

Influenza A Virus H5–specific Antibodies in Mute Swans (*Cygnus olor*) in the USA

Author(s): Whitney M. Kistler, David E. Stallknecht, Camille Lebarbenchon, Kerri Pedersen, David R. Marks, Randy Mickley, Thomas J. DeLiberto, and Michael J. Yabsley

Source: *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 51(2):523-526.

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.7589/2014-08-192>

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

Influenza A Virus H5-specific Antibodies in Mute Swans (*Cygnus olor*) in the USA

Whitney M. Kistler,^{1,2,8} David E. Stallknecht,² Camille Lebarbenchon,³ Kerri Pedersen,⁴ David R. Marks,⁵ Randy Mickley,⁶ Thomas J. DeLiberto,⁷ and Michael J. Yabsley^{1,2} ¹Daniel B. Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, 180 E Green Street, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA; ²Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study, Department of Population Health, 589 D. W. Brooks Drive, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA; ³University of Reunion Island, Avenue René Cassin, 97715 Saint-Denis Cedex 97715, Reunion Island; ⁴Wildlife Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, 4101 LaPorte Avenue, Fort Collins, Colorado 80521, USA; ⁵Wildlife Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, 2803 Jolly Road, Suite 100, Okemos, Michigan 48864, USA; ⁶Wildlife Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, 9 Main Street Suite 1-M, Sutton, Massachusetts 01590, USA; ⁷National Wildlife Research Center, Wildlife Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, 4101 LaPorte Avenue, Fort Collins, Colorado 80521, USA; ⁸Corresponding author (email: whitney.kistler@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT: The use of serologic assays for influenza A virus (IAV) surveillance in wild birds has increased because of the availability of commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). Recently, an H5-specific blocking ELISA (bELISA) was shown to reliably detect H5-specific antibodies to low- and high-pathogenic H5 viruses in experimentally infected waterfowl. Mute Swans (*Cygnus olor*) were frequently associated with highly pathogenic H5N1 outbreaks in Europe and may have a similar role if highly pathogenic H5N1 is introduced into North America. We measured the prevalence of antibodies to the nucleoprotein and H5 protein in Mute Swans using three serologic assays. We collected 340 serum samples from Mute Swans in Michigan, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island, US. We detected antibodies to the IAV nucleoprotein in 66.2% (225/340) of the samples. We detected H5-specific antibodies in 62.9% (214/340) and 18.8% (64/340) using a modified H5 bELISA protocol and hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay, respectively. The modified H5 bELISA protocol detected significantly more positive samples than did the manufacturer's protocol. We also tested 46 samples using virus neutralization. Neutralization results had high agreement with the modified H5 bELISA protocol and detected a higher prevalence than did the HI assay. These results indicate that North American Mute Swans have high nucleoprotein and H5 antibody prevalences.

Key words: *Cygnus olor*, H5-specific ELISA, hemagglutination inhibition, Mute Swan, serology.

Surveillance for influenza A viruses (IAVs) in wild birds has traditionally been based on detection of viral shedding using virus isolation or PCR. However, recently,

there has been an increase in the use of serologic assays for IAV surveillance because of the development and validation of several commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) in several wild bird species (Brown et al. 2009). These commercial assays detect antibodies to the IAV nucleoprotein (NP) and have been effectively used for IAV surveillance in wild birds (Brown et al. 2010; Kistler et al. 2012). However, the commercial assays validated for use in wild birds detect antibodies to all IAVs and not subtype-specific antibodies.

Historically, hemagglutination inhibition (HI) has been the most frequently used assay to detect subtype-specific antibodies in wild birds (Winkler et al. 1972). The HI assay can detect IAV antibodies in multiple species and has been used in large-scale surveillance studies (Niqueux et al. 2010). However, there are disadvantages to the HI assay; the most important of which is a lack of standardization of antigen and antisera across laboratories, making it difficult to compare results among studies (Stephenson et al. 2007). The development of a blocking ELISA (bELISA) with high sensitivity and specificity for H5-specific antibodies in experimentally infected Mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*; Lebarbenchon et al. 2013) offers the potential for standardization of results across laboratories.

