CHAPTER 2.3.4.  
  
**Infection with HPR-Deleted or HPR0   
infectious salmon anaemia virus**

**1. Scope**

Infection with infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV) means infection with the pathogenic agent highly polymorphic region (HPR)-deleted ISAV, or the non-pathogenic HPR0 (non-deleted HPR) ISAV of the Genus *Isavirus* and Family *Orthomyxoviridae*.

HPR-deleted ISAV may cause disease in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), which ~~is~~ may progress to a generalised and lethal condition characterised by severe anaemia, and variable haemorrhages and necrosis in several organs.

Detection of HPR0 ISAV has ~~never~~ not been associated with clinical signs of disease in Atlantic salmon (Christiansen *et al.,* 2011). A link between non-pathogenic HPR0 ISAV and pathogenic HPR-deleted ISAV has been suggested, with some disease outbreaks potentially occurring as a result of the emergence of HPR-deleted ISAV from HPR0 ISAV (Cardenas *et al.,* 2014; Christiansen *et al.,* 2017; Cunningham *et al*., 2002; Gagne & Leblanc, 2017; Mjaaland, *et al*., 2002).

**2. Disease information**

**2.1. Agent factors**

**2.1.1. Aetiological agent**

The morphological, physicochemical and genetic properties of ISAV are consistent with those of the *Orthomyxoviridae,* and ISAV has been classified as the type species of the genus *Isavirus* (Kawaoka *et al*., 2005)within this virus family.

ISAV is an enveloped virus, demonstrating a pleomorphic icosahedral shape, 100–130 nm in diameter, with mushroom shaped surface projections approximately 10 nm long (Falk *et al*., 1997). However, there are studies that indicate greater morphological heterogeneity in cells of epithelial origin (Ramirez & Marshall, 2018). ~~ISAV is an enveloped virus, 100–130 nm in diameter, however, there are studies that indicate greater size heterogeneity in cells of epithelial origin (Ramirez & Marshall, 2018).~~ The virus genome consists of eight single-stranded RNA segments with negative polarity (~~Dannevig~~ *~~et al.,~~* ~~1995~~ Mjaaland *et al*., 1997). The virus has haemagglutinating, receptor-destroying and fusion activity (Falk *et al*., 1997; Mjaaland *et al*., 1997~~; Rimstad~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2011~~).

~~The morphological, physiochemical and genetic properties of ISAV are consistent with those of the~~ *~~Orthomyxoviridae,~~* ~~and ISAV has been classified as the type species of the genus~~ *~~Isavirus~~* ~~(Kawaoka~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2005)~~~~within this virus family.~~ The nucleotide sequences of all eight genome segments, encoding at least ten proteins, have been described (Clouthier *et al.,* 2002; Rimstad *et al*., 2011), including the 3’ and 5’ non-coding sequences (Kulshreshtha *et al.,* 2010; Sandvik *et al.,* 2000). Four major structural proteins have been identified, including a 68 kDa nucleoprotein, a 22 kDa matrix protein, a 42 kDa haemagglutinin-esterase (HE) protein responsible for receptor-binding and receptor-destroying activity, and a 50 kDa surface glycoprotein with putative fusion (F) activity, encoded by genome segments 3, 8, 6 and 5, respectively. Segment 1, 2, and 4 encode the viral polymerases PB2, PB1 and PA. The two smallest genomic segments, segments 7 and 8, each contain two open reading frames (ORF). The ORF1 of segment 7 encodes a protein with type I interferon antagonistic properties, while ORF2 has been suggested to encode a nuclear export protein (NEP: Ramly *et al.*, 2013). The smaller ORF1 of segment 8 encodes the matrix protein, while the larger ORF2 encodes an RNA-binding structural protein also with type I interferon antagonistic properties, and also interact with the host RNAi system (Garcia-Rosado *et al.,* 2008; Thukral *et al.,* 2018).

~~Sequence analysis of various gene segments has revealed differences between isolates both within and between defined geographical areas. According to sequence differences in a partial sequence of segment 6, two groups have been defined: one designated as a European clade and one designated as a North American clade (Gagne & LeBlanc, 2017).~~ In the HE gene, a small HPR near the transmembrane

domain has been identified. This region is characterised by the presence of gaps rather than single-nucleotide substitutions (Cunningham *et al*., 2002; Mjaaland *et al*., 2002). A full-length gene (HPR0) has been suggested to represent a precursor from which all ISAV HPR-deleted (pathogenic) variants of ISAV originate. The presence of non-pathogenic HPR0 ISAV genome has been reported in both apparently healthy wild and farmed Atlantic salmon.~~, but has not been detected in~~ Fish with clinical disease and pathological signs consistent with ISA are infected ~~infection~~ with HPR-deleted ISAV (Christiansen *et al*., 2011; Cunningham *et al*., 2002; Markussen *et al*., 2008~~; McBeath~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2009~~). A mixed infection with HPR-deleted and HPR0 ISAV variants has been reported in the same fish (Cardenas *et al.,* 2014; Kibenge *et al.,* 2009). Recent studies show that HPR0 ISAV variants occur frequently in sea-reared Atlantic salmon (Christiansen *et al.,* 2017). HPR0 ISAV is seasonal and transient in nature and displays a tissue tropism with high prevalence in gills (Christiansen *et al.*, 2011; Lyngstad *et al*., 2011). To date there has been no direct evidence linking the presence of HPR0 ISAV to a clinical disease outbreak. The risk of emergence of pathogenic HPR-deleted ISAV variants from a reservoir of HPR0 ISAV is considered to be low but not negligible (Cardenas *et al.,* 2014; Christiansen *et al.,* 2011; 2017; EFSA, 2012).

Sequence analysis of various gene segments has revealed differences between isolates both within and between defined geographical areas. According to sequence differences in a partial sequence of segment 6, two groups have been defined: one designated as a European clade and one designated as a North American clade (Gagne & LeBlanc, 2017).

In addition to the variations seen in the HPR of the HE gene, other gene segments ~~may also be~~ are of importance for development of clinical disease. A putative virulence marker has been identified in the fusion (F) protein. Here, a single amino acid substitution, or different sequence insertion, near the protein’s putative cleavage site has been found to be a prerequisite for virulence (Kibenge *et al.,* 2007; Markussen *et al*., 2008). Aside from insertion/recombination, ISAV also uses gene segment reassortment in its evolution, with potential links to virulence (Cardenas *et al.,* 2014; Devold *et al*., 2006; Gagne & Leblanc, 2017; Markussen *et al*., 2008; Mjaaland *et al*., 2005).

**2.1.2. Survival and stability in processed or stored samples**

A scientific study concluded that ISAV retains infectivity for at least 6 months at –80°C in tissue homogenates (Smail & Grant, 2012). Isolation in cell culture has been successful even from fish kept frozen whole at –20°C for several years. The experience of diagnostic laboratories has indicated the suitability of general procedures for sample handling (see Chapter 2.3.0) for ISAV.

