following diseases in fa	rmed cervids? (Ch	neck one box pe	r row)	
	Diseases	Not familiar	Slightly familiar	Moderately familiar	Very familiar
а	. Tuberculosis (TB) 34	8 1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
b	. Chronic wasting disease (CWD) 34	9 1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
С	Epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD) 35	0 1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
d	. Malignant catarrhal fever (MCF) 35	_	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
е	Clostridial diseases 35	1	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
f.	Brucellosis 35	3 1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
	From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, did this op liseases?	eration vaccinate	any farmed cerv	rids against the fo	ollowing
а	. Clostridium perfringens Type A (diarrhea)			³⁵⁴ ₁ □ Yes	₃ □ No
b	. Clostridium perfringens Type C and D (overeating	, enterotoxemia).		³⁵⁵ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
С	Tetanus			³⁵⁶ ₁ □ Yes	₃ □ №
d	Epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD)			³⁵⁷ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
е	Bluetongue			³⁵⁸ ₁ □ Yes	₃ □ No
f.	Fusobacterium necrophorum (lumpy jaw)			³⁵⁹ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
g	. Pasteurella multocida (pneumonia)			³⁶⁰ ₁ □ Yes	₃ □ No
h	. Trueperella pyogenes (Actinomyces, Corynebacte	erium, Arcanobact	erium)	³⁶¹ ₁ □ Yes	3 □ No
i	Other (Specify: 1013)	:	³⁶² ₁ □ Yes	з □ №

SECTION 6 - HEALTH MANAGEMENT

ა .	363	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, did a veterina 1 □ Yes - Continue 3 □ No - Go to Item 5	anan visit tilis laimeu	cervia operation	Tion dily reason	· ·
4.	Di	d the veterinarian visit this farmed cervid operation fo	r the following reason	is?		
	a.	Medical treatment, for illness or injury			364 ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	b.	Consultation, such as nutrition or reproduction advice	e		365 ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	c.	Vaccinations			366 ₁ ☐ Yes 367	₃ □ No
	d.	Health certificate issuance			₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
	e.	Artificial insemination			₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	f.	CWD sampling			₁ ☐ Yes	₃ ☐ No
	g.	TB testing			₁ ☐ Yes	3 □ No
	h.	Brucellosis testing.			₁ ☐ Yes 372 ₁ ☐ Yes	3 □ No
	i. :	Tranquilization/handling			1 □ Yes	3 □ No
	j.	Other (Specify: 1014			374 ₁ ☐ Yes	3 □ No
	k.	Other (Specify.)	, 🗀 . 00	3 🗀 110
5.	Fr	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following control operation's herd, and if so, how many heat	owing conditions pres	ent (suspected c		
5.	Fr	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the folk	owing conditions pres	ent (suspected of that condition?		this
5.	Fr	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following the control of the cont	owing conditions pres ad were affected with Preser	ent (suspected of that condition?	or confirmed) in	this
5.	Fr fai	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following the servid operation's herd, and if so, how many here conditions	owing conditions pres ad were affected with Preser (suspected or	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed)	or confirmed) in Number A (hea	this
5.	Fr fai	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many here conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea Necrobacillosis (lumpy jaw)	owing conditions presented with Preser (suspected or 1 and 1	tent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed)	Number A (hea	this
5.	Fr fai a.	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the followant cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many here conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea	owing conditions present of the property of th	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed) 3 □ No	Number A (hea 385	this
5.	a. b.	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the folkermed cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many heat Conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea Necrobacillosis (lumpy jaw) Clostridial diseases (blackleg, malignant edema,	pwing conditions present and were affected with Present (suspected or 375	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed) 3 □ No 3 □ No	Number A (hea 385 386 387 388 389	this
5.	a. b. c.	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many here conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea Necrobacillosis (lumpy jaw) Clostridial diseases (blackleg, malignant edema, tetanus, enterotoxemia)	owing conditions presented with Preser (suspected or 375	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed) 3 □ No 3 □ No 3 □ No 3 □ No	Number A (hea 385 386 387 388 389 390	this
5.	a. b. c. d.	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the followant cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many here Conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea Necrobacillosis (lumpy jaw) Clostridial diseases (blackleg, malignant edema, tetanus, enterotoxemia) Abscesses	pwing conditions present with the search with	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed) 3 No 3 No 3 No 3 No 3 No	or confirmed) in Number A (hea 385 386 387 388 389 390 391	this
5.	a. b. c. d.	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the following cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many here. Conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea Necrobacillosis (lumpy jaw) Clostridial diseases (blackleg, malignant edema, tetanus, enterotoxemia) Abscesses Internal parasites, for example worms	owing conditions present and were affected with Present (suspected or 1) 375	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed) 3 No	Number A (hea 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392	this
5.	a. b. c. d. f.	om July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were the followant cervid operation's herd, and if so, how many here Conditions Pneumonia Diarrhea Necrobacillosis (lumpy jaw) Clostridial diseases (blackleg, malignant edema, tetanus, enterotoxemia) Abscesses Internal parasites, for example worms External parasites	owing conditions present when the present (suspected or suspected or s	ent (suspected of that condition? nce confirmed) 3 No 3 No	or confirmed) in Number A (hea 385 386 387 388 389 390 391	this

