Goals of an FMD Response

The goals of an FMD response are to (1) detect, control, and contain FMD in animals as quickly as possible; (2) eradicate FMD using strategies that seek to protect public health and the environment, and stabilize animal agriculture, the food supply, and the economy; and (3) provide science- and risk-based approaches and systems to facilitate continuity of business for non-infected animals and non-contaminated animal products.

Achieving these three goals will allow individual livestock facilities, States, Tribes, regions, and industries to resume normal production as quickly as possible. They will also allow the United States to regain disease-free status without the response effort causing more disruption and damage than the disease outbreak itself.

Three Epidemiological Principles of Response

There are three key epidemiological principles that will form the foundation of any FMD response effort.

1. Prevent contact between FMD virus (FMDV) and susceptible animals.
2. Stop the production of FMDV in infected or exposed animals.
3. Increase the disease resistance of susceptible animals to FMDV or reduce the shedding of FMDV in infected or exposed animals.

Response Strategies

Traditionally, there are five strategies for the control and eradication of FMD in domestic livestock following the detection of an outbreak, however these don’t always mean the same thing to all stakeholders. To avoid miscommunication, here are the definitions and descriptions of the response strategies that are used in the FMD Response Plan.

**Stamping-Out | Depopulation of clinically affected and in-contact susceptible animals.**

This has been a commonly used approach in past FMD outbreaks which occurred in countries that were previously free of FMD. This strategy is most appropriate if the outbreak is contained to a jurisdictional area or a region in which FMD can be readily contained and further dissemination of the virus is unlikely.

**Stamping-Out Modified with Emergency Vaccination to Kill | Depopulation of clinically affected and in-contact susceptible animals and vaccination of at-risk animals, with subsequent depopulation and disposal of vaccinated animals. Depopulation and disposal of vaccinated animals may be delayed until logistically feasible, as determined by Incident Command and the VS Deputy Administrator (U.S. Chief Veterinary Officer).**

This is a suppressive emergency vaccination strategy, where the goal is to suppress virus replication in high-risk susceptible animals by emergency vaccination and then depopulating vaccinees at a later date. This is the targeted vaccination of high-risk susceptible animals.

**Stamping-Out Modified with Emergency Vaccination to Slaughter | Depopulation of clinically affected and in-contact susceptible animals and vaccination of at-risk animals, with slaughter and processing of vaccinated animals, if animals are eligible for slaughter under USDA Food Safety and Inspection Service authority and rules and/or State and Tribal authority and rules.**

This is a suppressive emergency vaccination strategy, where the goal is to suppress virus replication in high-risk susceptible animals by using emergency vaccination and then slaughtering vaccinees at a later date. This is the targeted vaccination of high-risk susceptible animals.

**Stamping-Out Modified with Emergency Vaccination to Live | Depopulation of clinically affected and in-contact susceptible animals and vaccination of at-risk animals, without subsequent depopulation of vaccinated animals. Vaccinated animals intended for breeding, slaughter, or other purposes live out their useful lives.**

This is a protective emergency vaccination strategy, where the goal is to protect susceptible animals from infection using emergency vaccination with the deliberate intent to maintain vaccinees for the duration of their usefulness. This is a targeted vaccination of non-infected animals, and may include vaccination of valuable genetic stock, long-lived production animals, or areas with high population density.

**Emergency Vaccination to Live without Stamping-Out | Vaccination used without depopulation of infected animals or subsequent depopulation or slaughter of vaccinated animals.**

This is a protective emergency vaccination strategy, where the goal is to protect susceptible animals. This strategy is reserved for an FMD outbreak in which FMD is widely disseminated across the United States.
FMD Emergency Vaccination: Will We Use Vaccine?

As described in the *FMD Response Plan*, the use of emergency vaccination strategies may be considered in an FMD outbreak. An emergency vaccination strategy or strategies can help to achieve the goals of an FMD response effort, based on the three epidemiological principles of response listed above. In order to be effective, an FMD vaccine must be matched to a specific serotype and ideally with the field strain causing the outbreak. There are many challenges to successfully employing an FMD emergency vaccination strategy, but there may also be many benefits. An FMD response may use one or more strategies to control, contain, and ultimately eradicate FMD in domestic animals. The use of emergency vaccination will be determined by the Unified Incident Command, the State Animal Health Official(s), and the Veterinary Services Deputy Administrator (U.S. Chief Veterinary Officer).

Factors Influencing FMD Response Strategies

Many factors will be considered when determining whether a particular response strategy would be appropriate and advantageous in responding to an FMD outbreak. No factor will independently dictate a response strategy, or a decision to employ emergency vaccination; there are many factors that will influence the decision of whether and how to vaccinate. Such factors will include:

- FMD vaccine availability,
- consequences of the outbreak,
- acceptance of response strategy or strategies,
- scale of the outbreak,
- rate of outbreak spread,
- veterinary countermeasures available,
- animal management capabilities, and
- resources available to implement response strategies.

What Else Will Occur During an FMD Response?

Critical activities and tools must be implemented to execute and support any response strategy. These activities and tools must support a science- and risk-based approach that protects public health, animal health, the environment, and stabilizes animal agriculture and the economy. Some of the critical activities that will be employed are as follows:

- Rapid appraisal and indemnity process for producers.
- Swift imposition of effective quarantine and movement controls.
- Rapid diagnostics and reporting.
- Epidemiological investigation and tracing.
- Increased surveillance.
- Continuity of business measures for non-infected premises and non-contaminated animal products.
- Biosecurity measures.
- Mass depopulation and euthanasia (as response strategy indicates).
- Effective and appropriate disposal procedures.
- Cleaning and disinfection measures.
- Emergency vaccination (as the response strategy indicates).

Coordinated Public Awareness Campaign

Regardless of the response strategy selected, a public awareness campaign will be coordinated. Effective communication from APHIS Legislative and Public Affairs (LPA) during an FMD outbreak is maintained by establishing a network of stakeholders prior to an outbreak, briefing them on the outbreak, and assuring consumers that the USDA is responding quickly and decisively to eradicate the virus and protect livestock herds. This supports the response by widely disseminating key communication messages and

- engaging and leveraging Federal, State, Tribal, local, and stakeholder relationships to provide unified public messages for local, national, and international audiences;
- addressing the issues/concerns relating to food safety, public health, the environment, and animal welfare; and
- addressing issues and concerns related to interstate commerce, continuity of business, and international trade.
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