**2.1.3. Survival and stability outside the host**

ISAV RNA has been detected by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in seawater sampled at from net-pens ~~at farm sites~~ with ISAV-positive Atlantic salmon but not from a sample collected 80–100 metres downstream of the farm (Lovdal & Enger, 2002 ~~Kibenge~~ *~~et al.,~~* ~~2004~~). ~~It is difficult to estimate exactly how long the virus may remain infectious in the natural environment because of a number of factors, such as the presence of particles or substances that may bind or inactivate the virus~~. ~~Exposing cell culture-propagated ISAV suspended in cell culture supernatant to 15°C for 10 days or to 4°C for 14 days had no effect on virus infectivity (Falk~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 1997).~~ A study using natural seawater held at 10°C, whether exposed to UVA and UVB or not, demonstrated that the starting titre of ISA diminished substantially over a period of 72 hours with some indication that infectiousness in an IP challenge model was lost between 3 and 6 hours (Vike *et al*., 2014).

For inactivation methods, see Section 2.4.5.

**2.2. Host factors**

**2.2.1. Susceptible host species**

Species that fulfil the criteria for listing as susceptible to infection with ISAV according to Chapter 1.5 of *Aquatic Animal Health Code* (*Aquatic Code*) are: Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) and rainbow trout(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).

**2.2.2. Species with incomplete evidence for susceptibility**

Species for which there is incomplete evidence to fulfil the criteria for listing as susceptible to infection with ISAV according to Chapter 1.5 of the *Aquatic Code* are: Atlantic herring (*Clupea* *harengus*) and amago trout (*Oncorhynchus masou*).

In addition, pathogen-specific positive RT-PCR results have been reported in the following species, but an active infection has not been demonstrated *in vivo*: Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*).

**~~2.2.3. Non-susceptible species~~**

~~Species that have been found to be non-susceptible to infection with ISAV according to Chapter 1.5. of the~~ *~~Aquatic Code~~* ~~are:~~

| **~~Family~~** | **~~Scientific name~~** | **~~Common name~~** | **~~Reference~~** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ~~Caligidae~~ | *~~Caligus rogercresseyi~~* | ~~sea lice~~ | ~~Ito~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2015~~ |
| ~~Cyclopteridae~~ | *~~Cyclopterus lumpus~~* | ~~lumpfish~~ | ~~Ito~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2015~~ |
| ~~Cyprinidae~~ | *~~Cyprinus carpio~~* | ~~common carp~~ | ~~Ito~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2015~~ |
| ~~Gadidae~~ | *~~Gadus morhua~~* | ~~Atlantic cod~~ | ~~MacLean~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2003;  Snow & Raynard, 2005~~ |
| *~~Pollachius virens~~* | ~~saithe~~ | ~~Snow~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2002~~ |
| *~~Pollachius virens~~* | ~~pollack~~ | ~~Ito~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2015~~ |
| ~~Mytilidae~~ | *~~Mytilus edulis~~* | ~~blue mussel~~ | ~~Molloy~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2014;  Skar & Mortensen, 2007~~ |
| ~~Pleuronectidae~~ | *~~Hippoglossus hippoglossus~~* | ~~Atlantic halibut~~ | ~~Ito~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2015~~ |
| ~~Salmonidae~~ | *~~Onchorhynchus tshawytscha~~* | ~~Chinook salmon~~ | ~~Rolland & Winton, 2003~~ |
| *~~Carassius auratus~~* | ~~goldfish~~ | ~~Ito~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2015~~ |

**2.2.~~4~~ 3. Likelihood of infection by species, host life stage, population or sub-populations**

In Atlantic salmon, life stages from yolk sac fry to adults are known to be susceptible. Disease outbreaks are mainly reported in seawater cages, and ~~only~~ a few cases have been reported in the freshwater stage, including one case in yolk sac fry (Rimstad *et al*., 2011). Infection with HPR-deleted ISAV has been experimentally induced in both Atlantic salmon fry and parr kept in freshwater.

**2.2.~~5~~ 4. Distribution of the pathogen in the host**

There is evidence of the presence of the virus in practically all organs of the fish, as well as in ovarian fluids and ova (Marshall *et al*., 2014), however, the HPR0 variant has a predilection for gills.

**HPR-deleted ISAV**: Endothelial cells lining blood vessels seem to be the primary target cells for ISAV replication as demonstrated by electron microscopy, immunohistochemistry and *in-situ* hybridisation. Virus replication has also been demonstrated in leukocytes, and sinusoidal macrophages in kidney tissue stain positive for ISAV using immunohistochemistry (IHC). Furthermore, red blood cells may have virus aggregates on the outer cell membrane as indicated by indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) with a monoclonal antibody (MAb) against the HE protein. As endothelial cells support replication and virus may be carried on red blood cells, virus may occur in any organ. Repeated sampling over the course of a chronic infection point to kidney and heart as the organs most likely to become test-positive. Clinical disease and macroscopic organ lesions appear foremost in severely anaemic Atlantic salmon (Aamelfot *et al.,* 2012; McBeath *et al.,* 2015; Rimstad *et al*., 2011).

For interaction with cells the haemagglutinin-esterase (HE) molecule of ISAV, like the haemagglutinin (HA) of other orthomyxoviruses (influenza A, B and C viruses), is essential for binding of the virus to sialic acid residues on the cell surface. In the case of ISAV, the viral particle binds to glycoprotein receptors containing 4-*O*-acetylated sialic acid residues, which also functions as a substrate for the receptor-destroying enzyme. Further uptake and replication seem to follow the pathway described for influenza A viruses, indicated by demonstration of low pH-dependent fusion, inhibition of replication by actinomycin D and α-amanitin, early accumulation of nucleoprotein followed by matrix protein in the nucleus and budding of progeny virions from the cell surface (Cottet *et al.,* 2011; Rimstad *et al.,* 2011).

**HPR0 ISAV**: As HPR0 ISAV has not been isolated in cell culture, controlled, experimental studies on virus distribution within the host are generally lacking. Observed tissue tropism was foremost in the gills when PCR testing was carried out on various organs of Atlantic salmon (Christiansen *et al*., 2011). *In-situ* immunostaining of HPR0 ISAV PCR-positive gills show staining limited to the epithelium indicating replication and shedding to water, rather than invasive infection. Immunostaining was unable to demonstrate HPR0 ISAV infection of internal organs.