SECTION 6 - HEALTH MANAGEMENT

		Yes	₃ □ №
ho	oves, oral ulcers/sores, or scars on the rumen? (Include hunter-killed farmed cervids)	have	sloughing
		□ Do	n't Know
		eck or	ne)
Fro		even	t EHD?
a.	7 pp. ca. co. c. modelio de la	Yes	₃ □ №
b.	Application of insecticide directly on farmed cervids	Yes	з □ No
C.	Control of midge breeding sites, such as elimination of wet soil around water sources	Yes	3 □ No
d.	Use of other midge control methods (Specify: 1015)	Yes	3 □ No
403	$_1$ \square Yes - Continue $_3$ \square No - Go to Section 7 $_2$ \square Don't Know - Go to Section 7		
		Yes	₃ □ №
Du	iring the most recent occurrence of EHD on this operation, what percent of farmed cervids showed	signs	of EHD?
		F	Percent
a.	Deer (Include all farmed cervids except Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)	405	
b.	Elk, Red deer, Sika deer (Include Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)	406	
Du	uring the most recent occurrence of EHD on this operation, what percent of farmed cervids died from	n EHD)?
		F	Percent
a.	Deer (Include all farmed cervids except Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)	407	
b.	Elk, Red deer, Sika deer (Include Elk, Red deer, Sika deer, and Elk/Red deer/Sika deer hybrids)	408	
	From the state of	From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were any dead farmed cervids on this operation observed to hooves, oral ulcers/sores, or scars on the rumen? (Include hunter-killed farmed cervids) 10	From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were any dead farmed cervids on this operation observed to have hooves, oral ulcers/sores, or scars on the rumen? (Include hunter-killed farmed cervids) 396 Yes No No No farmed cervids died In the last 5 years, has epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD) been present in wildlife within 10 miles of this farmed cervid operation's herd? How concerned are you about the potential transmission of EHD to your farmed cervid operation? (Check or 398 Not concerned Slightly concerned Not concer

