

Summary of Studies Supporting USDA Product Licensure

| Establishment Name | Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health USA Inc. |
|---|---|
| USDA Vet Biologics Establishment Number | 124 |
| Product Code | 1151.23 |
| True Name | Bovine Rhinotracheitis-Virus Diarrhea Vaccine, Modified Live Virus |
| Tradename(s) / Distributor or Subsidiary (if different from manufacturer) | Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd. Express 3 - No distributor specified Express Yearling - Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd. |
| Date of Compilation Summary | April 08, 2019 |

Disclaimer: Do not use the following studies to compare one product to another. Slight differences in study design and execution can render the comparisons meaningless.

124 1151.23 Page 1 of 9

| Study Type | Efficacy | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Pertaining to | Bovine Virus Diarrhea (BVD) | | | |
| Study Purpose | Demonstration of efficacy against BVD Type 1 (respiratory | | | |
| | disease) | | | |
| Product Administration | | | | |
| Study Animals | Bovine | | | |
| Challenge Description | BVD Type 1 isolate NY-1 | | | |
| Interval observed after | | | | |
| challenge | | | | |
| Results | Study data were evaluated by USDA-APHIS prior to product licensure and met regulatory standards for acceptance at the time of submission. No data are published because this study was submitted to USDA-APHIS prior to January 1, 2007, and APHIS only requires publication of data submitted after that date. | | | |
| USDA Approval Date | November 9, 1998 | | | |

124 1151.23 Page 2 of 9

| Study Type | Efficacy | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Pertaining to | Bovine Virus Diarrhea (BVD) | | | |
| Study Purpose | Demonstration of efficacy against BVD Type 2 (respiratory | | | |
| , , | disease) | | | |
| Product Administration | | | | |
| Study Animals | Bovine | | | |
| Challenge Description | BVD Type 2a isolate BVD 890 | | | |
| Interval observed after | | | | |
| challenge | | | | |
| Results | Study data were evaluated by USDA-APHIS prior to product licensure and met regulatory standards for acceptance at the time of submission. No data are published because this study was submitted to USDA-APHIS prior to January 1, 2007, and APHIS only requires publication of data submitted after that date. | | | |
| USDA Approval Date | November 9, 1998 | | | |

124 1151.23 Page 3 of 9

| Study Type | Efficacy |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Pertaining to | Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR) |
| Study Purpose | Demonstration of efficacy against IBR (respiratory disease) |
| Product Administration | |
| Study Animals | Bovine |
| Challenge Description | |
| Interval observed after | |
| challenge | |
| Results | Study data were evaluated by USDA-APHIS prior to product licensure and met regulatory standards for acceptance at the time of submission. No data are published because this study was submitted to USDA-APHIS prior to January 1, 2007, and APHIS only requires publication of data submitted after that date. |
| USDA Approval Date | May 4, 1994 |

124 1151.23 Page 4 of 9

| Study Type | Efficacy | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Pertaining to | Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR) | | | | | |
| Study Purpose | Demonstration | Demonstration of efficacy against IBR (reproductive disease) 12 | | | | |
| | months after vaccination | | | | | |
| Product Administration | One dose, subo | cutaneously approx | ximately five mon | ths prior to | | |
| | breeding | | | | | |
| Study Animals | 32 bovine (13 · | vaccinates and 19 | controls), 7 - 9 mg | onths of age | | |
| Challenge Description | Challenged wit | th IBR Cooper stra | ain 386 days after | vaccination at | | |
| | approximately | 7 months of gesta | tion | | | |
| Interval observed after | Cattle were observed daily after challenge and until calving for | | | | | |
| challenge | | | vere evaluated for | the presence of | | |
| | IBR and other causes of abortion. | | | | | |
| Results | Cattle were considered affected if the fetus was aborted and testing | | | | | |
| | results of the fetus were negative for other causes of abortion | | | | | |
| | (Bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) and abortifacient bacteria). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Results of the study are summarized as follows: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Abortions in vaccinates and controls: | | | | | |
| | | Non-Aborted | Aborted | | | |
| | Vaccinates | 11/13 (84.6%) | 2/13 (15.4%) | | | |
| | Controls 1/19 (5.3%) 18/19 (94.7%) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | See table on the following page for data. | | | | | |
| USDA Approval Date | October 5, 201 | 1 | | | | |