**2.2.~~6~~ 5. Aquatic animal reservoirs of infection**

Persistent infection in lifelong carriers has not been documented in Atlantic salmon, but at the farm level, infection may persist in the population by continuous infection of new individuals that do not develop clinical signs of disease. This may include infection with the HPR0 ISAV variants, which seems to be only transient in nature (Christiansen *et al*., 2011; Lyngstad *et al*., 2011). Experimental infection of rainbow trout and brown trout with HPR-deleted ISAV indicate that persistent infection in these species could be possible (Rimstad *et al*., 2011).

**2.2.~~7~~ 6. Vectors**

Transmission of ISAV by salmon lice and sea lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis* and *Caligus rogercresseyi;* Oelckers *et al.,* 2014) has been demonstrated under experimental conditions.

**2.3. Disease pattern**

**2.3.1. Mortality, morbidity and prevalence**

The disease pattern with HPR-deleted ISAV depends on many factors, including the strain of the virus. During outbreaks of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV, morbidity and mortality may vary greatly between net pens in a seawater fish farm, and between farms (Hammell & Dohoo, 2005). Morbidity and mortality within a net pen may start at very low levels, with typical daily mortality between 0.5 and 1% in affected cages. Without intervention, mortality increases and often peaks in early summer and winter. The range of cumulative mortality during an outbreak is generally insignificant to moderate, but in severe cases, lasting several months, cumulative mortality may exceed 90%. Initially, a clinical disease outbreak may be limited to one or two net pens. In such cases, if affected fish are slaughtered immediately, further development of clinical infection with HPR-deleted ISAV at the site may be prevented. ~~In outbreaks where smolts have been infected in well boats, simultaneous outbreaks on several farms may occur.~~

HPR0 ISAV has not been associated with clinical disease in Atlantic salmon.

**2.3.2. Clinical signs, including behavioural changes**

The most prominent external signs of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV are pale gills (except in the case of blood stasis in the gills), exophthalmia, distended abdomen, blood in the anterior eye chamber, and sometimes skin haemorrhages especially of the abdomen, as well as scale pocket oedema.

Generally, Atlantic salmon naturally infected with HPR-deleted ISAV appear lethargic and may keep close to the wall of the net pen.

Affected fish are generally in good condition, but diseased fish have no feed in the digestive tract.

**2.3.3. Gross pathology**

Fish infected with HPR-deleted ISAV may show a range of pathological changes, from none to severe, depending on factors such as infective dose, virus strain, temperature, age and immune status of the fish. No lesions are pathognomonic to infection with HPR-deleted ISAV, but anaemia and circulatory disturbances are always present. The following findings have been described to be consistent with infection with HPR-deleted ISAV, though all changes are seldom observed in a single fish: i) yellowish or blood-tinged fluid in peritoneal and pericardial cavities; ii) oedema of the swim bladder; iii) small haemorrhages of the visceral and parietal peritoneum; iv) focal or diffusely dark red liver (a thin fibrin layer may be present on the surface); v) swollen, dark red spleen with rounded margins; vi) dark redness of the intestinal wall mucosa in the blind sacs, mid- and hind-gut, without blood in the gut lumen of fresh specimens; vii) swollen, dark red kidney with blood and liquid effusing from cut surfaces; and viii) pinpoint haemorrhages of the skeletal muscle.

**2.3.4. Modes of transmission and life cycle**

The main route of infection is most likely horizontally through the gills for both HPR0 and HPR-deleted ISAV, but infection via the intestine or skin cannot be excluded. Vertical transmission cannot be excluded (Marshall *et al.,* 2014).

ISAV may be shed in skin, mucous, urine, faeces (Totland *et al.,* 1996), ovarian fluid and ova (Marshall *et al*., 2014) ~~but shedding from localised gill infection may be most important~~.

HPR0 ISAV has not been isolated in cell culture, which hampers *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* studies of characteristics and the life cycle of this variant.

**2.3.5. Environmental factors**

Generally, outbreaks of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV tend to be seasonal, occurring in early summer and winter; however, outbreaks can occur at any time of the year.

**2.3.6. Geographical distribution**

ISAV was initially reported in Norway in the mid-1980s (Thorud & Djupvik, 1988). It has since been reported in other countries in Europe, North America and South America. ~~The presence of the HPR0 ISAV variant has been reported in all countries where infection with HPR-deleted ISAV has occurred.~~ See WAHIS (<https://wahis.oie.int/#/home>) for recent information on distribution at the country level.

**2.4. Biosecurity and disease control strategies**

**2.4.1. Vaccination**

Vaccination against infection with ISAV has been carried out in North America since 1999 and the Faroe Islands since 2005. In Norway, vaccination is ~~not~~ normally ~~done, but was~~ carried out ~~for the first time in 2009~~ in ~~a~~ regions ~~where~~ with high prevalence of outbreaks ~~were associated with a high rate of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV~~. Chile started vaccinating against infection with ISAV in 2010. However, vaccine efficacy seems insufficient given all cases of both HPR0 and HPR-deleted ISAV that occurred in the Faroe Islands have occurred in vaccinated fish. The same lack of efficacy has been observed in Norway after vaccination around outbreak areas.

**2.4.2. Chemotherapy including blocking agents**

Chemotherapy is currently not available. However, the broad-spectrum antiviral drug Ribavirin (1-β-D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide) is effective in inhibiting ISAV replication both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Rivas-Aravena *et al.,* 2011). It should also be noted that interfering peptides have recently been shown to have a non-toxic antiviral effect against ISAV (Cardenas *et al*., 2020).

**2.4.3. Immunostimulation**

Not applicable.

**2.4.4. Breeding resistant strains**

Differences in susceptibility among different family groups of Atlantic salmon in freshwater have been observed in challenge experiments and in field tests (Gjoen *et al*., 1997). Breeding companies are using infection trials, family selection and genomic selection to improve ISA resistance, but scientific information on the effect of this on disease incidence or prevalence of subclinical infection is lacking.

**2.4.5. Inactivation methods**

ISAV is sensitive to UV irradiation (UVC) and ozone. A 3-log reduction in infectivity in sterile freshwater and seawater was obtained with a UVC dose of approximately 35 Jm–2 and 50 Jm–2, respectively, while the corresponding value for ISAV in wastewater from a fish-processing plant was approximately   
72 Jm–2. Ozonated seawater (4 minutes with 8 mg ml–1, 600–750 mV redox potential) may inactivate ISAV completely. Incubation of tissue homogenate from diseased fish at pH 4 or pH 12 for 24 hours inactivated ISAV. Incubation in the presence of chlorine (100 mg ml–1) for 15 minutes also inactivated the virus (Rimstad *et al*., 2011). Cell culture-isolated ISAV may survive for weeks at low temperatures, but virus infectivity is lost within 30 minutes of exposure at 56°C (Falk *et al*., 1997).

**2.4.6. Disinfection of eggs and larvae**

Disinfection of eggs according to standard procedures is suggested as an important control measure (see chapter 4.4 of the *Aquatic Code*).