SECTION 7 – DISEASE TESTING PRACTICES

1.	How concerned are you about the potential transmission of chronic wasting disease (CWD) to your far operation? (Check one) 409 1 Not concerned 2 Slightly concerned 3 Moderately concerned 4 Very concerned	med cervid
2.	From July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, were any of this operation's farmed cervids tested for CWD	?
	$_{1}$ \square Yes - Continue $_{_{3}}$ \square No - Go to Item 9	
		Percent
3.	Of all adult (12 months old and above) farmed cervids that died from natural causes from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, what percent were tested for CWD?	411
4.	Were any of this operation's farmed cervids slaughtered from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014?	
	$_{1}$ \square Yes - Continue $_{3}$ \square No - Go to Item 6	
		Percent
5.	Of the farmed cervids that were slaughtered from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, what percent were tested for CWD?	413
6.	Were any of this operation's farmed cervids hunter killed on this operation from July 1, 2013 through J	upo 20, 20142
Ο.	1 ☐ Yes - Continue 3 ☐ No - Go to Item 8	une 50, 2014:
		Percent
7.	Of the farmed cervids that were hunter killed on this operation from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, what percent were tested for CWD?	415
		Years
		416
8.	How many years has this operation tested its farmed cervids for CWD?	
9.	Does this operation participate in a CWD herd certification program?	
	417 $_{1}$ Yes - Continue $_{3}$ \square No - Go to Item 11	
		Year
		418
10	. What year did this operation begin participating in a CWD herd certification program?	
11	. How familiar are you with the Dual Path Platform (DPP) blood test for tuberculosis testing in farmed ce (Check one)	ervids?
	419 1 □ Not familiar 2 □ Slightly familiar 3 □ Moderately familiar 4 □ Very familiar	
12.	. How familiar are you with the TB Accreditation Program for farmed cervid herds? (Check one)	
	420 ₁ ☐ Not familiar 2 ☐ Slightly familiar 3 ☐ Moderately familiar 4 ☐ Very familiar	

SECTION 7- DISEASE TESTING PRACTICES

13.	Ac	a July 1, 2014, was this operation's farmed cervid herd a TB Accredited Herd, or in the pro- credited Herd?	ocess of becomin	g a TB
	42 ⁻	1 1 ☐ Yes - Go to Item 15 3 ☐ No - Continue		
14.	На	s this operation's farmed cervid herd not become a TB Accredited Herd because:		
;	a.	TB tests are not reliable?	422 ₁ ☐ Yes 423	3 □ No
	b.	It's too expensive to test?	₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
	c.	There's not enough time to test?	⁴²⁴ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
	d.	It hasn't been recommended by veterinarian?	⁴²⁵ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
	e.	TB is not a concern to this operation?	⁴²⁶ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
1	f.	Other? (Specify: 1016)	⁴²⁷ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
15.	In t	the last 5 years, were any farmed cervids on this operation tested for TB?		
	428	$_1$ \square Yes - Continue $_3$ \square No - Go to Item 20		
16.	In t	the last 5 years, were the following types of TB tests used for any farmed cervids on this	operation?	
;	a.	Tuberculin skin tests (TB skin test)	⁴²⁹ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
	b.	Blood tests (TB Stat Pack or DPP)	⁴³⁰ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
	43	hen was the most recent TB test for any farmed cervids on this operation? (Check one) 1		
18.		ring the most recent TB test, did this operation test its: (Check one) 2 1 □ Entire herd?		
		2 ☐ Specific farmed cervids only (Specify: 1017)
19.	Wł	nen this operation's farmed cervids were last tested for TB, were they tested for the follow		
;	a.	Herd accreditation	⁴³³ ₁ ☐ Yes	3 □ No
1	b.	Movement requirement	⁴³⁴ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
(C.	Show or exhibition requirement	⁴³⁵ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
•	d.	State requirement	⁴³⁶ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
(e.	Veterinarian (nonregulatory, private practitioner) recommendation	⁴³⁷ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No
1	f.	Sale requirement	⁴³⁸ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ №
,	g.	Other (Specify: 1018)	⁴³⁹ ₁ ☐ Yes	₃ □ No