The emergence of highly pathogenic (HP) H5N1 in poultry in 1997 resulted in an increase in the availability of H5-

specific antibody tests. During the past 15 yr, HP H5N1 viruses have been reported in wild birds across Asia and Europe. In particular, Mute Swans (*Cygnus olor*) were frequently associated with outbreaks in Europe (Feare 2010). Mute Swans may play a role if HP H5N1 is introduced to North America. We tested Mute Swans in the US for H5 IAV antibodies using three H5-specific serologic assays.

Blood samples were collected from Mute Swans ($n=340$) in collaboration with the US Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, during wildlife damage management operations in Michigan, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island, US. Blood samples were collected postmortem from the jugular vein or by cardiocentesis within 2 h of euthanasia, were placed in Vacutainer® tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA), and were kept cold in the field. Samples were centrifuged for 15 min at $1,500 \times G$, and sera were stored at -20 C until testing.

Antibodies to all IAV serotypes were detected using a commercial NP bELISA (IDEXX Laboratories, Westbrook, Maine, USA) per manufacturer's instructions (Brown et al. 2009). We detected H5-specific antibodies using three assays: 1) bELISA (ID VET, Montpellier, France); 2) H5 HI assay; and 3) an H5 virus microneutralization (MN) assay. We used two protocols with the H5-specific bELISA: 1) the manufacturer's protocol, and 2) a modified protocol using a 1:2 serum dilution with an 18-h incubation at 36 C (Lebarbenchon et al. 2013). We tested 182 serum samples with both H5 bELISA protocols, and the remaining 158 samples with only the modified H5 ELISA protocol. Samples with sample to negative ratios >0.35 were considered negative. We tested all 340 serum samples with the HI assay using A/mallard/AI08-3532/H5N2 as the antigen and antisera obtained from the USDA National Veterinary Service Laboratories (Ames, Iowa, USA). Serum sam-

ples were treated with receptor-destroying enzyme (RDE [II] Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan) at a 1:3 dilution and incubated for 18 h at 36 C and 56 C for 1 h. The HI assay was performed as described (Pedersen 2008) using four hemagglutination units per $25\ \mu\text{L}$ of antigen, and a titer \geq eight was considered positive (Curran et al. 2014). We tested 46 serum samples using the MN assay as described by Ramey et al. (2014) using low-pathogenic A/mallard/MN/AI11-3933/2011(H5N1) virus.

Statistical analyses were conducted with R version 3.0 (R Development Core Team 2014). Agreement between the two H5 bELISA protocols was evaluated using κ statistics and percentage of agreement. Interpretation of the κ value was based on the criteria of Landis and Koch (1977). We used McNemar's χ^2 to test for a significant difference in the number of positive samples detected among the assays.

We detected NP antibodies in 66.2% of serum samples using the NP bELISA (Table 1). In a comparison of the two H5 bELISA protocols, we detected antibodies in 33.0% (60/182) of the birds using the manufacturer's protocol and in 69.2% (126/182) using the modified protocol. There was 62% agreement between the two H5 bELISA protocols with moderate agreement ($\kappa=0.3$; 95% confidence interval= $0.1-0.5$); the modified protocol detected significantly more positive samples than did the manufacturer's protocol (McNemar's $\chi^2=63$; $P<0.001$). Compared with the two H5 bELISA protocols, we detected a relatively low prevalence with the HI assay (Table 1). Therefore, we tested a subset ($n=46$) of samples with the MN assay. The 46 samples were selected based on HI results (23 negatives and 23 positives) to evaluate the efficacy of the tests and do not accurately represent the study population. The H5 antibody prevalence for this subset was 65% (30/46) using the MN assay and the modified H5 bELISA

TABLE 1. Prevalence of influenza A virus antibodies to the nucleoprotein (NP) and to the H5-subtype in Mute Swans (*Cygnus olor*) from four states using three serologic assays.^a

State	NP bELISA, positive/n (%)	H5 bELISA modified protocol, positive/n (%)	H5 hemagglutination inhibition assay, positive/n (%)
Michigan	145/182 (79.7)	136/182 (74.7)	28/182 (15.4)
New Jersey	12/68 (18)	27/40 (67)	10/68 (15)
New York	11/12 (92)	9/12 (75)	3/12 (25)
Rhode Island	57/78 (73)	42/78 (54)	23/78 (29)
Total	225/340 (66.2)	214/340 (62.9)	64/340 (18.8)

^a bELISA = blocking enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

protocol and 50% (23/46) using the HI assay.

The high IAV NP antibody prevalence we detected in Mute Swans was similar to the 45% prevalence reported by Pederson et al. (2014). This high antibody prevalence is likely related to the persistence of antibodies, which may be >1 yr in the absence of virus circulation (Fereidouni et al. 2010), and age of the sampled birds because Mute Swans are a long-lived waterfowl species (Reese 1980). The high prevalence of H5-specific antibodies was unexpected because H5 IAVs are not frequently reported from waterfowl in North America (Wilcox et al. 2011). A similarly high H5 antibody prevalence was reported in Mute Swans in France (Niqueux et al. 2010). The higher prevalence of H5-specific antibodies, compared with NP antibodies, in New Jersey may be related to increased persistence of subtype-specific antibodies (Burger et al. 2012).

Lebarbenchon et al. (2013) detected an increased sensitivity with the H5 bELISA using the modified H5 bELISA protocol in experimentally infected ducks. The data from our study also suggest that the modified protocol for the H5 bELISA has increased sensitivity for detection of H5-specific antibodies in Mute Swans and possibly other birds. The low prevalence detected with the HI assay was surprising because Niqueux et al. (2010), in France, detected H5-specific antibodies in >45% of Mute Swans using the HI assay. However, the HI assay has performed

poorly in experimentally infected Mute Swans (Kalthoff et al. 2008) and did not detect antibodies in naturally exposed sentinel ducks (Globig et al. 2013). The data from the MN assay were similar to those from the modified H5 bELISA protocol; both detected a higher prevalence of antibodies than did the HI assay. Increased sensitivity of the MN assay compared with the HI assay has been reported in detection of H5 antibodies (Rowe et al. 1999). In addition, HI is likely not the most sensitive assay to detect subtype-specific antibodies in Mute Swans and, if used, confirmatory assays should be performed.

Our results suggest that Mute Swans in the US have high NP and H5 antibody prevalences. The significance of this high prevalence is unknown, but if this reflects high existing population immunity to H5 viruses, the probability of disease or successful introduction of highly pathogenic H5N1 viruses to the US may be low. Alternatively, these antibodies may protect Mute Swans from disease associated with highly pathogenic H5N1 viruses and allow these to circulate without noticeable mortality.

Funding was provided by the Department of Homeland Security, Center of Excellence for Emerging and Zoonotic Animal Diseases cooperative agreement 2010-ST-061-AG0001-02 and contract HHSN266200700007C from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Health and Human Ser-

ances. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

LITERATURE CITED

- Brown JD, Luttrell MP, Uhart MM, del Valle Ferreyra H, Romano MM, Rago MV, Stallknecht DE. 2010. Antibodies to type A influenza virus in wild waterbirds from Argentina. *J Wildl Dis* 46:1040–1045.
- Brown JD, Stallknecht DE, Berghaus RD, Luttrell MP, Velek K, Kistler W, Costa TP, Yabsley MJ, Swayne DE. 2009. Evaluation of a commercial blocking enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to detect avian influenza virus antibodies in multiple experimentally infected avian species. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 16:824–829.
- Burger CE, Abolink C, Fosgate GT. 2012. Antibody response and viral shedding profile of Egyptian Geese (*Alopochen aegyptiacus*) infected with low pathogenicity H7N1 and H6N8 avian influenza viruses. *Avian Dis* 56:341–346.
- Curran JM, Robertson ID, Ellis TM, Selleck PW. 2014. Evaluation of avian influenza serologic and virologic diagnostic methods in wild Anseriformes and Charadriiformes. *Avian Dis* 58:53–59.
- Feare CJ. 2010. Role of wild birds in the spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1 and implications for global surveillance. *Avian Dis* 54:201–212.
- Fereidouni SR, Grund C, Häuslainger R, Lange E, Wilking H, Harder TC, Beer M, Starick E. 2010. Dynamics of specific antibody responses induced in Mallards after infection by or immunization with low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses. *Avian Dis* 54:79–85.
- Globig A, Fereidouni SR, Harder TC, Grund C, Beer M, Mettenleiter TC, Starick E. 2013. Consecutive natural influenza A virus infection in sentinel Mallards in the evident absence of subtype-specific hemagglutination inhibiting antibodies. *Transbound Emerg Dis* 60:395–402.
- Kalthoff D, Breithaupt A, Teifke JP, Globig A, Harder T, Mettenleiter TC, Beer M. 2008. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N1) in experimentally infected adult Mute Swans. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14:1267–1270.
- Kistler WM, Stallknecht DE, DeLiberto TJ, Swafford S, Pedersen K, Van Why K, Wolf PC, Hill JA, Bruning DL, Cumbee JC, et al. 2012. Antibodies to avian influenza viruses in Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis*): A potential surveillance tool? *J Wildl Dis* 48:1097–1101.
- Lebarbenchon C, Pantin-Jackwood M, Kistler WM, Luttrell MP, Spackman E, Stallknecht DE, Brown JD. 2013. Evaluation of a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibodies against the H5 subtype of Influenza A virus in waterfowl. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 7:1237–1240.
- Niqueux E, Guionie O, Schmitz A, Hars J. 2010. Presence of serum antibodies to influenza A subtypes H5 and N1 in swans and ibises in French wetlands, irrespective of highly pathogenic H5N1 natural infection. *Avian Dis* 54:502–508.
- Pedersen JC. 2008. Hemagglutination-inhibition test for avian influenza virus subtype identification and the detection of and quantitation of serum antibodies to the avian influenza virus. In: *Avian influenza virus*, Spackman E, editor. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, pp. 53–66.
- Pedersen K, Marks DR, Arsnoe DM, Afonso CL, Bevins SN, Miller PJ, Randall AR, DeLiberto TJ. 2014. Avian paramyxovirus serotype 1 (Newcastle disease virus), avian influenza virus, and *Salmonella* spp. in Mute Swans (*Cygnus olor*) in the Great Lakes region and Atlantic Coast of the United States. *Avian Dis* 58:129–136.
- R Development Core Team. 2014. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <http://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed December 2014.
- Ramey AM, Poulson RL, Gonzalez-Reiche AS, Perez DR, Stallknecht DE, Brown JD. 2014. Genomic characterization of H14 subtype influenza A viruses in new world waterfowl and experimental infectivity in Mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*). *PLoS One* 9:e95620.
- Reese JG. 1980. Demography of European mute swans in Chesapeake Bay. *Auk* 97:449–464.
- Rowe TR, Abernathy A, Hu-Primmer J, Thompson WW, Lu X, Lim W, Fukuda K, Cox NJ, Katz JM. 1999. Detection of antibody to avian influenza A (H5N1) virus in human serum by using a combination of serologic assays. *J Clin Microbiol* 37:937–943.
- Stephenson I, Das RG, Wood JM, Katz JM. 2007. Comparison of neutralizing antibody assays for detection of antibody to influenza A/H3N2 viruses: An international collaborative study. *Vaccine* 25:4056–63.
- Wilcox BR, Knutsen GA, Berdeen J, Goekjian V, Poulson R, Goyal S, Sreevatsan S, Cardona C, Berghaus RD, Swayne DE, et al. 2011. Influenza-A viruses in ducks in northwestern Minnesota: Fine scale spatial and temporal variation in prevalence and subtype diversity. *PLoS One* 6:e24010.
- Winkler WG, Trainer DO, Easterday BC. 1972. Influenza in Canada Geese. *Bull World Health Organ* 47:507–513.

Submitted for publication 5 August 2014.

Accepted 13 December 2014.