124 1151.23 Page 5 of 9

Abortion status and evaluation of fetal tissues:

| Treatment Animal | | Abortion | IBR by PCR | IBR by Virus Isolation (VI) | | | | | BVDV by VI |
|------------------|-----|----------|---------------|-----------------------------|--------|-------|------|--------|---------------|
| | | | | Brain | Kidney | Liver | Lung | Thymus | Same tissues |
| | 6 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 10 | Yes | Negative | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 34 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 45 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 89 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Vaccinates | 117 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| (13 bovine) | 155 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| (13 boville) | 176 | Yes | Positive | - | - | - | - | + | - |
| | 180 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 206 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 209 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 228 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 276 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | 18 | Yes | Positive | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 26 | Yes | Positive | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 30 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | - | ı | - |
| | 41 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | - | İ | - |
| | 42 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | - | ı | - |
| | 47 | Yes | Positive | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 48 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | - | ı | - |
| | 62 | Yes | Positive | - | - | - | + | - | - |
| Controls | 119 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | + | ı | - |
| (19 bovine) | 128 | No | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| (19 boville) | 154 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | - | ı | - |
| | 161 | Yes | Positive | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 174 | Yes | Positive | - | i | - | - | ı | - |
| | 187 | Yes | Positive | - | - | - | + | - | - |
| | 194 | Yes | Positive | - | · | - | - | ı | - |
| | 210 | Yes | Positive | - | į | - | - | · | - |
| | 219 | Yes | Positive | - | + | - | - | ı | - |
| | 257 | Yes | Positive | + | ı | - | - | · | - |
| | 282 | Yes | Positive | + | - | - | + | - | - |

NA = Not applicable since calf was not aborted.

Positive = Positive for the presence of IBR virus by PCR in all fetal tissues examined.

Negative = Negative for the presence of IBR virus by PCR in all fetal tissues (brain, kidney, liver, lung, and thymus).

- + = Positive for the presence of IBR or BVDV by virus isolation.
- = Negative for the presence of IBR or BVDV by virus isolation.

The same tissues were assessed for BVDV (brain, kidney, liver, lung, thymus).

Tissues were negative for abortifacient bacteria. Data not shown.

124 1151.23 Page 6 of 9

| Study Type | Safety |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Pertaining to | All fractions |
| Study Purpose | To demonstrate safety under field conditions |
| Product Administration | |
| Study Animals | Bovine |
| Challenge Description | |
| Interval observed after | |
| challenge | |
| Results | Study data were evaluated by USDA-APHIS prior to product licensure and met regulatory standards for acceptance at the time of submission. No data are published because this study was submitted to USDA-APHIS prior to January 1, 2007, and APHIS only requires publication of data submitted after that date. |
| USDA Approval Date | July 6, 1999 |

124 1151.23 Page 7 of 9

| Study Type | Safety | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Pertaining to | All fractions | | | | |
| Study Purpose | To demonstrate safety in pregnant heifers/cows and nursing calves | | | | |
| Product Administration | Two doses, a | administered | d subcutaneousl | y. First vac | ecination given |
| | 1-2 months prior to breeding. Second vaccination given during a | | | | |
| | specified trin | nester of pre | egnancy. | | |
| Study Animals | <u>Site 1:</u> | | | | |
| | | | eceived vaccine | - | _ |
| | | | s received vac | - | placebo during |
| | | d are includ | led in this sumn | nary. | |
| | Site 2: | 1 41 4 | | · 4 and | ard . · |
| Challange Description | | | received vaccine | in the 2 nd or . | 3 rd trimester. |
| Challenge Description | Not applicab | | | | |
| Interval observed after | Not applicab | ıc | | | |
| challenge Results | All cows and | heifers we | re observed from | m nre-hreedi | no vaccination |
| 11Courts | | | es were observe | _ | _ |
| | _ | - | summarized as f | | ns postpartam. |
| | | , | | | |
| | Fetal Loss (S | Site 1): | | | |
| | | Vac | ccinates | Control | s (Placebo) |
| | | | Fetal Loss | | Fetal Loss |
| | Trimester | Enrolled | (%) | Enrolled | (%) |
| | 1 st | 306 | 7 (2.3%) | 274 | 6 (2.2%) |
| | 2 nd | 237 | 1 (0.4%) | 235 | 3 (1.3%) |
| | 3 rd | 267 | 5 (1.9%) | 267 | 6 (2.2%) |
| | | | s during pregn | | |
| | | _ | dystocia, lamer | ness, and no | n-study related |
| | causes (as aff | • | | | t) For all three |
| | | | ortion or open (r r heifers (0.0% | | |
| | | | aborted due | | |
| | | | r Bovine Virus | | |
| | | , , | | | , , |
| | All tests for viral detection and isolation of IBR and BVDV on all fetal tissues were negative. | | | | |
| | | - 6 | | | |
| | Fetal Infecti | on (Site 2): | | | |
| | Serum samples were collected from calves prior to receiving | | | | |
| | colostrum. 61 calves were from cows vaccinated in the 2 nd | | | | |
| | trimester and 59 calves were from cows vaccinated in the 3 rd | | | | |
| | trimester. 6 serum samples were removed from the study due to | | | | |
| | equipment malfunction or concerns that colostrum was received. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | All valid sar | nples tested | l negative for a | antibodies to | IBR, BVD1 |
| | All valid sar and BVD2. | nples tested Serum sam | | antibodies to negative for | IBR, BVD1 IBR by virus |

124 1151.23 Page 8 of 9

| USDA Approval Date | January 11, 2008 |
|---------------------------|------------------|

124 1151.23 Page 9 of 9