**2.4.7. General husbandry**

The incidence of infection with ISAV may be greatly reduced by implementation of legislative measures or husbandry practices regarding the movement of fish, mandatory health control, transport and slaughterhouse regulations. Specific measures including restrictions on affected, suspected and neighbouring farms, enforced sanitary slaughtering, generation segregation (‘all in/all out’) as well as disinfection of offal and wastewater from fish slaughterhouses and fish processing plants may also contribute to reducing the incidence of the disease.

Handling of fish (e.g. sorting or treatment, splitting or moving of cages) may initiate disease outbreaks on infected farms, especially if long-term undiagnosed problems have been experienced (Lyngstad *et al*., 2008).

The experience from the Faroe Islands, where the prevalence of HPR0 ISAV is high, demostrates that the combination of good biosecurity and husbandry substantially reduces the risk of outbreaks of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV (Christiansen *et al.,* 2017).

**3. Specimen selection, sample collection, transportation and handling**

**3.1. Selection of populations and individual specimens**

For detection of HPR-deleted ISAV, clinical inspections should be carried out during a period when water temperature is conducive to development of clinical disease (see Section 2.3.5). All production units (ponds, tanks, net-cages etc.) should be inspected and fish displaying clinical signs~~,~~ and gross pathology and anaemia consistent with those described in Sections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3 should be sampled.

For detection of HPR0 ISAV, gills from randomly selected individuals should be sampled at different time points throughout the production cycle.

For the purposes of disease surveillance, fish to be sampled are selected as follows:

i) The most susceptible species should be sampled preferentially (see Section 2.2.3). Other susceptible species listed in Section 2.2.1 should be sampled proportionally.

ii) Risk-based criteria should be employed to preferentially sample lots or populations with a history of abnormal mortality, potential exposure events or where there is evidence of poor water quality or husbandry. If more than one water source is used for fish production, fish from all water sources should be included in the sample.

iii) If weak, abnormally behaving or freshly dead fish are present, such fish should be selected. If such fish are not present (e.g. during surveillance of apparently healthy populations), the fish selected should include normal appearing, healthy fish collected in such a way that all parts of the farm as well as all year classes are proportionally represented in the sample.

For disease outbreak investigations, moribund fish or fish exhibiting clinical signs of infection with ISAV should be collected. Ideally fish should be collected while alive, however recently dead fish can also be selected for diagnostic testing. It should be noted however, that there will be a significant risk of contamination with environmental bacteria if the animals have been dead for some time.

**3.2. Selection of organs or tissues**

**3.2.1. Detection of HPR-deleted ISAV**

Only internal organs that have not been exposed to the environment should be used for diagnostic testing.

The organs or tissue material to be sampled ~~and examined must be~~ can include: i) for histology: mid-kidney, liver, heart, pancreas, intestine, spleen and gill; ii) for immunohistochemistry: mid-kidney and heart including valves and bulbus arteriosus; iii) for RT-PCR (conventional and real-time) analysis: mid-kidney and heart; and iv) for virus culture: mid-kidney, heart, liver and spleen.

**3.2.2. Detection of HPR0 ISAV**

Gill tissue is recommended~~, however, HPR0 ISAV has also been detected in the mid-kidney and heart. It is, therefore, suggested to use pools of the three organs for detection purposes~~.

**3.3. Samples or tissues not suitable for pathogen detection**

Information on samples or tissues not suitable for pathogen detection is lacking; follow recommendations in Section 3.2 for virus detection.

**3.4. Non-lethal sampling**

Blood is preferred for non-lethal sampling for HPR-deleted ISAV based on a study by Giray *et al*. (2005) in which blood and mucus was compared with kidney samples derived from both infected fish with or without clinical signs ~~clinical and non-clinical fish~~ and tested by RT-PCR and virus isolation in cell culture.

**3.5. Preservation of samples for submission**

For guidance on sample preservation methods for the intended test methods, see Chapter 2.3.0.

**3.5.1. Samples for pathogen isolation**

The success of pathogen isolation ~~and results of bioassay~~ depends strongly on the quality of samples (time since collection and time in storage). Fresh specimens should be kept on ice and preferably sent to the laboratory within 24 hours of collection. To avoid degradation of samples, use alternative storage methods only after consultation with the receiving laboratory.

**3.5.2. Preservation of samples for molecular detection**

Tissue samples for real-time RT-PCR testing should be preserved in 70–90% (v/v) analytical/reagent-grade (undenatured) ethanol. The recommended ratio of ethanol to tissue is 10:1 based on studies in terrestrial animal and human health. The use of lower grade (laboratory or industrial grade) ethanol is not recommended. If material cannot be fixed it may be frozen. Commercial RNA preservatives are available, ~~such as RNAlater,~~ which have better efficacy than ethanol at room temperature. Commercial fixatives validated to be at least as effective as the fixatives described above may be used.

**3.5.3. Samples for histopathology, immunohistochemistry or *in-situ* hybridisation**

Tissue samples for histopathology should be fixed immediately after collection. Gills need to be fixed immediately after euthanasia. Thickness of tissues for fixation must not exceed 4–5 mm. The recommended ratio of fixative to tissue is 10:1, and neutral, phosphate-buffered, 10% formalin is recommended as this fixative is compatible with the immunohistochemistry procedure for ISAV.

**3.5.4. Samples for electron microscopy**

ISAV has been characterised by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using general procedures (Falk *et al*., 1997).

**3.5.5. Samples for other tests**

At present, other tests, for example serology tests, are not used for diagnostic purposes.

**3.6. Pooling of samples**

Pooling of samples from more than one individual animal for a given purpose should only be recommended where robust supporting data on diagnostic sensitivity and diagnostic specificity have been evaluated and found to be suitable. If the effect of pooling on diagnostic sensitivity has not been thoroughly evaluated, larger fish should be processed and tested individually. ~~Data are available regarding the effect of pooling samples on the detection of ISAV that indicate the effects are related to the prevalence of the disease in the fish population (Hall~~ *~~et al~~*~~., 2013; 2014).~~ Small life stages such as fry ~~or specimens up to 0.5 g~~ can be pooled to provide the minimum amount of material needed for testing. If pooling is used, it is recommended to pool organ pieces from a maximum of five fish.

**4. Diagnostic methods**

The methods currently available for identifying infection that can be used in i) surveillance of apparently healthy populations), ii) presumptive and iii) confirmatory diagnostic purposes are listed in Table 4.1. by life stage. The designations used in the Table indicate:

Key:

+++ = Recommended method(s) validated for the purpose shown and usually to stage 3 of the OIE Validation Pathway;

++ = Suitable method(s) but may need further validation;

+ = May be used in some situations, but cost, reliability, lack of validation or other factors severely limits its application;

Shaded boxes = Not appropriate for this purpose.

The selection of a test for a given purpose depends on the analytical and diagnostic sensitivities and specificities repeatability and reproducibility. OIE Reference Laboratories welcome feedback on diagnostic performance for assays, in particular PCR methods, for factors affecting assay analytical sensitivity or analytical specificity, such as tissue components inhibiting amplification, presence of nonspecific or uncertain bands, etc., and any assays that are in the +++ category.

***Table 4.1.*** *OIE recommended**diagnostic methods and their level of validation for surveillance of apparently healthy animals and investigation of clinically affected animals*

| **Method** | 1. **Surveillance of apparently healthy animals** | | | | 1. **Presumptive diagnosis of clinically affected animals** | | | | 1. **Confirmatory diagnosis1 of a suspect result from surveillance or presumptive diagnosis** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Early life stages2** | **Juveniles2** | **Adults** | **LV** | **Early life stages2** | **Juveniles2** | **Adults** | **LV** | **Early life stages2** | **Juveniles2** | **Adults** | **LV** |
| **Gross signs** |  |  |  |  | + | + | + | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| **Histopathology3** |  |  |  |  | ++ | ++ | ++ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| **Cell ~~or artificial media~~ culture** |  |  |  |  | ++ | ++ | ++ | 1 | +++ | +++ | +++ | NA |
| **Real-time RT-PCR** | +++ | +++ | +++ | 1 | +++ | +++ | +++ | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| **~~Conventional~~ RT-PCR** | + | + | + | 1 | ++ | ++ | ++ | 1 | + | + | + | NA |
| **Amplicon sequencing4** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +++ | +++ | +++ | NA |
| ***In-situ* hybridisation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Immunohistochemistry** |  |  |  |  | ++ | ++ | ++ | 1 | ++ | ++ | ++ | NA |
| **IFAT on kidney imprints  or blood smears** |  |  |  |  | ++ | ++ | ++ | 1 | +++ | +++ | +++ | NA |
| **Bioassay** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **LAMP** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Ab-ELISA** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Ag-ELISA** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Other antigen detection methods5** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LV = level of validation, refers to the stage of validation in the OIE Pathway (chapter 1.1.2); NA = not applicable; RT-PCR = reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction;   
LAMP = loop-mediated isothermal amplification; Ab- or Ag-ELISA = antibody or antigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, respectively.   
1For confirmatory diagnoses, methods need to be carried out in combination (see Section 6). 2Susceptibility of early and juvenile life stages ~~have been defined~~ is described in Section 2.2.3.   
3Histopathology and cytopathology can be validated if the results from different operators have been statistically compared. 4Sequencing of the PCR product.  
Shading indicates the test is inappropriate or should not be used for this purpose.

**4.1. Wet mounts**

Not applicable.

**4.2. Histopathology and cytopathology**

Histological changes in clinically diseased Atlantic salmon are variable, but can include the following:

i) Numerous erythrocytes in the central venous sinus and lamellar capillaries where erythrocyte thrombi also form in the gills.

ii) Multifocal to confluent haemorrhages and/or hepatocyte necrosis at some distance from larger vessels in the liver. Focal accumulations of erythrocytes in dilated hepatic sinusoids.

iii) Accumulation of erythrocytes in blood vessels of the intestinal lamina propria and eventually haemorrhage into the lamina propria.

iv) Spleen stroma distended by erythrocyte accumulation.

v) Slight multifocal to extensive diffuse interstitial haemorrhage with tubular necrosis in the haemorrhagic areas, erythrocyte accumulation in the glomeruli in the kidney.

vi) Erythrophagocytosis in the spleen and secondary haemorrhages in liver and kidney.

Virus has been observed in endothelial cells and leukocytes by electron microscopy of tissue preparations, but this method has not been used for diagnostic purposes.

• Haematocrit <10 in end stages (25–30 often seen in less advanced cases). Haematocrit <10 should always be followed up by investigation for infection with HPR-deleted ISAV in seawater reared Atlantic salmon.

• Blood smears with degenerate and vacuolised erythrocytes and the presence of erythroblasts with irregular nuclear shape. Differential counts show a reduction in the proportion of leucocytes relative to erythrocytes, with the largest reduction being among lymphocytes and thrombocytes.

Liver pathology will lead to increased levels of liver enzymes in the blood.

**4.3. Cell ~~or artificial media~~ culture for isolation**

ASK cells (Devold *et al*., 2000) are recommended for primary HPR-deleted ISAV isolation, but other susceptible cell lines, such as SHK-1 (Dannevig *et al*., 1995), may be used. However, strain variability and the ability to replicate in different cell lines should be taken into consideration. The ASK cells seem to support isolation and growth of the hitherto known virus isolates. A more distinct cytopathic effect (CPE) may appear in ASK cells. Both the SHK-1 and ASK cell lines appear to lose susceptibility to HPR-deleted ISAV with increasing passage.

The SHK-1 and ASK cells are grown at 20°C in Leibovitz’s L-15 cell culture medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum (5% or 10%), L-glutamine (4 mM), gentamicin (50 µg ml–1) and 2-mercapto-ethanol (40 µM) (this latter supplement may be omitted).

For virus isolation, cells grown in 25 cm2 tissue culture flasks or multi-well cell culture plates, which may be sealed with parafilm or a plate sealer to stabilise the pH of the medium, may be used. Cells grown in 24-well plates may not grow very well into monolayers, but this trait may vary between laboratories and according to the type of cell culture plates used. Serially diluted HPR-deleted ISAV-positive controls should be inoculated in parallel with the tissue samples as a test for cell susceptibility to HPR-deleted ISAV (this should be performed in a separate location from that of the test samples). See Chapter 2.3.0 for the methods used for inoculation of cell monolayers, monitoring the cultures and sub-cultivation.

Inoculated cell cultures are incubated for at least 14 days and examined as described in Chapter 2.3.0. At the end of the incubation period, or earlier if obvious CPE appears, the medium is collected for virus identification by immunofluorescence (IFAT) (see Section 4.9), real-time PCR or conventional PCR (see Sections 4.4.1 .and 4.4.2) as virus replication may occur without apparent CPE.

The procedure has been successful for isolation of HPR-deleted ISAV from fish with clinical signs or from suspect cases. HPR0 ISAV has hitherto not been isolated in cell culture.

Cell lines should be monitored to ensure that their susceptibility to targeted pathogens has not changed.

**4.4. Nucleic acid amplification**

**4.4.1. Real-time RT-PCR**

The primers and probes shown in Table 4.4.1.1 for real-time RT-PCR will detect both European and North-American HPR-deleted ISAV and HPR0 ISAV. Real-time RT-PCR may be used for detection of ISAV from total RNA (or total nucleic acid) extracted from recommended organs/tissues (see Section 3.2) and is recommended over RT-PCR (see Section 4.4.2.) as it has increased specificity and, probably, also sensitivity. The primer sets derived from genomic segment 8 and segment 7 have been used by several laboratories and have been found suitable for detection of ISAV during disease outbreaks and in apparently healthy carrier fish.