SECTION 7 - DISEASE TESTING PRACTICES

20		n July 1, 2014, was this operation's farmed cere ocess of becoming a Brucellosis-Free Herd?				□ Yes	3 □ No
21	. In	the last 5 years, were any farmed cervids on the	nis operation teste	ed for brucellosis?			
	44	1 1 ☐ Yes - Continue 3 ☐ No - Go to Item :	23				
		7 I Too Committee	20				
22	. WI	hen this operation's farmed cervids were last to	ested for brucellos	is, were they teste	_	g purpos	ses?
	a.	Movement requirement] Yes	₃ □ №
	b.	Show or exhibition requirement] Yes	₃ □ №
	c.	Testing required for sale			444 1 [] Yes	₃ □ №
	d.	Herd certification for Brucellosis-Free herd sta	atus		445 ₁ [] Yes	₃ □ №
	e.	State requirement			446 1 [] Yes	₃ □ №
	f.	Veterinarian (nonregulatory, private practition			447] Yes	3 □ No
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		448		
	g.	Other (Specify: 1019)	1 ∟] Yes	₃ □ No
23		w concerned are you with the following issues		elated to testing th	is operation's farn	ned cer	vids for
	dis	seases such as TB or brucellosis? (Check one	box per row)			1	
		Issues and Challenges	Not concerned	Slightly concerned	Moderately concerned		Very cerned
	a.	Expense of test	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆		4 🔲
	b.	Farmed cervid injuries or deaths from 450					
		handling	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	,	4 🗆
	C.	Reliability of test, such as false positive test results 451	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆		4 🗆
	d.	Amount of time to test	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆		4 🔲
	е.	Lack of facilities to restrain farmed 453					
		cervids for testing	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆		4 🗆
24	. In	the last 5 years, has this operation had any far	med cervids that:				
	a.	Died as a direct result of handling for TB or br	rucellosis disease	testing?	454 1 [] Yes	₃ □ No
	b.	Were injured as a result of handling for TB or		· ·	455] Yes	₃
	υ.	,		-	1	1 163	3 LI 110
	_		ION 8 - OUTR				
1.	Do	you belong to the following farmed cervid or v			450		
	a.	North American Elk Breeders Association					3 □ No —
	b.	North American Deer Farmers Association					₃ ☐ No
	C.	Reindeer Owners and Breeders Association.					₃ □ No —
	d.	Exotic Wildlife Association					₃ □ No
	e.	American Cervid Alliance			460 ₁ 🗌	Yes	₃ □ №
	f.	State or local association			461 ₁		₃ □ №

SECTION 8 - OUTREACH

2. How important to you are the following farmed cervid health information sources? (Check one box per row)

Sources		Not important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important
a. Producer meetings	463	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
b. Other producers - individually	464	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
c. Internet	465	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
d. Magazines/newsletters	466	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
e. University extension	467	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆
f. Veterinarians	468	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆
g. Feed and drug salespeople	469	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
h. Other (Specify: 1021	_) 470	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆

a. Producer meetings	463	1 📙	2 📙	3 ∐	4 📙
b. Other producers - individually	464	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆
c. Internet	465	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆
d. Magazines/newsletters	466	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🗆	4 🗆
e. University extension	467	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
f. Veterinarians	468	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
g. Feed and drug salespeople	469	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
h. Other (Specify: 1021)	470	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗆
					Minutes
3. How many minutes did it take you to comp	lete th	is survey?			471
COMMENTS:					
S	ECTI	ON 9 - CONCL	.USION		
Survey results can be found by accessing htt	p://ww\	w.aphis.usda.gov/	nahms/		
	p://ww\	w.aphis.usda.gov/	nahms/	9990	□ Yes ₃□ No
Survey results can be found by accessing htt	p://ww\	w.aphis.usda.gov/	nahms/	9990 1	□ Yes ₃ □ No

This completes the survey. Thank you for your help.

Phone:

OFFICE USE ONLY

Response		Respond	ent	Mode		Enum.	Eval.	Change
1-Comp 2-R 3-Inac 4-Office Hold 5-R - Est 6-Inac - Est 7-Off Hold - Est	9901	1-Op/Mgr 2-Sp 3-Acct/Bkpr 4-Partner 9-Oth	9902	1-Mail 2-Tel 3-Face-to-Face 4-CATI 5-Web 6-e-mail 7-Fax 8-CAPI 19-Other	9903	9998	9900 R. Unit 9921	9985

Respondent Name:

S/E Name

	Office Use	for POID	
9989			
		- -	
	Optiona	l Use	
9907	9908	9906	9916
9907	9908	9906	9916

Date: