

Weed Risk Assessment for

Onopordum illyricum L.

Illyrian thistle

Addendum to a report, Analysis and Assessment of the Invasive risk of *Onopordum illyricum*, submitted by Sarah Reichard and Lizbeth Seebacher, University of Washington, College of Forest Resources, Center for Urban Horticulture.

Reviewers:

Russ Schultz, Superintendent, Lancaster County Weed Control Authority, Lincoln, NE
Keith M. Sutherland, County Weed Inspector, Ranier, MN

Adapted into weed risk assessment format by:

Polly Lehtonen, Botanist
United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
Plant Protection and Quarantine
Permits and Risk Assessment
4700 River Road Unit 133
Riverdale, MD 20737-1236

Revised by:

Shirley Wager-Pagé, Branch Chief
United States Department of Agriculture
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
Plant Protection and Quarantine
Commodity Import Analysis and Operations
4700 River Road Unit 133
Riverdale, MD 20737-1236

Weed Risk Assessment for *Onopordum illyricum* L.

Addendum to a report, Analysis and Assessment of the Invasive risk of *Onopordum illyricum*, submitted by Sarah Reichard and Lizbeth Seebacher, University of Washington, College of Forest Resources, Center for Urban Horticulture.

This addendum provides a risk assessment conforming to the USDA, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) format for weed risk assessment. The information from the report was adapted to this format and risk ratings were assigned by Polly Lehtonen, USDA, APHIS, Plant Protection and Quarantine, 4700 River Road, Unit 133, Riverdale, MD 20737-1236. The weed risk assessment area is the United States.

Stage 1: Initiating Weed Risk Assessment Process

Step 1. Document the Initiating Event(s) for the weed risk assessment.

This assessment is part of Plant Protection and Quarantine's continuous effort to identify potential Federal noxious weeds. The attached report was the product of a USDA Invasive Species Coordination initiative, a contract with Dr. Sarah Reichard of the University of Washington. The WRA area is the United States.

Step 2. Identify and Cite Previous Weed Risk Assessments.

This is the first USDA weed risk assessment for this species.

Step 3. Establish Identity of Weed.

Scientific Name: Order, Family, Genus, and species:

Asterales, Asteraceae, *Onopordum illyricum* L.

Synonym(s): None.

Common name(s): Illyrian thistle, Illyrian cottonthistle

Description, general morphology:

Illyrian thistle is a tall, erect annual or biennial herb, to 2 meters high, with gray, white or occasionally greenish, tomentose, stems. The peduncle is narrowly winged. The leaves are densely woolly, whitish, oblong-lanceolate and divided into spiny lobes. The flowers are purple, glandular, with a minutely barbed pappus. Flower heads are globular, to 8 cm. in diameter, occurring singly on stem ends. Achenes are 4-5 mm long, minutely pitted, transversely wrinkled, flattened, with pappus bristles cream-colored and 10-12 mm long (Bailey and Bailey,

- Stress tolerance, including ability to resist herbicides
- Ability to colonize a wide variety of habitats 3
- Lack of natural control agents
- Well-developed storage tissue (for example, tap root) 3
- Dispersal by wind, water 3, machinery 3, animals 3, and/or humans 3

Assign rating as follows:

Rating	Numerical score	Explanation
High 3	3 3	Weed has potential for rapid natural spread throughout its potential range in the WRA area (<i>e.g.</i> , high reproductive potential AND highly mobile propagules).
Medium	2	Weed has potential for natural spread throughout a physiographic region of the WRA within a year (<i>e.g.</i> , it has either high reproductive potential OR highly mobile propagules).
Low	1	Weed has potential for natural spread locally in the WRA area within a year (some reproductive potential and/or some mobility of propagules).
Negligible	0	Weed has no potential for natural spread in the WRA area.

Rationale for the rating and the level of certainty:

Onopordum illyricum consists of monocarpic plants which reproduce only by seeds (achenes). They form rosettes in their first year and develop a thick tap root (Pettit et al., 1996) that can reach the depth of a foot (Gammie, 1972) to support the development of a tall, erect flowering stem up to 1 to 2.5 meters high later in the life cycle (Pettit et al., 1996).