With the widespread occurrence of HPR0 ISAV variants, it is essential to follow up any positive RT-PCR results based on segment 7 or 8 primer sets by ~~sequencing~~ sequence analysis of the HPR ~~of~~ in segment 6 in order to determine if the ~~isolate~~ virus is either HPR-deleted or HPR0 ISAV or both. Primers, designed and validated by the OIE Reference Laboratory, are given in Table 4.4.2.1. Validation of the HPR primer set for the North American HPR0 isolates is restricted by the limited sequence data available in the Genbank for the 3’ end of ISAV segment 6 (Marshall *et al.,* 2014).

The primers for segment 7 and 8 as well as sequencing primers for segment 6 HPR, are listed below and may also be used for conventional RT-PCR if necessary.

***Table 4.4.1.1.*** *Primer and probes sequences and cycling conditions for ISAV real-time RT-PCR*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Primer andprobe sequences (5’–>3’)**  **(concentration)** | **Cycling conditions** | **Genomic segment** | **Amplicon size (bp)** | **Reference** |
| For: cag-ggt-tgt-atc-cat-ggt-tga-aat-g (900nM) Rev: GTC-CAG-CCC-TAA-GCT-CAA-CTC- (900nM) Probe: *6FAM-*CTC-TCT-CAT-TGT-GAT-CCC-*MGBNFQ* (250nM) | 1 × 2 minutes @ 50°C  1 × 10 minutes @ 95°C  45 × 15 seconds @ 95°C and 1 minute @ 60°C | 7 | 155 | Snow *et al*., 2006 |
| For: CTA-CAC-AGC-AGG-ATG-CAG-ATG-T (900 nM) Rev: CAG-GAT-GCC-GGA-AGT-CGA-T (900 nM) Probe: *6FAM-*CAT-CGT-CGC-TGC-AGT-TC-*MGBNFQ* (250 nM) | 8 | 104 | Snow *et al.,* 2006 |

The following controls should be run with each assay: negative extraction control; positive control; no template control; internal RT-PCR control. The positive control should be distinguishable from viral genomic sequence, thus allowing detection of any cross-contamination leading to false positive results.

**4.4.2. ~~Conventional~~ RT-PCR**

The primers described in Table 4.4.2 for RT-PCR will detect both European and North-American HPR-deleted ISAV and HPR0 ISAV. RT-PCR may be used for detection of ISAV from total RNA (or total nucleic acid) extracted from recommended organs/tissues (see Section 3.2). However, the real-time RT-PCR (see Section 4.4.1.) for the detection of ISAV is recommended as it has increased specificity and, probably, also sensitivity.

***Table 4.4.2.1****. Primer sequences and cycling conditions for ISAV Segment 6 RT-PCR*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Primer sequences (5’–>3’)**  **(concentration)** | **Cycling conditions** | **Amplicon size (bp)** | **Reference** |
| For: GAC-CAG-ACA-AGC-TTA-GGT-AAC-ACA-GA  (200 nM) Rev: GAT-GGT-GGA-ATT-CTA-CCT-CTA-GAC-TTG-TA (200 nM) | 1 × 30 minutes @ 50°C  1 × 2 minutes @ 94°C  40 × 1 minute @ 94°C, 1 minute @ 50°C, 1 minute @ 68°C  1 × 7 minutes @ 68°C | 304  if HPR0 | Designed by OIE Ref. Lab. |

~~With the widespread occurrence of HPR0 ISAV variants, it is essential to follow up any positive PCR results based on segment 7 or 8 primer sets by sequencing s the HPR of in segment 6 in order to determine if the isolate is either HPR-deleted or HPR0 ISAV or both. Primers, designed and validated by the OIE Reference Laboratory, are given in Table 4.4.2. Validation of the HPR primer set for the North-American HPR0 isolates is restricted by the limited sequence data available in the Genbank for the 3’ end of ISAV segment 6.~~

~~The primers for segment 7 and 8 may also be used for conventional RT-PCR if necessary.~~

~~The following controls should be run with each assay: negative extraction control; positive control; no template control; internal PCR control. The positive control should be distinguishable from viral genomic sequence, thus allowing detection of any cross-contamination leading to false positive results.~~

**4.5. Amplicon sequencing**

There is evidence of the generation of complete amplicons for the eight segments of the viral genome that include the 5’ and 3’ ends of each one (Toro-Ascuy *et al.,* 2015).

The segment 6 assay primers given in Section 4.4.2 are used for RT-PCR and amplicon sequencing.

**4.6. *In-situ* hybridisation**

Published methods are available but not recommended due to lack of validation.

**4.7. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

**4.7.1. IHC on paraffin sections from formalin-fixed tissue**

~~Polyclonal~~ Antibody against HPR-deleted ISAV nucleoprotein is used on paraffin sections from formalin-fixed tissue. This IHC staining has given positive reactions in both experimentally and naturally infected Atlantic salmon. Preferred organs are mid-kidney and heart (transitional area including all three chambers and valves). Suspect cases due to pathological signs are verified with a positive IHC. Histological sections are prepared according to standard methods.

i) Preparation of tissue sections

The tissues are fixed in neutral phosphate-buffered 10% formalin for at least 1 day, dehydrated in graded ethanol, cleared in xylene or isopropanol and embedded in paraffin, according to standard protocols. Approximately 3 µm thick sections (for IHC sampled on poly-L-lysine-coated slides) are heated at 56–58°C (maximum 60°C) for at least 20 minutes, dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated through graded ethanol, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin for pathomorphology and IHC as described below.

ii) Staining procedure for IHC

All incubations are carried out at room temperature on a rocking platform, unless otherwise stated.

a) Antigen retrieval is achieved by boiling sections in 0.1 M citrate buffer pH 6.0 for 2 × 5 minutes followed by blocking with 5% non-fat dry milk and 2% goat serum in 50 mM TBS (TBS; Tris/HCl 50 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 7.6) for 20 minutes.

b) Sections are then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody (~~monospecific rabbit~~ e.g. an antibody against ISAV nucleoprotein) diluted in TBS with 1% non-fat dry milk, followed by three washes in TBS, the last wash with 0.1% Tween 20.

c) For detection of bound antibodies, sections are incubated with biotinylated ~~goat anti rabbit~~ species specific IgG (diluted ~~1/200~~ in 2.5% BSA in Tris buffer) for 60 minutes, followed by ABC-AP (diluted ~~1/100~~ in Tris buffer) for 45 minutes. Following a final wash, Fast Red (1 mg ml–1) and Naphthol AS-MX phosphate (0.2 mg ml–1) with 1 mM Levamisole in 0.1 M TBS (pH 8.2) are added to develop for 20 minutes. Sections are then washed in tap water before counterstaining with Harris haematoxylin and mounted in aqueous mounting medium. ISAV positive and ISAV negative tissue sections are included as controls in every setup.

iii) Interpretation

Negative control sections should not have any significant colour reactions. Positive control sections should have clearly visible red-coloured cytoplasmic and intranuclear staining of endothelial cells in blood vessels or heart endocardium. A test sample section should only be regarded as positive if clear, intranuclear red staining of endothelial cells is found. The intranuclear localisation is particular to the orthomyxovirus nucleoprotein during a stage of virus replication. Concurrent cytoplasmic staining is often dominant. Cytoplasmic and other staining patterns without intranuclear localisation must be considered as nonspecific or inconclusive.

