

USDA National Surveillance Program for Influenza A Virus in Swine

Technical Review, Evaluation, and Recommendations
Prepared by Ian Gardner, BVSc, MPVM, PhD
Atlantic Veterinary College
University of Prince Edward Island
Charlottetown, PE, Canada, C1A4P3

November 19, 2015

Executive summary

The National Surveillance Program for influenza A virus in the U.S swine population is risk-based and monitors the genetic evolution of endemic influenza A virus in swine to better understand endemic and emerging influenza virus ecology. The program is precedent setting because it is a USDA-APHIS-VS first for an endemic disease and involves a non-regulatory approach to a potentially regulated disease and has swine industry support and engagement.

In my opinion, the overall program has been successful because of:

- New knowledge created through the phylogenetic studies of co-circulating influenza viruses in swine, as part of cooperative work with the Agricultural Research Services
- Trust and goodwill which has developed between animal and public health partners
- Political value of the program and partnerships created

To inform discussion within USDA-APHIS, I recommend changes to components of the surveillance program, with the longer-term goal of maximizing efficiency and cost-effectiveness with regards to sampling design, data collection, data management and analysis, interpretation of results, and dissemination of information to stakeholders. My 26 recommendations are summarized on pages 21-23 of this report and are categorized as to whether they apply to the existing program or to a revised program that addresses proposed funding cuts.

My highest-ranked priorities for action are to:

- **Identify failure/inefficiency points** throughout the existing program and strategies that might be feasible to implement in order to improve program efficiency.
- **Undertake a cost-effective analysis of the testing algorithm** and this task should include deciding on the most appropriate number of samples for full-genome sequencing to achieve program objectives
- **Develop an impacts statement** for internal and external stakeholders that summarizes and promotes the animal and public health benefits of the current program; this should include data on how influenza isolates have been used by biologics companies
- **Develop a strategy for increasing accessions from the traceable stream**, including use of incentives and perhaps shifting responsibility and costs to the swine industry; this may include **having the swine industry provide representative regional samples to ensure adequate geographical coverage of the U.S. herd**
- Agree as to **what epidemiological analyses are realistic with the currently-available**

data or after modification of the program, given the reluctance of the swine industry to allow state-level analyses

- **Provide more explicit description of goals, objectives, and outcomes/deliverables** for a revised program including a reassessment of the importance of geographical coverage and representativeness as part of the programmatic goals
- **Define key attributes and associated metrics** for a revised program
- **Develop case-definitions that include genomic data** at the sample, pig, and herd levels for purposes of epidemiological analysis
- **Develop a comprehensive data management system** to allow more streamlined integration of data from multiple sources, including laboratory and field data

Recommendations

	Number	For EXISTING Program	For REVISED Program
Priorities and objectives	1		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deliverables for each objective • Listing current priorities in reports • Traceability of changes
	2		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Swine Health Information Center (SHIC) as a possible stakeholder
Short- and long-term outcomes	3		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update or change outcomes and define practical deliverables
	4		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify knowledge gaps in IAV-S ecology & epidemiology that can be realistically addressed
	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spatiotemporal analysis of variant IAV-S at state or regional levels 	
	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Answer questions related to geographical objectives • Assess impact of data pooling across states into regions • Sample-size questions 	
	7		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussions with swine industry regarding data management & analysis for IAV-S epidemiology
Target and source populations	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spatial coverage (preferably state-level) • Retrospective evaluation (at ARS) of variant IAV-S 	
	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe criteria for selection of viruses for full genome sequencing 	
Case definition	10		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include genomic data • Review case definitions for clarity, accuracy, and acceptability

Data management and analysis	11		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a comprehensive data management system for integrating field and laboratory data
	12		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standardize data submissions from farms and electronic transmission of data by all parties
	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate completeness and timeliness of NAHLN's data submissions from 2014 on and investigate worst 20% for impacts 	
	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update swine industry representatives about limited epidemiological data unless more traceable herds and possible follow-up can be included in program 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss alternative models; with the swine industry leading epidemiologic studies and USDA providing a supporting role
Result interpretation	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include pattern-interpretation disclaimer for sequence data in annual reports 	
Testing protocol/cost effectiveness	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost-analysis of full sampling and testing algorithm 	
	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculate number of isolates to be sequenced to meet the desired objectives of the surveillance program including phylogenetic analyses 	
Sources of system inefficiency & failures	18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify and rank failures and inefficiencies for each step in entire surveillance system 	
	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set metrics for times within each step, as appropriate • Define unacceptable failure percentage for each step 	
	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider cost-effective and feasible mitigations for identified failure/inefficiency points 	

	21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts statement summarizing benefits to stakeholders and focusing on public health and animal health goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Future discussions with stakeholders and modifications of the existing system (to ensure more focus on deliverables and outcomes) informed by the impacts statement
Success and impact metrics	22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey biologics companies to determine use of IAV-S from NVSL for vaccine development 	
Dissemination to stakeholders	23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe communication process to the swine industry for a unique strain Describe roles of responsible parties in the communication process 	
Cost-sharing	24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initiate discussions with swine industry representatives about sharing testing costs 	
Surveillance attributes	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify and rank the most important attributes to be assessed in the surveillance program 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify potential metrics for a modified program based on these assessed attributes
Modification process	26		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop a Gantt chart showing the sequential and simultaneous steps in the modification process and the timeline for each Develop a written plan for regular internal evaluation of surveillance system attributes