The Illyrian thistle can produce up to 20,000 seeds per plant (Pettit et al., 1996); potentially up to 40,000 (Michalakis et al., 1993). A proportion of these become incorporated in a long-lived seed bank and may acquire a burial-induced dormancy if the seed becomes incorporated into the soil. These seeds can remain viable for more than 20 years.

Seed production is not directly related to plant density as seedling emergence and growth is strongly affected by rainfall and competition (Pettit et al., 1996). Research by Groves and Kaye (1989) showed a seed viability rate of 94%.

The results from a study on seed population dynamics in New South Wales indicated that seedlings appear in all seasons, usually following rain, although most emerged in late summer or autumn. The seed bank consisted of a large pool of strongly dormant seeds that germinated intermittently and each year new seeds enter the seed bank. Some of these either germinate or die within a few weeks but a small number acquire a secondary dormancy and become part of the dormant seed pool. This persistent seed bank makes any short-term control efforts very difficult (Cavers and Groves, 1993).

15-20 million Australian dollars in 1987 (about 7.6-10.2 million US dollars) (CSIRO, 2001).

Level of certainty = reasonably certain.

D. Environmental Impact

Consider whether or not the weed, if introduced, could:

- Cause impacts on ecosystem processes (alteration of hydrology, sedimentation rates, a fire regime, nutrient regimes, changes in productivity, growth, yield, vigor, etc.).
- Cause impacts on natural community composition (*e.g.*, reduce biodiversity, affect native populations, affect endangered or threatened species, impact keystone species, impact native fauna, pollinators, or microorganisms, etc.).
- Cause impacts on community structure (*e.g.*, change density of a layer, cover the canopy, eliminate or create a layer, impact wildlife habitats, etc.).
- Have impacts on human health such as allergies or changes in air or water quality.
- Have sociological impacts on recreation patterns and aesthetic or property values. 3
- Stimulate control programs including toxic chemical pesticides or introduction of a nonindigenous biological control agent. 3

Assign ratings as follows:

Rating	Numerical Score	Explanation
High	3	Three or more of the above. (Potential to cause major damage to the environment with significant losses to plant ecosystems and subsequent physical environmental degradation.) (Population reduction of endangered or threatened species would elevate that one factor to a high rating.)
Medium ³	23	Two of the above. (Potential to cause moderate impact on the environment with obvious change in the ecological balance, affecting several attributes of the ecosystem, as well as moderate recreation or aesthetic impacts.)
Low	1	One of the above, unless the factor is potential to reduce populations of endangered or threatened species, which rates High. (Limited potential impact on environment.)
Negligible	0	None of the above. (No potential to degrade the environment or otherwise affect ecosystems.)

Rationale for the rating and the level of certainty:

Dense patches may form a physical barrier to humans and domesticated animals (Parsons and Cuthbertson, 1992).

Control activity may be necessary for up to six years before any worthwhile results are evident (Gammie, 1972). Present control techniques as of 1999, herbicides, cultivation, pasture management and recent biological control developments are only about 60% effective in killing the weed (Torrano et al., 1999).

See attached report for specific information on control options.

Level of uncertainty: uncertain. Few environmental impacts are reported in the literature.

**ECONOMIC and ENVIRONMENTAL IMPORTANCE SUMMARY:
Consequences of Introduction: Cumulative Risk Element Score**

Add together the numerical estimates for the four risk elements to produce an overall estimate of the Consequences of Introduction Risk Rating for the weed. The overall risk rating is used to assign a Consequences of Introduction Risk Score as follows:

Risk: Consequences of Introduction (Sum Risk Elements #1-4)
2+3+2+2

Cumulative Risk Element Score	Risk Rating	Risk Score
0 - 2	Negligible	0
3 - 6	Low	1
7 - 10 3	Medium 3	2
11 - 12	High	3

The Consequences of Introduction Risk Rating, an indicator of the potential of the weed to become established and spread, and its potential to cause economic and environmental impacts, is medium for Illyrian thistle.