The strongest positive staining reactions are usually obtained in endothelial cells of heart and kidney. Endothelial staining reactions within very extensive haemorrhagic lesions can be slight or absent, possibly because of lysis of infected endothelial cells.

**4.7.~~1~~ 2. ~~Indirect fluorescent antibody test~~ IFAT on tissue imprints and blood smears**

An indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) using validated MAbs against ISAV haemagglutinin-esterase (HE) on kidney ~~smears (~~imprints~~)~~, on blood smears or on frozen tissue sections of kidney, heart and liver has given positive reactions in both experimentally and naturally infected Atlantic salmon. Suspect cases (see Section 6.1) may be confirmed with a positive IFAT.

i) Preparations of tissue ~~smears (~~imprints~~)~~

A small piece of the mid-kidney is briefly blotted against absorbent paper to remove excess fluid, and several imprints in a thumbnail-sized area are made on poly-L-lysine-coated microscope slides. The imprints are air-dried, fixed in chilled 100% acetone for 10 minutes and stored either at 4°C for a few days or at –80°C until use.

ii) Staining procedure

After blocking with 5% non-fat dry milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 minutes, the preparations are incubated for 1 hour with an appropriate dilution of anti-ISAV MAb, followed by three washes. For the detection of bound antibodies, the preparations are incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse Ig for 1 hour. PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 is used for washing. All incubations are performed at room temperature.

iii) Preparation of blood smear ~~(imprint)~~

Blood fraction is obtain using a discontinuous Percoll gradient. A small fraction is smeared on poly-L-lysine-coated microscope slide. The ~~imprint~~ smear is air-dried, fixed in chilled 100% acetone for 10 minutes and stored either at 4°C for a few days or at –80°C until use.

iv) Staining procedure

After blocking with 5% non-fat dry milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 minutes, the preparation is incubated for 1 hour with appropriate dilution of anti-ISAV MAb, followed by three washes. For the detection of bound antibodies, the preparation is incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse Ig for 1 hour. PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 is used for washing. All incubations are performed at room temperature.

**4.8. Bioassay**

Not available.

**4.9. Antibody- or antigen-based detection methods**

**4.9.1. Virus identification by IFAT**

All incubations are carried out at room temperature unless otherwise stated.

i) Prepare monolayers of cells in appropriate tissue culture plates (e.g. 96-well or 24-well plates), in slide flasks or on cover-slips dependent on the type of microscope available (an inverted fluorescent microscope equipped with UV light is necessary for monolayers grown on tissue culture plates). SHK-1 cells grow rather poorly on glass cover-slips. The necessary monolayers for negative and positive controls must be included.

ii) Inoculate the monolayers with the virus suspensions to be identified in tenfold dilutions, two monolayers for each dilution. Add positive virus control in dilutions known to give a good staining reaction. Incubate inoculated cell cultures at 15°C for 7 days or, if CPE appears, for a shorter time.

iii) Fix in 80% acetone for 20 minutes after removing cell culture medium and rinsing once with 80% acetone. Remove the fixative and air dry for 1 hour. The fixed cell cultures may be stored dry for less than 1 week at 4°C or at –20°C for longer storage.

iv) Incubate the cell monolayers with anti-HPR-deleted ISAV MAb in an appropriate dilution in PBS for 1 hour, and rinse twice with PBS/0.05% Tween 20. If non-specific binding is observed, incubate with PBS containing 0.5% dry skimmed milk.

v) Incubate with FITC-conjugated ~~goat anti-mouse~~ species specific immunoglobulin antibody for 1 hour ~~(~~or if antibody raised in rabbits is used as the primary antibody, use FITC-conjugated antibody against rabbit immunoglobulin~~)~~, according to the instructions of the supplier. To increase the sensitivity, FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse Ig may be replaced with biotin-labelled anti-mouse Ig and FITC-labelled streptavidin with the described rinsing in between the additional step. Rinse once with PBS/0.05% Tween 20, as described above. The nuclei can be stained with propidium iodide (100 µg ml–1 in sterile distilled water). Add PBS (without Tween 20) and examine under ~~UV light~~ fluorescent microscope. To avoid fading, the stained plates should be kept in the dark until examination. ~~For long periods of storage (more than 2–3 weeks~~ To reduce photobleaching of FITC due to exposure to excitation light during microscopy, a solution of 1,4-diazabicyclooctane (DABCO 2.5% in PBS, pH 8.2) or similar reagent may be added as an anti-fade solution.

**4.10. Other methods**

None published or validated.

**5. Test(s) recommended for surveillance to demonstrate freedom in apparently healthy populations**

Real-time RT-PCR is validated for surveillance to demonstrate freedom in apparently healthy populations.

**6. Corroborative diagnostic criteria**

This section only addresses the diagnostic test results for detection of infection in the absence (Section 6.1.) or in the presence of clinical signs (Section 6.2.) but does not evaluate whether the infectious agent is the cause of the clinical event.

The case definitions for a suspect and confirmed case have been developed to support decision making related to trade and confirmation of disease status at the country, zone or compartment level. Case definitions for disease confirmation in endemically affected areas may be less stringent. It is recommended that all samples that yield suspect positive test results in an otherwise pathogen-free country or zone or compartment should be referred immediately to the OIE Reference Laboratory for confirmation, whether or not clinical signs are associated with the case. If a laboratory does not have the capacity to undertake the necessary diagnostic tests it should seek advice from the appropriate OIE Reference Laboratory.

**6.1. Apparently healthy animals or animals of unknown health status[[1]](#footnote-1)**

Apparently healthy populations may fall under suspicion, and therefore be sampled, if there is an epidemiological link(s) to an infected population. Geographical proximity to, or movement of animals or animal products or equipment, etc., from a known infected population equate to an epidemiological link. Alternatively, healthy populations are sampled in surveys to demonstrate disease freedom.

**6.1.1. Definition of suspect case in apparently healthy animals**

The presence of infection with HPR0 or HPR-deleted ISAV shall be suspected if at least one of the following criteria is met:

~~i) ISAV-typical CPE in cell cultures (HPR-deleted only)~~

ii) Positive result by ~~conventional~~ RT-PCR

iii) Positive result by real-time RT-PCR

**6.1.2. Definition of confirmed case in apparently healthy animals**

Reference Laboratories should be contacted for specimen referral when testing laboratories cannot undertake any of the recommended test methods and testing is being undertaken that will result in notification to the OIE.

*Definition of confirmed case of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV*

The presence of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV is considered to be confirmed if, in addition to the criteria in Section [6.1](http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=2439&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_vhs.htm#BAAIBDBH).1, at least one ~~or more~~ of the following ~~criteria~~ points ~~are~~ is met:

~~i) ISAV-typical CPE in ASK cell culture and virus identification by by conventional RT-PCR and sequencing of the HE-gene to verify HPR-deletion~~

i~~i~~) Detection of ISAV in tissue ~~preparations~~ samples by ~~conventional~~ RT-PCR (conventional or real-time) and detection of ISAV in histological sections by immunoassay using specific anti-ISAV antibodies (IFAT or immunohistochemistry)

~~i~~ii) Detection of ISAV in tissue ~~preparations~~ samples by ~~real-time~~ RT-PCR (conventional or real-time) and ~~detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by~~ conventional PCR of segment 6 ~~followed by~~ and sequencing of the ~~HE-gene~~ amplicon to verify HPR-deletion

iii ~~iv~~) Detection of ISAV in tissue samples by real-time RT-PCR and detection of ISAV in histological sections by immunoassay using specific anti-ISAV antibodies (IFAT or immunohistochemistry)

~~v) Detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by real-time RT-PCR and ISAV-typical CPE in cell culture followed by virus identification by conventional RT-PCR and sequencing of the amplicon~~

~~vi) Detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by conventional PCR followed by sequencing of the amplicon~~

*Definition of confirmed case of infection with HPR0 ISAV*

The presence of infection with HPR0 ISAV is considered to be confirmed if the following criterion is met:

i) Detection of ISAV in tissue samples by real-time RT-PCR and detection of ISAV by conventional RT-PCR of segment 6 ~~followed by amplification~~ and sequencing of the ~~HE-gene of segment 6~~ amplicon to verify HPR0-deletion

**6.2. Clinically affected animals**

Clinical signs are not pathognomonic for a single disease; however they may narrow the range of possible diagnoses.

**6.2.1. Definition of suspect case in clinically affected animals**

The presence of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV shall be suspected if at least one of the following criteria is met:

i) Gross pathology or clinical signs associated with the disease as described in this chapter, with or without elevated mortality

ii) Histo- or cytopathological changes consistent with the presence of the pathogen or the disease

iii) ISAV-typical CPE in ~~ASK~~ cell culture

iv) Positive result by a real-time RT-PCR

v) Positive result of a conventional RT-PCR

vi) Positive result by immunohistochemistry

vii) Positive result by IFAT on tissue imprints

**6.2.2. Definition of confirmed case in clinically affected animals**

The presence of infection with HPR-deleted ISAV is considered to be confirmed if at least one ~~or more~~ of the following criteria is met:

~~i) ISAV-typical CPE in ASK cell culture and virus identification by conventional RT-PCR and sequencing of the HE-gene to verify HPR-deletion~~

i) Virus isolation with ISAV-typical CPE in cell culture and virus identification by RT-PCR (conventional or real-time) followed by sequencing of the amplicon

ii) Detection of ISAV in tissue ~~preparations~~ samples by ~~conventional~~ RT-PCR (conventional or real-time) and detection of ISAV in histological sections by immunoassay using specific anti-ISAV antibodies (IFAT or immunohistochemistry)

iii) Detection of ISAV in tissue ~~preparations~~ samples by ~~real-time~~ RT-PCR (conventional or real-time) and ~~followed by~~ conventional RT-PCR of segment 6 and sequencing of the ~~HE-gene~~ amplicon to verify HPR-deletion

~~iv) Detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by real-time RT-PCR and detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by means of specific antibodies against ISAV (IFAT or immunohistochemistry)~~

~~v) Detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by real-time RT-PCR and ISAV-typical CPE in cell culture followed by virus identification by conventional RT-PCR and sequencing of the amplicon~~

~~vi) Detection of ISAV in tissue preparations by conventional PCR followed by sequencing of the amplicon~~

Reference Laboratories should be contacted for specimen referral when testing laboratories cannot undertake any of the recommended test methods and testing is being undertaken that will result in notification to the OIE.

**6.3. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for diagnostic tests**

The diagnostic performance of tests recommended for surveillance or diagnosis of infection with ISAV are provided in Table 6.3. This information can be used for the design of surveys for infection with ISAV, however, it should be noted that diagnostic performance is specific to the circumstances of each diagnostic accuracy study (including the test purpose, source population, tissue sample types and host species) and diagnostic performance may vary under different conditions. Data are only presented where tests are validated to at least level two of the validation pathway described in Chapter 1.1.2. and the information is available within published diagnostic accuracy studies.

**6.3.1. For presumptive diagnosis of clinically affected animals**

| **Test type** | **Test purpose** | **Source populations** | **Tissue or sample types** | **Species** | **DSe (*n*)** | **DSp (*n*)** | **Reference test** | **Citation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cell Culture | Diagnosis | Clinically diseased Atlantic salmon from farm | Gills, Kidney, and heart | *Salmo salar* | Non-available | Non-available | Real-time RT-PCR | Dannevig *et al.,* 1995 |

DSe: = diagnostic sensitivity, DSp = diagnostic specificity, *n* = number of samples used in the study,  
PCR: = polymerase chain reaction; NA = not available.

**6.3.2. For surveillance of apparently healthy animals**

| **Test type** | **Test purpose** | **Source populations** | **Tissue or sample types** | **Species** | **DSe (*n*)** | **DSp (*n*)** | **Reference test** | **Citation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Real-time PCR | Surveillance | Salmonids | Gills, Kidney, and heart | *Salmo salar* and other salmonids | Non available | Non available | Cell culture | Snow  *et al.,* 2006 |

DSe: = diagnostic sensitivity, DSp = diagnostic specificity, *n* = number of samples used in the study,  
PCR: = polymerase chain reaction.
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**NB:** There are OIE Reference Laboratories for Infection with infectious salmon anaemia virus  
(see Table at the end of this *Aquatic Manual* or consult the OIE Web site for the most up-to-date list: <http://www.oie.int/en/our-scientific-expertise/reference-laboratories/list-of-laboratories/> ).   
Please contact the OIE Reference Laboratory for any further information on   
Infection with infectious salmon anaemia virus

**NB:** First adopted in 1995 as Infectious salmon anaemia; Most recent updates adopted in 2018.
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1. For example transboundary commodities. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)