Step 6. Assess Likelihood of Introduction.

Discuss entry potential and establishment potential. What is the likelihood that the species will enter the United States, survive the shipment and find a suitable habitat for establishment?

Assign ratings as follows:

Rating	Numerical Score	Explanation: Introduction is
High 3	3 3	Very likely or certain
Medium	2	Likely
Low	1	Low, but clearly possible
Negligible	0	Extremely unlikely

Summary and Conclusion:

Onopordum illyricum has a medium consequences of introduction rating and a high likelihood of introduction rating, for an overall risk rating of medium-high. This species is of limited distribution in the United States, known to occur in California only, but has potential for introduction in other areas within the United States. Difficult to control, Illyrian thistle has potential to infest pastures, reduce carrying capacity, and create physical barriers to stock and wildlife. Illyrian thistle has potential to cause economic damage through competition, reduction in carrying capacity of pastures, and control costs. It has potential to cause environmental damage by creating a physical barrier to wildlife and stimulating chemical and biological control efforts.

Step 8. References.

Auld, B.A. and R.W. Medd 1992. Weeds, an illustrated botanical guide to the weeds of Australia. Agricultural Research and Veterinary Centre, Orange NSW Agriculture.

Bailey, Liberty Hyde and Bailey, Ethel Zoe. 1976. Hortus Third A Concise Dictionary of Plants Cultivated in the U.S. and Canada. MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, New York.

CalFlora database. July, 2001. Website at <http://www.calflora.org/cgi/calflora>

Cavers, Paul B. and Groves, Richard H. 1993. Seed population dynamics of *Onopordum illyricum* (Illyrian thistle) over one year in southern New South Wales, Australia. American Journal of Botany 80:suppl. 54-55.

CSIRO. 2001. Website at <http://www.csiro-europe.org/onopordum.html>

Gammie, R. L. 1972. Scotch thistles are spreading (*Onopordum acanthium*, *Onopordum illyricum*). Agricultural Gazette of New South Wales 83:2 79-81.

Groves, R.H. and Kaye, P.E. 1989. Germination and Phenology of Seven Introduced Thistle Species in Southern Australia. Australian Journal of Botany 37:4 351 -359.

International Seed Testing Association. 1988. International Seed Testing Association list of stabilized plant names.

Michalakis, Y., F. Derancourt, F. Noel and C. Espiau. 1993. A first estimation of the mating system of *Onopordum illyricum* and its relevance to the invasion of Australia. Acta Ecológica 14:4 539-545.

O'Hanlon, P.C., Rod Peakall and D.T. Briese. 1999. Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) reveals introgression in weedy *Onopordum* thistles: hybridization and invasion. Molecular Ecology 8: 1239-1246.

Parsons, W. T. & E. G. Cuthbertson. 1992. Noxious weeds of Australia. Inkata Press, Melbourne, Sydney.

Pettit, W.J., Briese, D.T. and A. Walker. 1996. Aspects of thistle population dynamics with reference to *Onopordum*. Plant Protection Quarterly 11 :2 232-235.

Rees, Mark, Andy Sheppard, David Briese and Marc Mangel. 1999. Evolution of Size-Dependent Flowering in *Onopordum illyricum*: A Quantitative Assessment of the Role of Stochastic Selection Pressures. The American Naturalist 154:6 628-646.

Torrano, L., Holst, P.J. and D.F. Stanley. 1999. The effect of herbicide and goats on survival and seed production of Illyrian thistle (*Onopordum illyricum* L.). Plant Protection Quarterly 14:1 13-15.

USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program. 2001. Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN). [Online Database] National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland. Available: <<http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/>>.

USDA, NRCS. 2001. The PLANTS database <<http://plants.usda.gov/plants>>. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA.

Wiersema, J. H. & B. León. 1999. World economic plants: a standard reference. CRC Press, Boca Raton, London, New York, Washington, D.C.